

TANGLED WEBS

"GOTCHA"--AGAIN

TANGLED WEBS-VOL. II

Just as with disease--to avoid contact you must either disperse the disease OR you must learn to protect yourself from the contagion. Either way—

YOU MUST KNOW THE CAUSE.



BY

GYEORGOS CERES HATONN/ATON

"dharma"

A PHOENIX JOURNAL

TANGLED WEBS "GOTCHA"--AGAIN

TANGLED WEBS-VOL. II

**Just as with disease--to avoid contact you
must either disperse the disease OR you
must learn to protect yourself from the
contagion. Either way—**

YOU MUST KNOW THE CAUSE.



BY

GYEORGOS CERES HATONN/ATON

"dharma"

A PHOENIX JOURNAL

COPYRIGHT POSITION STATEMENT AND DISCLAIMER

The Phoenix Journals are intended as a "real time" commentary on current events, how current events relate to past events and the relationships of both to the physical and spiritual destinies of mankind.

All of history, as we now know it, has been revised, rewritten, twisted and tweaked by selfishly motivated men to achieve and maintain control over other men. When one can understand that everything is comprised of "energy" and that even physical matter is "coalesced" energy, and that all energy emanates from God's thought, one can accept the idea that the successful focusing of millions of minds on one expected happening will cause it to happen.

If the many prophecies made over thousands of years are accepted, these are the "end times" (specifically the year 2000, the second millennium, etc.). That would put us in the "sorting" period and only a few short years from the finish line. God has said that in the end-times would come the WORD--to the four corners of the world--so that each could decide his/her own course toward, or away from, divinity--based upon TRUTH.

So, God sends His Hosts--Messengers--to present that TRUTH. This is the way in which He chooses to present it, through the Phoenix Journals. Thus, these journals are Truth, which cannot be copyrighted; they are compilations of information already available on Earth, researched and compiled by others (some, no doubt, for this purpose) which should not be copyrighted. Therefore, these journals are not copyrighted (except *SIPAPU ODYSSEY* which is "fiction").

The first sixty or so journals were published by America West Publishing which elected to indicate that a copyright had been applied for on the theory that the ISBN number (so necessary for booksellers) was dependent upon the copyright. Commander Hatonn, the primary author and compiler, insisted that no copyrights be applied for and, to our knowledge, none were.

If the Truth is to reach the four corners of the world, it must be freely passed on. It is hoped that each reader will feel free to do that, keeping it in context, of course.

TANGLED WEBS GOTCHA'--AGAIN TANGLED WEBS-VOL.II

The Phoenix Journals includes bibliographical references and indexes.

Contents: [Tangled Webs] -- [Crucifixion of the Phoenix -- [etc.] -- Pleiades Connection (8 vol's).

1. Spirit writings. I. Hatonn, Gyeorgos C. (Gyeorgos Ceres)
II. Dharma, Computer person. III. Phoenix Journals.

91-36626

ISBN 0-922356-63-7

First Edition Printed by America West Publishers, March 1992

**PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, INC.
P. O. BOX 27353
LAS VEGAS, NV 89126**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>CHAPTER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
DEDICATION.....	9
INTRODUCTION.....	10
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 1992	10
JOURNALS	10
POLITICS	11
DO IT! "LET'S GET OFF OUR 'BUTS"	12
CHAPTER 1.....	14
WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 15, 1992.....	14
TODAY'S WATCH	14
RON PAUL	14
HISTORY AND DATA OF THE CIA.....	16
IMA's PREFACE	17
OTHER PARTICIPANT: ALSO A	19
INTRODUCTION: XX, AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION.....	20
CHAPTER 2.....	27
THURSDAY, JANUARY 16, 1992	27
TODAY'S WATCH	27
OLIVER STONE	27
CONTINUATION OF "CULT OF INTELLIGENCE"	28
CLANDESTINE MENTALITY	30
ABSENCE OF PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE.....	33
LET US LOOK AT SOME PUBLIC BACKFIRES	34
CHAPTER 3.....	36
FRIDAY, JANUARY 17, 1992	36
TODAY'S WATCH	36
JFK	36
CIA UNMASKING	37
DON'T I, HATONN, KNOW AUTHORS AT RISK?	37
NEW BLOCKBUSTERS?.....	38
OTHER TID-BITS TO TANTALIZE	38
BUT WHAT DOES BUSH HAVE TO DO WITH ANYTHING?.....	40
SO WHAT?.....	41
SO FINALLY, AT LEAST, HATONN CAN'T TAG ISRAEL?	41
BUT, SURVIVORS OF KAL 007? TOO MUCH!?!	42
CHAPTER 4.....	45
SUNDAY, JANUARY 19, 1992	45
TODAY'S WATCH.....	45
STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE AND COLD FUSION	45
STUDIES ON PALLADIUM.....	46
HEAVY WATER	46
IMPORTANT SIDE-VIEW	46
WHAT IS TOLD	46

THE CLANDESTINE THEORY	47
CHAPTER 5	54
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 1992	54
TODAY'S WATCH.....	54
IS JOHN COLEMAN GOD?	54
BAHAI MOVEMENT--TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR?.....	54
SUCKED IN BY THE NON-NEWS?.....	56
READ ALL INFORMATION	56
BUSH/OCTOBER SURPRISE	57
UNIVERSE AND GOD	57
NOBLE RED MAN/LAKOTA	59
GOD'S WRATH: A PROPHECY	59
THE CLANDESTINE THEORY	59
SWASHBUCKLERS AND SECRET WARS	60
CHAPTER 6	65
FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 1992	65
CIA, THE "CLANDESTINE THEORY" CONT'D	65
INTEGRATES CIA WITH KGB AND MOSSAD.....	65
SUPPRESSED FOR EIGHTEEN YEARS	65
COVERT-ACTION THEORY	66
CLANDESTINE RICHARD BISSELL	66
RICHARD HELMS	67
BISSELL STAYS ON	67
SECRET MEETING JAN. 1968 AT CFR HDQTRS	68
EMBASSY COVER	69
BLACKMAIL AND COERCION USED	69
LONG TERM COVERT INFLUENCE	70
ONLY THE CIA KNOWS	70
UPDATE ON BO GRITZ.....	71
CHAPTER 7	72
SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 1992	72
TODAY'S WATCH	72
INDIANA PLANE CRASH - ISLAMIC WAR	72
(BACK TO THE CIA) COVERT-ACTION	72
CLANDESTINE OVERRIDES ANALYSIS	73
EIGHT TACTICS CIA USES	74
CIA "DEALS AROUND" U.S. AMBASSADORS	75
CIA MONEY CORRUPTS.....	76
STUDENT RECRUITS TRAPPED	76
PRESIDENT DIDN'T WANT IT CHANGED.....	77
\$50,000 IN OLD BILLS	78
EXCHANGE OF PERSONS	79
ECONOMIC PROGRAMS SELDOM WORK.....	79
CUBAN SUGAR: BUNGLED, IMBITTERED.....	80
PRESIDENT CAN DODGE CONSEQUENCES	80
PRESIDENTIAL "BLAME" ONLY PART OF COVER	81
CHAPTER 8	83
SUNDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 1992.....	83
TODAY'S WATCH	83

WE OFFER SPIRITUAL GROWTH	83
SEE IT AS IT IS	84
PLANS FOR NEW YORK CITY	84
MAJOR PLANTED BOMB LOCATIONS	85
INCREDIBLE ACTIONS NEAR.....	85
CHAPTER 9.....	87
SUNDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 1992.....	87
THE CIA AND THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY	87
WASTEFUL PAY POLICIES	88
COMPUTERIZED RECORDS VIEWED AS THREAT	88
UNCOUNTABLE: PLANES and PEOPLE	89
PROPRIETARY COMPANIES OUTGROW CONTROL	89
A MULTI-BILLION DOLLAR CONGLOMERATE	
WITH BUDGETARY BLACK HOLES.....	90
HIDDEN SURPLUSES	90
"WITHOUT REGARD TO PROVISIONS OF LAW"	90
CIA DID WHAT DEFENSE SECRETARY COULDN'T DO	91
PICKING UP LBJ'S TAB ON THE SLY.....	91
CHAPTER 10.....	93
SUNDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 1992.....	93
CONT' D: CIA AND INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY	93
INVESTIGATION OF CIA FINANCES (ALMOST).....	93
THE FOUR FINANCIAL DIRECTORATES OF CIA	94
INTERNAL SECRECY.....	95
"SUBTLE" CENSORSHIP OF RETIREES	95
THE CLANDESTINE SERVICES	95
DOMESTIC U.S. OPERATIONS "MYSTERIOUS"	97
TECH SERVICES: JUST LIKE 007	97
RENAMING BRANCHES--SOUND FAMILIAR?	98
BLACK MARKET MONEY DEALS.....	98
SHADES OF KOL NIDRE.....	98
KEY PERSONNEL NOT AS PRESENTED PUBLICLY	99
CHAPTER 11.....	101
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1992	101
TODAY'S WATCH	101
GLOBALISM	101
WHY PUSH ON?	102
THE GOAL: GLOBAL CONTROL.....	102
CONCENTRATION CAMP EARTH.....	103
YOUR WAY: GOD'S WAY.....	104
CIA AND THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY--CONTINUED	104
THE DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE	104
THE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DIRECTORATE	105
TOO MANY PEOPLE.....	106
IMPORTANCE OF KNOWLEDGE RE: CIA	107
OKLAHOMA INCIDENT.....	107

ALWAYS STRICTLY PROPER ACTIONS	108
CHAPTER 12	110
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1992	110
THE OKLAHOMA INCIDENT UPDATE	110
THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY	111
TEN SEPARATE COMPONENTS	111
CIA HEAD "BIG CHIEF" OF ALL U.S. INTELLIGENCE	112
BRITISH INTELLIGENCE REAL HEAD OF U.S. INTELLIGENCE	112
INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS SECRET FROM CONGRESS	113
INTERACTIONS REVEALING	114
CHAPTER 13	116
FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 1992	116
TODAY'S WATCH	116
BO GRITZ/DAVID DUKE AND CONTROVERSY	116
CAUTION	116
JUDGE NOT WHAT WAS: ONLY WHAT IS	117
JUDGE THE IMPACT	117
WHY HATONN IS SELECTIVE	118
MARIO CUOMO	118
TO E.M.S	119
LAW CENTER	119
JOHN HOOPER/VAN NUYS , CA	119
BACK TO THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY	121
CIA OVERHAUL	121
INTELLIGENCE CZAR	122
CIA AND THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY--CONTINUED	123
DEPARTMENTAL INTELLIGENCE	123
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY	124
AIR FORCE INTELLIGENCE	125
OFFICE OF NAVAL INTELLIGENCE	125
ARMY INTELLIGENCE	126
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY	126
SPACECRAFT ON AIRCRAFT CARRIER	126

DEDICATION

This series of volumes regarding the CIA are dedicated in great appreciation to several daring men who have come from the Special Services of Intelligence in one way or another and effort to enlighten a sleeping mass of citizens of the world--in time to allow for revolvment into the path once again--of Constitutional Law and protection OF THE PEOPLE, BY THE PEOPLE AND FOR THE PEOPLE. If YOU fail to heed the warnings, you have but to await the prison-keeper for you have done it unto self in your blindness.

I give great honor to **VICTOR MARCHETTI, JOHN STOCKWELL, AND COMMANDER JAMES GRITZ**. There are others, but these ones have done tremendous service to you and yet you fail to see and hear. May the gift of insight be given unto you for if you cannot hear through the ears and see through the eyes of ones who **WALKED THE PATH**, then you are destined to repeat and complete the **PLAN** utilized against you. So be it.

INTRODUCTION

REC #1 HATONN

MON., FEB. 24, 1992 7:21 A.M. YEAR 5, DAY 192

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 1992

As we set forth upon the winds of life journeys, how many are tossed about by the whirlwinds and how many are prepared in security beyond those winds? You, perhaps, cannot ever learn to stop the winds but you can keep your wings intact and learn to shed the winds so that they can pass you by.

In this endeavor there must be goals and dreams of how to reach those goals--beyond the moments in passing. There is only memory from past--and no future in certainty. There is but the moment--buffered by the lessons of the memories and the positive goals of the future in perception.

Since you can only be sure of some type of transition from this experience into another--even if you perceive it to be "death" and ceasing of adventure--so you must, in sequence, look beyond. **HOWEVER, DO NOT DWELL IN IT FOR IT IS THE NOW WHICH IS MANIFEST FOR YOUR USE.**

You must, also, hold fast to dreams for if dreams die and are buried, you become as a broken-winged bird that cannot fly. Perhaps, chelas, if there are no flights today for you, then maybe there is a wound which must first be healed. Perhaps you need acquire more "knowledge" that your directions and insight are honed for action. Even a broken wing can be healed and new feathers grown if ye but know **HOW.**

Dreams are but "thoughts" and thoughts--just mere thoughts--are powerful. They are as the electric batteries processing and storing energy. They are priceless as sunlight for life or as bad for one as poison. What are your thoughts? You fear? What do ye fear? Mistakes? Oh, precious ones--**there is glory to be had in GREAT MISTAKES. In gaining of perfection one MUST make the mistakes of the growing being--how else can the lessons be truly learned? Do not fear error--simply "correct" error!**

JOURNALS

Please, as we have asked before--attend these volumes as you would a compilation of daily "journals". We have grown too pressed to handle only one subject at a time and there is no ability to bring forth all in the *LIBERATOR*. We must write the details and backup and send forth the messages (call) unto those who will fall into the writings along their journey unto "mission" and see their purpose of the journey.

It is, for instance, necessary that as we write on the "Intelligence" forces (mutually

exclusive terms, I believe), we must also give you insight on the participations of your President Bush so that you can attend an election with **knowledge** and not repeat and repeat your errors in blindness.

This means that you will have to plow through the tangled webs and threads of bindings which render you, as the fly in the spider web, helpless and without vision of Truth. If you fail to see your enemy and what has become your prison you have no way to set yourself free from the entanglement and the "spider" shall eat you or in the least, ye shall be trapped until you perish for as the insect in the web, he shall surely die if he cannot free himself.

Today ones speak of recovery of your economy. Greenspan gives you conjured reasoning why it appears there is recovery and all point to the "market". How much money might a family man out of work have in the market? Oh, you have it with a trusted Mutual Fund? And where does the Mutual Fund have its (your) money? And if it be pensions and retirement funds you await--where is that money invested? Ah so--the words are just more "party line" to make you believe that which is NOT. There is only more unemployment this day and less industry--**THERE IS NO RECOVERY AND IT SHALL BE LONG BEFORE THERE IS ANY KIND OF RECOVERY IN THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY. GREENSPAN KNOWS AS MUCH AND IS SETTING YOU UP FOR THE FINAL BLOW WHEN THEY TELL YOU: "WE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE DIFFERENT, WE TRIED EVERYTHING--BUT ALAS, IT DIDN'T WORK. I'M SORRY PEOPLE, YOUR ASSETS ARE ALL GONE!"** Ah yes, it **WILL** be that way. Just as "they" now tell you there were errors in the bombing of civilian targets in Iraq, right after telling you that you had "perfect precision" bombing when it was convenient and served their needs--they will tell you simply and openly--"We made a mistake" and whether or not you like it--your life savings and property will be gone and there will be **NOTHING YOU CAN DO ABOUT IT. YOU MUST ACT NOW FOR IN THE HANDS OF THE BEAST WAITING TO EAT YOU IS NOT SECURITY FOR ANYTHING.**

POLITICS

I am asked about this one or that one and I have spoken of my aversion to anything regarding politics; however, you are stuck in the mire of that very downfall. I am specifically asked about Jerry Brown. His pronouncements are good--excellent, in fact, for breakaway from the present and movement into the new, and his plan could work to pull you out and up through the morass--if there be enough support. You still have to clean Congress. However, as we disclose "crime" in the ranks you can clean the Congress. You will have to reinstate the missing 13th Amendment and remove all of nobility and title (that **DOES** mean attorneys) from the offices of government--just as the Amendment is intended. You will also have to return to a "justice" system and clean the vermin from the halls of Law. Yes, that **DOES** mean that ones wishing to serve will need renounce all connection with power. **REMEMBER: YOUR GOVERNMENT IS OF YOU, BY YOU, AND FOR YOU--NOT FOR THE LEGAL POCKETS WHO MANIPULATE AND DESTROY JUSTICE.**

Are all Lawyers "bad"? NO. Are all Khazarians "bad"? NO. But I promise you that there are indeed FEW Khazarian Lawyers who have good intent or justice as operating procedure.

Do not quote me as saying "Jew" for YOU CALL THEM JEWS--I DO NOT--FOR THEY ARE NOT. They are anti-Christ Talmudists from the Khazarian lineage HAVING NOTHING WHATSOEVER TO DO WITH JUDAISM AND THEY HAVE STOLEN YOUR GLOBE THROUGH THE BRITISH-ISRAELI COMMITTEE OF 300 "CONTROLLERS" CONSPIRACY.

All you have to do is look and you can see what is happening in the controlled political arena--even in the ranks of the political Parties currently allowed, ones are not allowed within the balloting halls and are being restricted from the ballots themselves.

It is mandatory that the Parties in point be LEFT and ones unify separately as a new offering and join together in service most validly suitable to form a TEAM which cannot be beaten. YOU MUST LOOK TO THE LARGER AND HIGHEST GOOD. You now have Ross Perot offering to run for the office of President. Why would you further disperse strength?--JOIN TOGETHER AND A THRUST CAN BE MADE WHICH CANNOT BE THWARTED BY THE ELITE GANGSTERS. YOU THE PEOPLE MUST TAKE CONTROL!

A Man who is blind of sight must accept his plight and learn Truth through other senses--a Man who refuses to open his eyes and see is truly crippled.

DO IT! "LET'S GET OFF OUR 'BUTS' "

The front flyer from a book has been sent to my attention. The small inserted writeup is worthy of reproduction for your thought processes. The book is by the above title and let's see here, it is by a John-Roger & Peter McWilliams. You will find it almost anywhere that books are sold for it is not controversial and is a best seller--do-it-yourself type of volume. I believe it bears enough pondering material to suffice moving into the volume in hand.

Within each of us is a dream--a heart's desire.

For some, it's a professional or a career goal; for others, it's a relationship or a family. It might be a political or social desire, or it may be a religious or spiritual one.

*Unfortunately, many people are not pursuing their heart's desire. Some are so far away from living their dream that **they have forgotten what was their true dream.***

Why? What's keeping us from fulfilling our heart's

desire? Why aren't we living our dreams?

Our comfort zone.

Our comfort zone includes all the things we've done often enough to feel comfortable doing. Any behavior outside our comfort zone can result in fear, guilt, unworthiness, hurt feelings, anger, discouragement--you know: discomfort!

Alas, to accomplish something new requires new behavior, and new behavior by its very definition lies outside the confines of the comfort zone.

So, do we honor our comfort zone, or do we honor our dream? The choice is ours.

As Galbraith pointed out, "When faced with the choice between changing and proving there's no need to do so, most people get busy on the proof."

To accomplish anything, you must get up off your assets and put aside your "buts"--and "if onlys". You have the power of God to allow you to accomplish ANYTHING YOU WISH--I believe it is time to take that action and let's get on with our work.

Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn

CHAPTER 1

REC #2 HATONN

WED., JANUARY 15, 1992 9:34 A.M. YEAR 5, DAY 152

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 15, 1992

TODAY'S WATCH

Oh "crunch"! What will the idiots do next? You have a situation about to burst now, with China. You are going to impose trade restrictions against them after all the hullabaloo about open and favored trade, etc. That, of course, was to allow a good infiltration of the banking system and Kissinger Associates to get ready, set and GO. I promise you that this will be a **VERY** bad mistake because of the sheer numbers of Chinese bodies.

Can you not see that which your government is doing in the guise of saving "your jobs?" all the while they are moving all of your major industry into foreign countries? They are restricting you-the-citizens from having products either at lower affordable costs, quality worthy of purchase or having anything within range in depressed times. While your government claims nonisolation--they bulldoze dead ahead on ISOLATION--isolating you citizens from ability to obtain products. Do you not see? Even your own products will continue to be too high priced until depression strikes and no-one has funds for purchase at any rate, and also cause scarcity so that you have nothing either way.

Do you realize that GM's cuts alone will bring another 500,000 unemployed to the bread lines?

RON PAUL

I have honored Ron Paul often in the past but I now take exception LOUDLY to his projections at this time regarding the Soviet Union.

He says: "In 1989-90 we watched the collapse of Soviet socialism. In 1991, the Soviet Union itself vanished. Thus ended 74 years of the most brutal social engineering in world history--communism, the attempt to banish God, human nature, private property, and economic law..... "

Balderdash: **Nothing collapsed and nothing vanished! At this point not even the "name". This is typical of the confusion factors at work. They have simply moved their main point of operation to downtown New York and Washington D.C. They NEVER were Communist and when did you ever witness ANYTHING "VANISH" BY SIMPLY CHANGING ITS NAME? Ah, does the cup in front of you "vanish" because you now call it a "jug"? I thought not!**

What else your Big Boys are telling you this day--" ...there were far fewer casualties of Iraqis in the war than reported!" No, there were hundreds of thousands MORE--and you are now getting your "reverse information" tactics.

My notation regarding Paul is not to discredit him, it is that he has decided "...not to seek the Presidency but rather fully support Pat Buchanan." As of now there is ONLY ONE CANDIDATE PLANNING TO RUN ON THE PLATFORM SOLELY OF RESTORATION OF THE CONSTITUTION--BO GRITZ. At times even he is swayed by the political manipulators and he must, further, come into understanding that your nation was "birthed one nation under GOD--not one under a man named "Jesus". This "man" and "christ" are not synonyms. This must be understood in its full intent of freedom for ALL--even those who could not know or recognize a person called Jesus. I insist you consider again--HIS NAME WAS ESU EMMANUEL! THE NAME "JESUS" WAS GIVEN THE MAN AFTER HIS "DEPARTURE"--BY SAUL OF TARSUS (ESU'S DEADLY ENEMY) AS HE WAS TRAVELING UNDER HIS CHANGED NAME (PAUL), IN GREECE. PAUL CHANGED THE TEACHING TO SUIT THE NEEDS OF THE ADVERSARY AND PULLED OFF THE COUP OF THE GENERATIONS. IF YOU DOUBT ME AND YET YOU WISH TO CHECK HISTORY--NOTE THAT THE JEFFERSON BIBLE HAS REMOVED ALL OF PAUL'S WRITINGS--INDEED THAT WAS THOMAS JEFFERSON!

Therefore, you were birthed a "Christ--ian" in "Godness" nation to bear the lamp of freedom and symbol to a fallen planet as "God's chosen"--"i"sraelis. I am sorry, you born-again "Jesus" ones--it simply cannot be Truth, that which you are told to believe. The "Jesus on the Cross" story has no bearing on your nation as a placement for the masses of God's children--most of whom are totally unaware the story is fabricated to fool you grabbers of the easy way out. I would rather see OUR nation fall through the blatant deceit and open lies than through a substitute lie fostered by do-gooders. When ones come into understanding of TRUTH, then and only then will they have God's support in the human endeavor! So be it.

As we finally sit to pen on our work this day, I feel the frustration at the interruptions and the changing of topics. Yes, we do need to write about immunizations and inoculations but the public must begin to think for selves. There are forms which can be obtained from the Common Law Service Center (3rd Judicial District, 564 La Sierra Drive, Suite 187 Sacramento, California Republic, Telephone: 916 487-1849) which can be utilized to get children into schools and get them out of the immunization circle if you choose to so-do. My advice? By all means, it is a horror and not that which it appears to be. I consider the program to be one of "The Poison Needles" if that might give you a clue. Others call it "Murder by Injection". It is as with anything that starts with merit and ends up as tools of evil and control within the greedy tentacles of the Elite.

Since your political world is in as severe straits as your medical world--I cannot take one topic at a time lest other information go begging. If you get the two Books recommended in the prior JOURNAL, then you will have enough information until we

can get back to the beginnings of the immunization story and update it for you. Please bear with us, readers, as we are efforting to our utmost ability to cover it all and human limitations are most limiting.

HISTORY AND DATA OF THE CIA

I have promised, and today it becomes imperative, to give you history and data of the CIA. I prefer to go with the ones who refer to the CIA as the "Cult of Intelligence". You will find that from onset it was structured under the guise of working "for" the Soviet Union while all ones involved thought it was "against" the Soviet Union. I can best give you the story through the eyes of ones involved. I am, however, faced with a most definite problem, the material in point is now found in a book which has deliberately been taken from print and buried in the pits with other truth-bringing. Therefore, I shall simply write and refer to the authors by initials until such time as I can be sure of security. I believe this to be one of the best outlays in incidental information from past years and can be confirmed by more recent information as it has been brought forth and as we will outlay it. I will have to do it in two volumes to keep the length within ability to handle but I believe you will find it most interesting indeed.

My main need for immediate attention to this subject is that there is farcical thrust to "make public CIA documents which have been top-secret." I believe that you will find that there is information deleted from all documents even in the files, transposition of data, codes and total falsification of documents until, as with UFO phenomenon, there is no truth in that which will be foisted off on you in the public domain. The documents, further, will be interpreted FOR you and will disclose ONLY THAT WHICH IS INTENDED TO FURTHER MISLEAD YOU AS TO TRUTH. ANYTHING OF VALUE WILL REMAIN "NATIONAL SECURITY" AND I ASSURE YOU--YOU WILL GET NONE OF THE TRUTH!!!

Indeed, I shall even change initials of the writers in point for they have paid dearly enough and then I shall contact the one still living, personally. We will call the first writer in Introduction, IMA (I Am Anonymous).

I remind you that the CIA is only a small branch of the KGB/Mossad and exposure is deadly. I believe as we outlay experiences and see the connections with Russia right from onset you will be able to set 1+1 to 2. Most of you don't have the vaguest idea when or how it came to be a CIA and it was so exciting to be in that circle or witness the intrigue, etc., that you lost all knowledge of what it was or is. You see, you think INTERPOL to be something out of "Get Smart" or "James Bond". That is a REAL serious organization.

Since there is afoot a movement to disband the CIA, be cautious. It is as with the Soviet Union and KGB. Disbanding is NOT the point for there is NO INTENTION TO DISBAND ANYTHING--ONLY UNIFY AND STRENGTHEN INTO THE GLOBAL CONTROL FORCES. Again, changing the name of the "cup" to "jug" does

not change a thing, it only confuses the user!

IMA's PREFACE

This is a first person preface.

My introduction to the intelligence business came during the early years of the Cold War, while serving with the U.S. Army in Germany. There, in 1952, I was sent to the European Command's "special" school of Oberammergau to study Russian and the rudiments of intelligence methods and techniques. Afterward I was assigned to duty on the East German border. The information we collected on the enemy's plans and activities was of little significance, but the duty was good, sometimes even exciting. We believed that we were keeping the world free for democracy, that we were in the first line of defense against the spread of Communism--whatever that meant, for the system was neither communistic nor suited to any other political term save that which we had just endured and fought against.

After leaving the military service, I returned to college at Penn State, where I majored in Soviet studies and history. Shortly before graduation, I was secretly recruited by the CIA, which I officially joined in September 1955; the struggle between democracy and Communism seemed more important than ever, the CIA was in the forefront of that vital international battle. I wanted to make a contribution.

Except for one year with the Clandestine Services, spent largely in training, most of my career with the CIA was devoted to analytical work. As a Soviet military specialist, I did research, then current intelligence, and finally national estimates--at the time, the highest form of intelligence production. I was at one point the CIA's--and probably the U.S. government's--leading expert on Soviet military aid to the countries of the Third World. I was involved in uncovering Moscow's furtive efforts that culminated in the Cuban missile crisis of 1962 and, later, in unraveling the enigma of the "Soviet ABM problem".

From 1966 to 1969 I served as a staff officer in the Office of the Director of the CIA, where I held such positions as special assistant to the Chief of Planning, Programming, and Budgeting. Special assistant to the Executive Director, and executive assistant to the Deputy Director. It was during these years that I came to see how the highly compartmentalized organization performed as a whole, and what its full role in the U.S. intelligence community was. The view from the Office of the Director was both enlightening and discouraging. The CIA did not, as advertised to the public and the Congress, function primarily as a central clearinghouse and producer of national intelligence for the government. Its basic mission was that of clandestine operations, particularly covert action--the secret intervention in the internal affairs of other nations. Nor was the Director of CIA a dominant--or much interested--figure in the direction and management of the intelligence community which he supposedly headed. Rather, his chief concern, like that of most of his predecessors and the agency's current Director, was in overseeing the CIA's clandestine activities.

Disenchanted and disagreeing with many of the agency's policies and practices, and, for that matter, with those of the intelligence community and the U.S. government, I resigned from the CIA in late 1969. But having been thoroughly indoctrinated with the theology of "national security" for so many years, I was unable at first to speak out publicly. And, I must admit, I was still imbued with the mystique of the agency and the intelligence business in general, even retaining a certain affection for both. I therefore sought to put forth my thoughts--perhaps more accurately, my feelings--in fictional form. I wrote a novel, XXX, in which I tried to describe for the reader what life was actually like in a secret agency such as the CIA, and what the differences were between myth and reality in this overly romanticized profession.

The publication of the novel accomplished two things. It brought me in touch with numerous people outside the inbred, insulated world of intelligence who were concerned over the constantly increasing size and role of intelligence work toward bringing about an open review and, I hoped, some reform in the U.S. intelligence system. Realizing that the CIA and the intelligence community are incapable of reforming themselves, and that Presidents, who see the system as a private asset, have no desire to change it in any basic way, I hoped to win support for a comprehensive review in Congress. I soon learned, however, that those members of Congress who possessed the power to institute reforms had no interest in doing so. The others either lacked the wherewithal to accomplish any significant changes or were apathetic. I therefore decided to write a book--this book--expressing my views on the CIA and explaining the reasons why I believe the time has come for the U.S. intelligence community to be reviewed and reformed.

The CIA and the government have fought long and hard--and not always ethically--first to discourage the writing of this book and then to prevent its publication. They managed, through legal technicalities and by raising the specter of "national security" violations, to achieve an unprecedented abridgment of my constitutional right to free speech. They have secured an unwarranted and outrageous permanent injunction against me, requiring that anything I write or say, "factual, fictional or otherwise", on the subject of intelligence must first be censored by the CIA. Under risk of criminal contempt of court, I can speak only at my own peril and must allow the CIA thirty days to review, and excise, my writings--prior to submitting them to a publisher for consideration.

It has been said that among the dangers faced by a democratic society in fighting totalitarian systems, such as fascism and communism, is that the democratic government runs the risk of imitating its enemies' methods and, thereby, destroying the very democracy that it claims to defend. I cannot help wondering if my government is more concerned with defending our democratic system or more intent upon imitating the methods of totalitarian regimes in order to maintain its already inordinate power over the American people. IMA, 1974.

[H:] What you are going to find as we go through here is that as the pages and sections

would be returned to IMA there were great deletions. As we go along, I shall honor the author and also list the number of portions deleted, i.e., 1 line deleted, 3&112 lines deleted and thus and so.

Hatonn will comment as usual, within brackets [H:]. I believe you will find these portions quite expressive without many comments for I intend to comment quite extensively at the end if it seems appropriate. Note that this information is two decades old and so with what is coming through currently I think the picture should come into focus quite clearly.

OTHER PARTICIPANT: ALSO A

Unlike IMA, I did not join the government to do intelligence work. Rather, fresh out of college in 1966, I entered the Foreign Service. My first assignment was to have been London, but with my draft board pressing for my services, the State Department advised me that the best way to stay out of uniform was to go to Vietnam as a civilian advisor in the so-called pacification program. I reluctantly agreed and spent the next eighteen months there, returning to Washington just after the Tet offensive in February 1968. From personal observation, I knew that American policy in Vietnam was ineffective, but I had been one of those who thought that if only better tactics were used the United States could "win." Once back in this country, I soon came to see that American involvement in Indochina was not only ineffective but totally wrong.

The State Department had assigned me to the Bureau of Intelligence and Research, first as an analyst of French and Belgian affairs and then as staff assistant to State's intelligence director. Since this bureau carries on State's liaison with the rest of the intelligence community, I was for the first time introduced to the whole worldwide network of American spying--not so much as a participant but as a shuffler of top-secret papers and a note-taker at top-level intelligence meetings. Here I found the same kind of waste and inefficiency I had come to know in Vietnam and, even worse, the same sort of reasoning that had led the country into Vietnam in the first place. In the high councils of the intelligence community, there was no sense that intervention in the internal affairs of other countries was not the inherent right of the United States. "Don't be an idealist; you have to live in the 'real' world," said the professionals. I found it increasingly difficult to agree.

For me, the last straw was the American invasion of Cambodia in April 1970. I felt personally concerned because only two months earlier, on temporary assignment to a White House study group, I had helped write a relatively pessimistic report about the situation in Vietnam. It seemed now that our honest conclusions about the tenuous position of the Thieu government had been used in some small way to justify the overt expansion of the war into a new country.

I wish now that I had walked out of the State Department the day the troops went into Cambodia. Within a few months, however, I found a new job as executive assistant to Senator Clifford Case of New Jersey. Knowing of the Senator's opposition to the war,

I looked at my new work as a chance to try to change what I knew was wrong in the way the United States conducts its foreign policy.

During my three years with Senator Case, when we were concentrating our efforts on legislation to end the war, to limit the intelligence community, and to curb presidential abuses of executive agreements, I came to know IMA. With our common experience and interest in intelligence, we talked frequently about how things could be improved. In the fall of 1972, obviously disturbed by the legal action the government had taken against the book he intended to write but which he had not yet started, he felt he needed someone to assist him in his work. Best of all would be a coauthor with the background to make a substantive contribution as well as to help in the actual writing. This book is the result of our joint effort.

I entered the project in the hope that what we have to say will have some effect in influencing the public and the Congress to institute meaningful control over American intelligence and to end the type of intervention abroad which, in addition to being counterproductive, is inconsistent with the ideals by which our country is supposed to govern itself. whether such a hope was misguided remains to be seen. ALSO A., 1974

INTRODUCTION: XX, AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION.

On April 18, 1972, IMA became the first American writer to be served with an official censorship order issued by a court of the United States. The order prohibited him from "disclosing in any manner (1) any information relating to intelligence activities, (2) any information concerning intelligence sources and methods, or (3) any intelligence information."

To secure the order, government lawyers had appeared in the chambers of Judge Albert V. Bryan, Jr., of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, in Alexandria, on the morning of April 18, **without having notified IMA.** The government's papers recited that IMA had worked at the CIA from 1955 to 1969, that he had signed several "secrecy agreements" in which he had agreed not to reveal any information learned during his employment, that after he left the CIA he had revealed forbidden information, that he was planning to write a non-fiction book about the agency, and that publication of the book would "result in grave and irreparable injury to the interests of the United States."

Among the papers presented to the judges was an affidavit (classified "Secret") from Thomas H. Daramessines, Deputy Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, the head of the CIA's covert-activities branch. The affidavit said that a magazine article and an outline of a proposed book, both written by IMA, had been turned over to the CIA and that they contained information about the CIA's secret activities. The affidavit related several of the items and described how their disclosure would, in the CIA's opinion, be harmful to the United States. On the basis of that affidavit and others, including one by CIA Director Richard Helms, Judge Bryan signed a temporary

restraining order forbidding IMA to disclose any information about the CIA and requiring him to submit any "manuscript, article or essay, or other writing, factual or otherwise", to the CIA before "releasing it to any person or corporation". It was that order which United States marshals served upon IMA. The next month was consumed by a hectic and unsuccessful effort to have the order set aside.

IMA asked the ACLU for assistance the day after receiving the order, and was in New York the following day to meet his lawyers and prepare his defense. At the first court appearance, on Friday, April 21, we unsuccessfully urged Judge Bryan to dissolve the temporary restraining order. He also refused to order the government to allow MA's lawyers to read the "secret" affidavit, because none of us had security clearance. **[H: My goodness, citizens, we could stop right HERE! WHERE IS YOUR CONSTITUTION??? THIS PERSON NOT ONLY WAS KEPT FROM THE COURTROOM BUT, FURTHER, COULD NOT EVEN WITNESS THE PEOPLE OR INFORMATION USED AGAINST HIM--WAKE UP!!!]** The following Monday we were in Baltimore to arrange an appeal to the United States Court of Appeals to argue there that the temporary restraining order should be dissolved. The court agreed to hear arguments two days later. During the Baltimore meeting the government lawyers announced that they had conferred security clearance upon me and that I would be able to read the secret affidavit but could not have a copy of it. They said they would clear the other defense lawyers during the next few days. We were also told that any witnesses we intended to present at trial, to be held that Friday, would also require security clearance before we could discuss the secret affidavit with them. That was a hell of a way to prepare for trial; we couldn't even talk to prospective witnesses unless they were approved by the government.

We argued the appeal before the Court of Appeals on Wednesday, but that, too, was unsuccessful, and the temporary restraining order remained in effect. Our only satisfaction was an order by the court prohibiting both the CIA and the Department of Justice from trying to influence our witnesses in any way.

On Friday we appeared before Judge Bryan and reluctantly asked for a two-week postponement because it had been impossible for us to secure witnesses who could testify that day. The need for security clearance had made it impossible for us to discuss the case with those witnesses who had at least tentatively agreed to testify for the defense. But, more depressing, we had had great difficulty finding people willing to testify at all. We had called a few dozen prospects, largely former members of the Kennedy and Johnson administrations who had reputations as liberals and even, in some cases, reputations as civil-libertarians. I'm still waiting for them to return my calls. Of the other half, most were simply frightened at the idea of being identified with the case, and some, including a few who had themselves revealed classified information in their published memoirs, agreed with the government that IMA's pen should be immobilized. In the end, our list of witnesses was short but notable: Professor Abram Chayes of Harvard Law School, and former Legal Advisor to the Department of State in the Kennedy administration; Professor Richard Falk, Milbank Professor of International Law at Princeton; Morton Halperin, former Deputy

Assistant Secretary of Defense and staff member of the National Security Council under Kissinger; and Professor Paul Blackstock, an intelligence expert from the University of South Carolina.

The next two weeks were consumed by the frustrating hunt for witnesses and by other pre-trial requirements, including examination of Karamessines and the CIA's Security Director, who were to be the government's chief witnesses.

The trial started and ended on May 15. Essentially, it consisted of Karamessines repeating the contents of his secret affidavit. As interesting as it would be to describe the day in detail, I am forbidden to, for the public was excluded and the testimony of the government witnesses is classified. The result, however, is public. It was a clean sweep for the CIA, and Judge Bryan issued a permanent injunction against IMA.

The results on appeal were not much better. The validity of the injunction was broadly affirmed. The only limitation imposed by the Court of Appeals was that only classified information could be deleted from the book by the CIA. The litigation finally came to an end in December 1972 when the Supreme Court refused to hear the case. It was a great defeat for IMA, for his lawyers--**AND FOR THE FIRST AMENDMENT.**

* * *

American law has always recognized that injunctions against publication--"prior restraints," in legal jargon--threaten the root and branch of democratic society. Until 1971, when the New York Times was enjoined from printing the Pentagon Papers, the federal government had never attempted to impose a prior restraint on publication, and the handful of such efforts by the states were uniformly denounced by the Supreme Court. As we learned from the Pentagon Papers case, however, the Nixon administration was not going to be deterred by a mere two hundred years of history from becoming the first administration to try to suppress publication of a newspaper. They ultimately failed in their specific goal of suppressing publication of a newspaper--but, for fifteen days, a newspaper actually was restrained from publishing, the first such restraint in American history.

The Times' resumption of publication of the Pentagon Papers immediately after the Supreme Court decision would seem to mean that the case ended victoriously. Although it was a victory, it was NOT a sound victory, for only Justices Black and Douglas said that injunctions against publication were constitutionally forbidden under any circumstances. The other members of the court made it perfectly clear that they could imagine circumstances where such injunctions would be enforced, notwithstanding the First Amendment's guarantee of a free press. Nixon-administration lawyers could read the opinions as well as ACLU lawyers and they, too, saw that the decision in the Pentagon Papers Case was not a knockout punch. So only ten months after being beaten off by the New York Times, they were back in court trying the same thing again with IMA.

Nine opinions were written in the Pentagon Papers Case. Out of all those opinions one standard emerges under which a majority of the Justices would have allowed information to be suppressed prior to publication: proof by the government that disclosure would "surely result in direct immediate and irreparable injury to the Nation or its people." We were comfortable with that standard because we were confident that nothing IMA had disclosed or would disclose in the future would have that effect. But we were not permitted to put the government to its proof through the testimony of our four witnesses because Judge Bryan agreed with the government that IMA's case was different from the Pentagon Papers Case. "We are not enjoining the press in this case," the government lawyers said. "We are merely enforcing a contract between IMA and the CIA. This is not a First Amendment case, it's just a contract action." The contract to which they were referring was, of course, IMA's secrecy agreement.

All employees of the CIA are required to sign an agreement in which they promise not to reveal any information learned during their employment which relates to "intelligence sources or methods" without first securing authorization from the agency. The standard form of the agreement includes threats of prosecution and promises to deliver the most awful consequences upon the slightest violation. The only trouble with the threats is that until now they have been unenforceable. Apart from disclosure of information classified by the Atomic Energy Commission, it is not a crime to disclose classified information unless it is done under circumstances which involve what is commonly understood as espionage--spying for a foreign nation. The government tried, in the prosecution of Daniel Ellsberg, to stretch the espionage statutes to punish his disclosure of the Pentagon Papers, even though he had had no intent to injure the United States, as required by the statute. Though that prosecution was aborted under the most dramatic circumstances, including a surreptitious attempt by President Nixon to influence the trial judge, it is unlikely that the appeals courts would have upheld such an expansive application of the espionage laws--assuming that the jury would even have brought in a guilty verdict.

In any case, being doubtful about how far the threat of prosecution under a dubious statute would deter IMA from publicly criticizing the CIA and inevitably disclosing some of its practices, the CIA fell upon the contract theory as a device for trying to suppress his book before it was put into print. The theory struck a harmonious note with the federal judges who heard the case, and proved more successful than the government probably ever dared to hope and certainly more than we had ever expected. But it cheapens the First Amendment to say that an agreement by an employee of the United States not to reveal some government activity is the same as an agreement to deliver a hundred bales of cotton. It ignores the compelling democratic principle that the public has a right to be well informed about its government's actions.

Of course, some will be heard to say, "But these are secrets," and indeed much of the information you will read in this book has been considered to be secret. But "secrets" have been revealed before--there were literally thousands of them in the Pentagon Papers. Every high government official who writes his memoirs after leaving office reveals "secrets" he learned while in government service, and most had signed secrecy

agreements, too. "Secrets" are regularly leaked to the press by government officers, sometimes to serve official policy, sometimes only to serve a man's own ambitions. In fact, disclosure of so-called secrets--even CIA secrets--has a long and honorable history in our country, and the practice has proved to be valuable because it provides the public with important information that it must have in order to pass judgment on its elected officials.

Furthermore, disclosure of "secret" information is rarely harmful because the decision inside government to classify information is notoriously frivolous. Experts have estimated that up to 99 percent of the millions of documents currently classified ought not be classified at all. But not only is disclosure of "secret" information generally harmless, it is a tonic that improves our nation's health. Government officers cried that disclosure of the Pentagon Papers would put the nation's security in immediate jeopardy. When they were finally published in their entirety, the only damage was to the reputation of officials in the Kennedy and Johnson administrations who were shown to have deceived the nation about the war in Vietnam.

* * *

When you read this book, you will notice that, unlike any other book previously published in the United States, this one contains blanks. That is the remarkable effect of the government's success. You will also notice that the book has two authors, IMA and ALSO A. That is another remarkable effect of the government's success. After being enjoined, defeated in his attempts to win relief in the appellate courts, virtually ignored by the press, shunned by his former colleagues at the CIA, unable even to discuss the progress of his work with his editor at XXX (because the very purpose of the injunction was to forbid the publisher to see the manuscript before the CIA had the opportunity to censor it), there was serious question whether IMA would be able to write the book at all. His discouragement was profound and his bitterness sharp. If he had not written the book, the government's success would have been complete, for that was its real objective. Luckily, IMA and ALSO A came together and with a shared perspective on the evils of clandestine activities; they were able to do together what the government hoped would not be done at all.

When the manuscript was completed at the end of August 1973, it was delivered to the CIA. Thirty days later, the time allowed by the injunction, we received a letter from the CIA which designated 339 portions of the book that were to be deleted. Some of the deletions were single words, some were several lines, some were portions of organizational charts, and many were whole pages. In all, 15 to 20 percent of the manuscript was ordered deleted. I won't soon forget that September evening when IMA, ALSO A and I sat in the ACLU office for several hours literally cutting out the deleted parts of the manuscript so that we could deliver the remains to It was the Devil's work we did that day.

[H: Let's see now, if that was the Devil's work, I would hope that ones who might know of that deleted material turn it now into GOD'S WORK! I suggest that any

who recognize this writing and know ones involved suggest that the information deleted somehow, someway anonymously get into my attention. I will be most happy to print the original with deletions, in full--and then print the deletions as an addendum in the second volume. I want no information as to source or resource. I ask that the prints be wiped of all identification and fingerprints and indeed, IT IS HARD TO SUE GOD!!! ALSO, YOU NOW HAVE SOME PRETTY STRONG BROTHERS TO BACK UP THE INFORMATION AND EVEN MY SCRIBE WILL NOT KNOW RESOURCE--I WOULD NEVER PLACE HER IN JEOPARDY. SO BE IT.]

We filed suit in October, together with XX, challenging the CIA's censorship. By the time we went to trial on February 28, the agency had reduced the number of deletions from 339 to 168. Withdrawal of half their original objections should not be taken as a sign of the CIA's generosity. On the contrary, it was the result of our insistent demands over a period of four months, and the agency's recognition that we would go to the mat over the very last censored word. The authors gave up nothing, and rejected several invitations to re-write parts of the book so that it would be satisfactory to the CIA.

There were three issues to be decided at the trial: did the censored portions of the book consist of classified information?

Was that information learned by the authors during their government employment?
And was any of it in the public domain?

After a two-and-a-half day trial, including testimony by the five highest-ranking officials of the CIA, Judge Bryan decided the case on March 29. It was a major victory for the authors and the publisher. Bryan held that the agency had failed, with a few exceptions, to prove that the deleted information was classified.

The decision was probably more surprising to the CIA. Accustomed as they have become to having their way, it is unlikely to have occurred to them that a mere judge of the United States would contradict their declarations about classified information, for it was the government's theory throughout the case that material was classified if high-ranking officials said it was classified. Our view, presented through the expert testimony of Morton Halperin, was that concrete proof of classification was required. In the absence of documents declaring specific information to be classified, or testimony by the employee who had in fact classified specific information, Judge Bryan flatly rejected mere assertions by ranking CIA officers that such information was classified.

Of the 168 disputed items, he found only 27 which he could say were classified. On the other hand, he found that only seven of the 168 had been learned by IMA and ALSO A outside their government employment, and that none of the information was in the public domain.

The decision is obviously important. It allows virtually the entire book to be published

(though the present edition still lacks the deleted sections cleared by Judge Bryan, since he postponed enforcement of his decision to allow the government its right to appeal); it desanctifies the CIA; and it discards the magical authority that has always accompanied government incantation of "national security". Hopefully, the higher courts will agree. **[H: We have searched the bookshelves for a follow-up of this document and have located none. Therefore we will work from the assumption that a subsequent publication may not have been made. I will be very happy for any information which will set this to correct if in error. As ones come under such harsh and ceaseless attack, as now is happening with Oliver Stone and the JFK movie of truth, it is very hard to bounce back (if you survive at all) to serve further. These factions do not play fair, they are practiced at that which they do and the confrontation is indeed terrifying. Stone, for instance, has been asked in great pleading to film OCTOBER SURPRISE, and has declined as he states that he can stand no more abuse and attack, "the pressure and consequences are simply too great". When no one is left who dares--where will you be, America?]**

There will necessarily be differences of opinion on the subject of the disclosure of secret information. The reader of this book can decide whether the release of the information it contains serves the public's interest or injures the nation's security. For myself, I have no doubts. Both individual citizens and the nation as a whole will be far better off for the book's having been published. The only injury inflicted in the course of the struggle to publish the book is damage sustained BY THE FIRST AMENDMENT.

* * * * *

Let us have a break, please, and we can further decide just how we are going to handle this information. It is old and certainly damages not "national security" but I must always act in protection of all ones involved.

Hatonn to clear, please.

CHAPTER 2

REC #1 HATONN

THU., JANUARY 16, 1992 7:35 A.M. YEAR 5, DAY 153

THURSDAY, JANUARY 16, 1992

TODAY'S WATCH

May the Light be your guide and your shield and through Grace may we find the path. Hatonn to continue.

Anyone who thinks it is just a little coincidence that you have a U-2 plane down in "South Korea" by "accident"--is going to be a really good prospect to buy a few thriving S&L's. You have just had a MAJOR INCIDENT and won't it be fun to see how long it takes for you to find out the truth. How about a rerun of Gary Powers in 1960???

OLIVER STONE

I am not going to go into a lot of world "watching" today for I wish to get on with our writing of the CIA exposure for the heinous organization it represents. However, I wish to ask you readers to support Mr. Stone's efforts to bring you truth from all the lies in a way which allows you to SEE and perhaps better understand. He makes no claims to anything--he presents the FACTS as he finds them and only compiles testimony in such a manner as to be able to get pertinent information into his allotted time. You ones have been trained to not sit more than a couple of hours at a time for anything--fact or fiction. In fact, facts usually go begging and fiction in violence will hold your attention longer.

Please KNOW that this man is undergoing major "bashing" and yet, if you don't support his efforts to bring truth in the most effective manner possible, on the screen, you are going to be locked into the lie forever. You must demand that truth flow and, further, that it be put on the media screens wherein the adverse "training" has taken place. That means that you-the-people are going to have to demand and reclaim your media from the Zionist controllers. You have no idea how much trouble this group of movie makers had in getting JFK to the screen. The assumption was that the scenario presented could easily be discounted through ridicule by the head-newsmakers such as Evans, Novak, etc. No, it isn't working because if Man has Truth--he will eventually SEE IT for he is given faculties of REASON and LOGIC and Truth will always 'out' eventually. When one lie is uncovered, other connecting lies will also be revealed.

As you find the CIA involved "through proof" then you can more easily, for instance, look at that which we bring. I especially would wish you to remember that we wrote

about Kennedy in truth in our first JOURNAL. I am not herein advertising but only pointing out TRUTH and CONFIRMATION so that you can feel a bit more comfort with our presentations for we have so little time to perfect our mission. We must all begin to pull on the oars together, in unison, if we are to set the boat to right. PLEASE, I IMPLORE YOU--GO WITH THE TRUTH AND NOT THE CONTROVERSIAL RESOURCE REPRESENTED HEREIN--THE LATTER WILL WORK ITSELF OUT AS YOU FIND NAUGHT SAVE TRUTH IN THAT WHICH WE OUTLAY. ALLOW YOUR CONFIRMATIONS IN OPEN-MINDS WITHOUT JUDGMENT UNTIL AFTER YOU GLEAN THE INFORMATION. IF WE ARE CORRECT IN MOST THINGS AS YOU UNFOLD THE WRITINGS--GIVE US "POSSIBILITY" OF RIGHTNESS IN OTHER AND ALL THINGS FOR IT IS THROUGH REASON AND THE GIFT OF GOD THAT WE WISH TO REACH YOU--NOT IN MAGIC, MYSTICISM AND HOCUS-POCUS. YOU ARE NOW MOVING FROM THE ILLUSION AND INTO THE REALITY OF EXPERIENCE; DON'T MISS THE BOAT OR YOU SHALL MISS THE ONE GREATEST EXPERIENCE OF YOUR LIFESTREAM.

CONTINUATION OF "CULT OF INTELLIGENCE"

In November, 1971, Chairman, Senate Foreign Relations Committee, Senator J. William Fulbright said: "But this secrecy...has become a god in this country, and those people who have secrets travel in a kind of fraternity...and they will not speak to anyone else."

I suggest that is one of the most gross understatements ever made.

There exists in your nation today an incredibly powerful and dangerous secret cult--this "cult of Intelligence".

Its holy men are the clandestine professionals of the Central Intelligence Agency as you recognize it--a worldwide Agency by many names that are hidden from you. This has been the enforcement factor for insuring take-over by the Elite in its ability to hide EVERYTHING AND ANYTHING FROM YOU CITIZENS.

Its patrons and protectors are the highest officials of the federal government. Its membership, extending far beyond governmental circles, reaches into the power centers of industry, commerce, finance, and labor--recruitment into the force is begun in your high schools. It is easy to recruit young people for the aspects are presented in the most wondrously intriguing ways imaginable along with the sex appeal of a James Bond type of charismatic hero actor. Its friends are many in the areas of important public influence--the academic world and the communications media. The cult of intelligence is a secret fraternity of the American political aristocracy--not the one world "New World Order" enforcement wing, internationally. It is structured to cover all and any corrupt behavior of any personages in power.

The purpose of the cult is to further the foreign policies of the U.S. government by

covert and usually illegal means. This would imply that the whole of the organization and operatives would be American--not so, Americans make up the least of the population in the organization today. At the same time it was to have contained the spread of its avowed enemy, Communism. But funny thing--you now have more KGB Communists, terrorist elite and Mossad agents in the organization than American leaders; the KGB and Mossad have been brought in specifically to take the leadership, training and authority. It is now called "working together as free nations" for a "peaceful world" under "democracy" which equates 100% to socialistic fascism and dictatorship/monarchy of puppets and puppet masters. Ah, yet traditionally, the cult's projected purpose has been to foster a world order in which America would reign supreme, the unchallenged international leader. I believe you can see that no matter how you lie to selves and wave flags, the facts remain that it isn't true. You have clung to the fantasy while the industrial and moral fiber of your very foundation has been sold or stolen from you. Wishing will no longer bring anything to right.

Two decades ago the dream had tarnished by time and frequent failures--the next years and into today are examples of the extensive corruption which has fingered its way around the globe in coalition with the criminals of the planet.

I have several books to recommend to you for reading and I'll mention two of them here so that you can begin to get ready with your modern confirmations. Acquire the Books of Col. James "Bo" Gritz and IN SEARCH OF ENEMIES by Stockwell. There are so many, among which are coming out the best, soon--by John Coleman. These are what you need if you doubt my outlay. For your sake, friends, if you doubt me, don't simply discount my word--go find your confirmation. If you turn away from Truth--you will have nothing left to rebuild. For instance, if you do not believe what I wrote about John F. Kennedy and his death--GO SEE "JFK"! There have been dozens of men who have brought you the truth of the circumstance but you have REFUSED TO READ OR RESEARCH! YOU, AS A PEOPLE, HAVE PREFERRED TO SEE NO EVIL, HEAR NO EVIL AND CERTAINLY, SPEAK NO EVIL. Well, soon you will lose all Constitutional Rights to see, speak or hear in freedom. THIS is the point--not who may or may not have shot a President. Coups take place all over the globe--why NOT in America? Are you sacrosanct?

The cult's objectives rapidly became far less grandiose as time flitted by and one after another cover-up worked. It seemed to seek largely to advance America's self-appointed role as the dominant arbiter of social, economic, and political change in the awakening regions of Asia, Africa and Latin America. Its worldwide war against Communism sounded good as a rallying point but, as is now obvious, that was never the point in the first place. That has now become reduced to a covert struggle to maintain a self-serving stability in the Third World, using whatever clandestine methods available--in order to serve the Elite Plan 2000.

For the cult of intelligence, fostering "stability" may in one country mean reluctant and passive acquiescence to evolutionary change; in another, a determined effort to reverse popular trends toward independence and democracy. The cult attempts that which it

believes it can accomplish and which--in the event of failure or exposure--the U.S. government can plausibly deny.

The CIA is both the center and the primary instrument of the cult of intelligence as you recognize it to be. For our purposes herein we will leave that assumption intact to lessen the confusion of intent of this JOURNAL. Its purpose is to engage in espionage and counterespionage, in propaganda and disinformation (the deliberate circulation of false information), in psychological warfare and paramilitary activities. It penetrates and manipulates private institutions, and creates its own organization (called "proprietarys") when necessary. It recruits agents and mercenaries; it bribes and blackmails foreign officials to carry out its most unsavory tasks. This becomes quite easy because first the enticements to officials are through bribery and threat and blackmail becomes easy and logical. It does whatever is required or desired to achieve its goals, without any consideration of the ethics involved or the moral consequences of its actions. As the secret-action arm of American foreign policy, the CIA's most potent weapon is its covert intervention in the internal affairs of countries the U.S. government wishes to control or influence.

Romanticized by myths, the operations of the CIA are also beclouded by false images and shielded by official deceptions. Its practices are hidden behind arcane and antiquated legalisms which prevent the public and even Congress from knowing what the mysterious agency is doing--or why. Thus the cult of intelligence justifies with dramatic assertions that the CIA's purpose is to preserve the "national security", that its actions are in response to the needs of the nation's defense. No one--in an age in which secrecy is the definitional operative of security--need know more than that.

The cult is intent upon conducting the foreign affairs of the U.S. government without the awareness or participation of the people. It recognizes no role for a questioning legislature or an investigative press. Its adherents believe that only they have the right and the obligation to decide what is necessary to satisfy the national needs. Although it pursues outmoded international policies and unattainable ends, the cult of intelligence demands that it not be held accountable for its actions by the people it professes to serve. It is a privileged, as well as secret, charge. In their minds, those who belong to the cult of intelligence have been ordained, and their service is immune from public scrutiny.

CLANDESTINE MENTALITY

The "clandestine mentality" is a mind-set that thrives on secrecy and deception--right from the onset of adversarial operations against you of "Godness" (goodness, love and honor in open sharing). It encourages professional amorality--the belief that righteous goals can be achieved through the use of unprincipled and normally unacceptable means. Thus, the cult's leaders must tenaciously guard their official actions from public view. To do otherwise would restrict their ability to act independently; it would permit the American people to pass judgment on not only the utility of their policies, but the ethics of those policies as well. With the cooperation of an acquiescent, ill-

informed Congress, and the encouragement and assistance of a series of Presidents, the cult has built a wall of laws and executive orders around the CIA and itself, a wall that has blocked effective public scrutiny.

When necessary, the members of the cult of intelligence, including your Presidents (who are always aware of, generally approve of, and often actually initiate the CIA's major undertakings), have lied to protect the CIA and to hide their own responsibility for its operations. The Eisenhower Administration lied to the American people about the CIA's involvement in the Guatemalan coup d'etat in 1954, about the agency's support of the unsuccessful rebellion in Indonesia in 1958, and about Francis Gary Powers' 1960 U-2 mission. The Kennedy Administration lied about the CIA's role in the abortive invasion of Cuba in 1961, admitting its involvement only after the operation had failed disastrously. The Johnson Administration lied about the extent of most U.S. government commitments in Vietnam and Laos, and all of the CIA's. And the Nixon Administration publicly lied about the agency's attempt to fix the Chilean election in 1970. For adherents to the cult of intelligence, hypocrisy and deception, like secrecy, have become standard techniques for preventing public awareness of the CIA's clandestine operations, and governmental accountability for them. And these men who ask that they be regarded as honorable men, true patriots, will, when caught in their own webs of deceit, even assert that the government has an inherent right to lie to "its" people--as if IT owns you--and your opinions and existence have nothing to do with "IT".

The justification for the "right to lie" is that secrecy in covert operations is necessary to prevent U.S. policies and actions from coming to the attention of the "enemy"--or, in the parlance of the clandestine trade, the "opposition". If the opposition is oblivious to the CIA's operations, the argument runs, then it cannot respond and the CIA activities stand a good chance of succeeding. Nonetheless, in many instances the opposition knows exactly what covert operations are being targeted against it, and it takes counteraction when possible. The U-2 overflights and, later, those of the photographic satellites were, and remain, as well known to the Soviets and the Chinese as Soviet overhead reconnaissance of the United States is to the CIA; there is no way, when engaging in operations of this magnitude, to keep them secret from the opposition--especially when you and the opposition are working for the same end goal. It, too, employs a professional intelligence service--remember the KGB, and the Mossad. The Chinese have an even more sophisticated method of covert secrecy--they utilized a simple procedure of being secret from the entire world while they built their installations and underground shelters, etc. They can come out now because there is everything already hidden and secure and they don't really care WHO knows their strength. In fact, they like to toss it around a lot, i.e., arms to every small nation in the Arab World as well as the great big ones. You are about to awaken the sleeping giant and you are going to be very, very sorry about that.

Looking back to the time of the writing of the book in point you will find that from 1952 to 1964, at the height of the Cold War, the Soviet KGB electronically intercepted even the most secret messages routed through the code room of the U.S. Embassy in

Moscow. This breach in secrecy, however, apparently caused little damage to U.S. national security, nor did the Soviet government collapse because the CIA had for years secretly intercepted the private conversations of the top Russian leaders as they talked over their limousine radio-telephones. Both sides knew more than enough to cancel out the effect of any leaks. The fact is that in your country, secrecy and deception in intelligence operations are as much to keep the Congress and the public from learning what their government is doing as to shield these activities from the opposition. The intelligence establishment operates as it does to maintain freedom of action and avoid accountability.

Your nation, as with all other mighty nations and civilizations (actually even the most tiny places), shall fall because of the enemy WITHIN--again, not that one without. It is always that ones get control and maintain control and activities in the absolute secret shrouds of protection while they do their dirty work and destroy that which is YOURS. YOU are the ones who have laughed at the antics of the politicians and failed to choose better. YOU are the ones who fail to vote because, yes, it IS all decided but you have chosen not to make those ones accountable under your precious Constitution. YOU HAVE DONE IT AND IT IS UP TO YOU NOW, TO UNDO IT.

A good part of the CIA's power position is dependent upon its careful mythologizing and glorification of the exploits of the clandestine profession. Sometimes this even entails fostering a sort of perverse public admiration for the covert practices of the opposition intelligence services--to frighten the public and thereby justify the actions of the CIA. Whatever the method, the selling of the intelligence business is designed to have us admire it as some sort of mysterious, often magical, profession capable of accomplishing terribly difficult, if not miraculous, deeds. Look at James Bond, as example, in His Majesty's Secret Service! Like most myths, the intrigues and successes of the CIA over the years have been more imaginary than real as to that which was laid forth as their "goal". What is real, unfortunately, is the willingness of both the public and adherents of the cult to believe the fictions that permeate the intelligence business.

The original mission of the CIA was to coordinate the intelligence-collection programs of the various governmental departments and agencies, and to produce the reports and studies required by the national leadership in conducting the affairs of U.S. foreign policy. This was President Truman's view when he requested that Congress establish the secret intelligence agency by passing the National Security Act of 1947. But General William "Wild Bill" Donovan, Allen Dulles, and other veterans of the wartime Office of Strategic Services--a virtually unregulated body, both romantic and daring, tailor-made to the fondest dreams of the covert operator--thought differently. They saw the emergency agency as the clandestine instrument by which Washington could achieve foreign-policy goals not attainable through diplomacy. They believed that the mantle of world leadership had been passed by the British to the Americans, and that their own secret service must take up where the British left off. Thus, they lobbied Congress for the power to conduct covert operations. Lumped in all this, of course, are the "Black" operations, "Black" budget and thus and so.

That Truman attempted to create an overt intelligence organization, one which could emphasize the gathering and analysis of information rather than secret operations, was somewhat commendable--although not seriously contemplated. He thought he could control the advocates of covert action but that was, in retrospect, a gross error in calculations. His first miscalculation was that he held any power at all to do much of anything when not a direct disciple of the cult. In that instance, Congress, in an atmosphere of Cold War tension, allowed itself to be persuaded by the intelligence professionals--even then controlled by the foreign interests of the Zionists who had already gained control of the Congress. With the passage of the National Security Act of 1947 it allowed the new agency special exemptions from the normal congressional reviewing process, and these exemptions were expanded two years later by the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949. Of the greatest and most far-reaching consequence was the provision in the 1947 **law that permitted EXECUTIVE ORDERS!** This allowed the CIA to "perform such other functions and duties related to intelligence...as the National Security Council may from time to time direct". From those few innocuous words the CIA has been able, over the years, to develop a secret charter based on NSC directives and presidential executive orders, a charter almost completely at variance with the apparent intent of the law that established the agency. I use the term "apparent intent" because the "full intent" was exactly that which you have accomplished. The vague phrase has provided the CIA with freedom to engage in covert action, the right to intervene secretly in the internal affairs of your own and other nations. It has done so, usually with the express approval of the White House, but almost always **WITHOUT** the consent of Congress or even the knowledge of Congress, and virtually never with the knowledge of the American public.

ABSENCE OF PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE

Knowing nothing has meant that the public does not even realize how frequently the CIA has failed. Moreover, while the practices were under way so was a disinformation and distraction campaign under way to pull your attention into every other avenue of interest except world affairs and the games of the CIA. The media was in full coalition with the perpetrators and remains so to this moment. Pay attention as to **WHO IS DENOUNCING "JFK"!** Note who brings more and more outrageous stories to the air annually making the Holocaust worse and worse until it has finally proven its own stupidity of projection. When you have more people collecting restitution for being injured in the Holocaust than there were "Jews" in the given area to be slain and worse, more Jews touted to have been murdered than there were Jews in the whole continent at the time--it seems to reveal its own miscalculations. That, however, has not seemed to cause you-the-people to open your eyes and ears and consider **FACTS!**

In addition, the goodly workers of goodness save you from any responsibility at all. They come along and say, "What the Hell, so we finally get to our wondrous rapture and streets of gold." Literally, I heard your own Jan and Paul speaking of those "solid gold streets" as if they are paved throughout eternity. Are they bad people? No, the worst part of the parable is that they are wondrously good and motivated people--but

they took the bait and instead of controlling the fish, the fish wags them. In the horrendous face of making your nation again "right", you are given another cop-out--just go sit and wait until God comes and gets you off the place and into glory. You botched it, you broke it, kicked it and battered it--but you somehow don't have to be responsible and even consider "fixing" what you did--just believe a man shed blood FOR YOU SO YOU WOULDN'T HAVE TO HAVE ANY RESPONSIBILITY and you will somehow float away to all those good and wondrous rewards of riches and wonders. You don't even have to change your current activities--in fact, you must not disagree with any group in any actions--but forgive and allow! Bless and commingle, sharing love everywhere if even in the illicit bed of another's spouse while spreading death along the way in disease and pain foisted upon the others involved. This precious Jan even stated that in the Swaggart example--"We should have been more Godly and not condemned nor talked about him in negative manner--we should have simply forgiven and supported him." Are you insane? You don't have anything to "forgive" or "judge" another about--even Swaggart. However, it is your duty to be discerning and judge the actions and teach your children wrong from right behavior--not just give the child a condom and advise safe sex with the prostitute. You ones have even twisted the already erroneous lies of the adversary and he is having a total "field day" with you now, for you have extended the errors beyond anything he had thought to make part of the blueprint. Worse, you do it openly for evil in the name of God!

LET US LOOK AT SOME PUBLIC BACKFIRES

The one just spoken of above is the master plan of all backfires--it will end up getting your goose cooked. However in the line of CIA backfires we have some interesting incidents to consider. Occasionally, clandestine operations do backfire spectacularly and do so in public. The U-2 shutdown and the Bay of Pigs invasion, for example--and further, investigations by journalists and uncowed members of Congress in some of those instances gave the public some idea of what the CIA was actually doing. In the Watergate scandal it was revealed some of the CIA's covert activities within the United States, providing a frightening view of the methods which the agency had employed for years overseas and totally in secrecy within your borders. The assistance given the White House "plumbers" by the CIA and the attempts to involve the agency in the cover-up pointed up the dangers posed to American democracy by an inadequately controlled security intelligence organization. But you can see today that it actually changed nothing except the depth of secrecy in ever enlarging circles of incredible activities. As the opportunities for covert actions abroad dwindled and were thwarted, those with careers based in clandestine methods were increasingly tempted to turn their talents inward against the citizens of the very nation they professed to serve. Nurtured in the adversary setting of the Cold War, shielded by secrecy, and spurred on by patriotism that views dissent as a threat to the national security, especially of the people against the "rulers", the clandestine operatives of the CIA gained even more capability, resources, experience--and inclination--to ply their skills increasingly on the domestic scene and through domestic avenues even when involved with outside factions.

What I feel about the need of clandestine intelligence gathering as being necessary for a nation against its enemies is not of point herein. Anything out of control of the laws of the people and the land is wrong--no matter what the needs of the Elite. This is not my story in point herein and, therefore, I shall tell you what the authors in point feel about it.

They state the following:

There can be no doubt that the gathering of intelligence is a necessary function of modern government. **[H: Note: "modern government".]** It makes a significant contribution to national security, and it is vital to the conduct of foreign affairs. Without an effective program to collect information and to analyze the capabilities and possible intentions of other major powers, the United States could neither have confidently negotiated nor could now abide by the S.A.L.T. agreements or achieve any measure of true detente with its international rivals. **[H: I was wrong--I can't even finish the paragraph. You will note that all is based on the assumption that the government would work in honesty with integrity. What the cult of intelligence has done is forfeit and sell out your nations and cover the criminals until the dastardly deeds are done at whatever cost. The reason for recognizing this particular book is for its revelations--not its philosophical perception--for I consider the conclusions in philosophy to be in error. I see no way in which this type of structure can serve in honor for it is birthed from and by the criminal element in control. I do agree with their next statement regarding the book:]**

The aim of this book is to provide the American people with the inside information which they need--and to which they without question have the right--to understand the significance of this issue and the importance of dealing with it.

[H: As we write keep in mind that this book was written in 1973 and this JOURNAL in 1992. If you keep that in mind you will be able to bridge the interim years with better clarity and insight.]

Even Harry Truman questioned the CIA and its non-controllability: Dec. 1963: *"For some time I have been disturbed by the way CIA has been diverted from its original assignment. It has become an operational arm and at times a policy-making arm of the Government."*

CHAPTER 3

REC #1 HATONN

FRI., JANUARY 17, 1992 8:36 A.M. YEAR 5, DAY 154

FRIDAY, JANUARY 17, 1992

TODAY'S WATCH

Watch out America! The hype is coming upon you right now. The full intent had been to start another war to distract you and give excuse to boost the economy in behalf of Bush. So far the world is not cooperating. Cuba has gone silent, no pushes from Central or South America to speak of and there simply is not enough going on in Iraq to cover a deliberate attack against Saddam. In the Israeli sector it is Israel being the bad kid so no luck there either.

Watch Korea and the downed U-2 plane. That is intended to cause an incident--prodded on by the "no longer communists"? **THAT SPY PLANE WAS SHOT DOWN BY A COSMOSPHERE AND YOUR MILITARY IS HOLDING ITS BREATH.** You cannot win anything in that part of the world this day. It was really a bad political mistake to come back into the colonization by the British Zionist Crown. You don't understand--the RELIGIOUS DISORDER IS ALL THAT IS INTENDED IN ISRAEL--THE BANKING MONOPOLY IS IN SWITZERLAND RUN BY LONDON!

Today, like yesterday, politics will "remember" its wars and fallen leaders. In other words you are reliving the "anniversary celebration" of the invasion of a pitiful country with your "surgical" bombing and, this weekend, assassination by the CIA, Mafia and Elite of Martin Luther King. Was Martin Luther King a holy and saintly man? No, but he was in the way of the Elite bigots. You ask about Jesse Jackson taking that place of leadership? He can't for he succumbed to the "Royalty" when he joined the Honorary Elite of the Council on Foreign Relations.

What is good-old-boy Kissinger doing this week? Finalizing the establishment of his Kissinger Associates company in Moscow—WITH GORBACHEV AS PRESIDENT. It, of course, is just simply "good business".

JFK

I am going to suggest that you simply set aside all the attacks and counter-attacks regarding "truth vs. lies" and you will watch an unraveling of the conspiracy. You can know this by the Elite posturing of ones such as Connally, the Kennedys and others who were immediately involved. You will, further, see the "Larry King Live" shows doing a good job of asking proper questions to bring out the absurdity of such a cover-up and conspiracy. Connally, for instance, will say there is no connection with Ruby

and no relationship to Oswald and blabber, blabber, blabber! The facts are, friends, if you pay attention--these are the very areas of TRUTH IN DOCUMENTATION AND NOT SPECULATION!

Let me further suggest that you pay attention when the autopsy pictures, etc., are finally "under intense pressure" released. THE AUTOPSY PICTURES WERE TAMPERED WITH RIGHT FROM THE BEGINNING AND THE FILMS ARE GOING TO SHOW A NICE SMOOTH EXITING HOLE IN JOHN'S HEAD--NOT THE FACTUAL BLOWING AWAY OF HIS SKULL. The scene is getting set to "right" now and is set up by the very ones who took part, literally. Why? Because they have obtained their safe tickets to Australia by so-doing. If you think Nellie Connally is not protecting her interests NOW--you are surely not very observant.

Why would one continue the lie if truth could "out"? Come now, in this day after all these years--THEY HAVE NOTHING TO GAIN AND EVERYTHING TO LOSE BY TRUTH-TELLING. They have been rewarded handsomely for their silence and they see only personal disadvantage to telling. Moreover, as they would possibly come forward they also sign their own death warrant for it is easy, easy, easy to take out such blundering personages with one beam blast and cardiac arrest. These are very large stakes at risk for the Elite.

Remember that the truth of one incident will open the can of clues to the dirty truth of all of the others and show the total involvement of government, CIA, Mafia and all the international involvements. You must realize that Oswald was not tangled up in Soviet intrigue and just "happened" to be in a place whereby the motorcade would pass-- AFTER THE ROUTE WAS CHANGED AT THE LAST MINUTE! SOMEONE HAD TO KNOW AND CHANGE THE PARADE ROUTE! THINK ABOUT IT WITH REASON.

CIA UNMASKING

Isn't it dangerous for us to be ripping more cover off the CIA? Indeed! However, when they have already efforted to silence forever, my work--and failed--it becomes a bit more difficult of pull off an "accidental" death. It is the one(s) who have spoken out in the past and continue to do so that I must protect for they are the ones who will be at ever increasing risk as people begin to pay attention to the subject. You see, it is not what was written before--even though it be truth--there was no way to get backup and so no-one paid enough attention to take action against a dragon so monstrously gigantic. People are now ready to take that information (just as we are reprinting herein) and recognize it as Truth. That is exactly what is happening with "JFK" the movie. Truth is out there and REASON compels the proper conclusions and curiosity to check.

DON'T I, HATONN, KNOW AUTHORS AT RISK?

Of course. Yes, I know exactly WHERE they are and how to get in touch by your

equipment within minutes. That does not protect the persons involved nor allow for the end result I seek. I want to produce the work that is unfinished--FOR the ones in point, not simply get them into deeper water without hope of life-ring.

Please allow me to know •what I am doing--the job will be accomplished. My problem is keeping the thing from coming together so quickly as to blow the cover. Ones get very brave after years of struggle but fail to realize the massive job yet to be done and the need for information and services as this erupts. Revelations bringers are going to get targeted HARD. The entire thought to close-out the CIA is merely a trumped up idea to negate the prosecution of the involved criminals. Bringing documents public will not be worth much of anything. Ones who suggest the records will be intact are simply hoping the documents will be salvaged by the Elite thinking no one suspects their actions. Ones bright enough to unfold and daring enough to project the story are not stupid enough to believe that the CIA will not tamper with anything or that the Warren Commission will simply hand over documents incriminating themselves. It happens if handled properly and IF the counter-agents are doing a good job of duplications. You ARE on the brink of great revelations. It is simply time for YOU to cease being A gullible, swindled people. You feel a thing as big as this kind of treason could not be! I understand that perception--just hold an open-mind and you will be given into the seeing and the proof. But YOU will have to demand it not be dropped and again` tucked away.

NEW BLOCKBUSTERS?

Is Bush in trouble? Indeed, indeed. How is this for a bit of indigestion and fainting information--the man was almost taken-out and a little flu-bug didn't do it. Worse, there' are problms with the Soviets and Kissinger-pal. What you have unfolding is far beyond any kind of blackmail yet practiced and it is occurring through documents from both your countries, taken by the KGB and from abroad--all over the map.

How many of you are going to be shocked to find that the shooting-down of flight of Korean Airlines No. 007--wasn't? It wasn't shot down! It was BROUGHT DOWN and the crew and most of the passengers were taken prisoner. There were some important personages on that plane.' It's all in documentation--by the KGB--and ready to be released to prove your'cover-ups.

You see, the Soviet Union intends to have the world, old friends--all of it. You have just asked the anti-Christ enemy into your beds.

Do you think the KGB has changed its spots? Come now, little children--freedom has not jumped all over you.

OTHER TID-BITS TO TANTALIZE

What is really giving Bush a sick stomach? Oh, how would he like a little KGB revelation about secret files removed from the vaults of the KGB? Hundreds of secret

files, including a big, voluminous dossier of Henry Kissinger and other Republican power brokers. These nice documents are being offered for sale to Western publishers and the diplomatic correspondents know of the blackmail. This was dumped as a very large load of you-know-what as Bush started his heavy handed dealings with the Japanese. There was no flu-bug--if this dam bursts, dear ones, revealing the truth of all the undercover dealings, subversion, corruption and crimes in Washington, it will be far worse than any Watergate ever conjured.

The Soviets said they would take America (in fact, the world) without having to fire a bullet. You have put criminals in office who have done the job for them.

I know you want the details of these above topics but I can't cover them all this day for I must move on with the CIA information already begun. We will be getting details and backup from many sources very soon now and get some relief for Dharma. However, it is yet premature and no way to get it timely to our own readers who have so backed and supported our unfolding in the face of ridicule, denouncement and total assault. I think you ones will find realistic interest picking up right away now as things unfold and somehow old Hatonn and Brotherhood will not look so far-fetched or fantastic.

I shall try to give a whole day to the details of our topics, perhaps tomorrow. I would further say to you who are just beginning to look around that you have the best education right in the JOURNALS that you will receive and you are only just begun. However, I am most pleased to see that a distributor right out of California has nine full pages of titles which cover all of these "secret" subjects. Some are worthless and deceiving--but many are EXCELLENT. Further, America West will always make available any and all material I ask for in confirmation or give resource availability.

I suggest you could all have a short-cut if you just get your act together and let's get Gritz into a position of "house-cleaning". Further, if you want names, places and full confirmation--get ready for Coleman's book. The first portion is almost ready for the final press and when it hits--the activities will burst. Please let us give these daring men some support on a most human level--publicity is what will keep them healthy--I can only keep them alive as long as they themselves allow it. What happens to intended leaders rests in the hands of you-the-people. We can protect the leaders who CAN MAKE THE DIFFERENCE--BUT NOT IF YOU ASK NOT FOR THEY ARE NOT EXPECTED TO GIVE ALL THAT YOU HAVE TO GIVE NOTHING! There are ones who have tried to tell you for decades and decades--how it is and you have plugged your ears and avoided their outlay--calling them traitors and liars. There are ones from years back such as Arthur Robinson, Antony Sutton--Victor Marchetti. There are newspapers and newsletters with brave men who continued to tell even after infiltration by the very enemy himself. Perhaps the hardest of all has been the confronting of the system of the Religious Orders which slowly ate away at the very Truth of even God. Then there are the recent ones who speak out in full knowing of consequences for they have seen the nation fall into the clutches of your enemies. These are the Gritz, Colemans, Stockwells, Joneses, Bacques and Mullinses. These,

and more, shall be the ones remembered in the history of this world as the Socrateses, Esuses and Light of Civilization. What legacy WILL YOU LEAVE YOUR GENERATIONS TO COME AFTER?

BUT WHAT DOES BUSH HAVE TO DO WITH ANYTHING?

I think I have loosed the can of "curiosities" and will have to respond enough to quiet my own scribe. Today's watch has turned into something like the KGB files--bulging and bursting to be told. So, we'll try to do a bit of everything this morning.

Let us look at a scenario which might be considered pretty "typical" in outlay. The entire detailed scenario is followed and not one item is missed by your friendly KGB because your Intelligence Cults have been in coalition for a very long time now. After all, Mr. Bush was head Cultist Master if you remember your history at all.

A typical KGB case file would trace the trail of a bomb smuggled into the luggage compartment of a passenger aircraft, as an example, as follows:

As the charge explodes, the giant jetliner breaks apart in the sky and plunges to earth, killing all aboard. The bereaved families of the victims and their government blame a notorious secret service for this terrorist outrage and demand the extradition of two undercover agents who allegedly placed the bomb aboard the aircraft.

But the powerful secret service chief (Cult-Master) protects his agents, hides them behind cover jobs, blocks all extradition demands and finally frames an innocent man (like some likely Libyan or a John Demjanjuk) for the crime, thus aborting any hope of justice.

The case history, however, will not actually refer to a specific Arab nation such as Libya or Syria. The secret service chief behind the two murderous undercover agents is, of course, George Bush, the former **director of the CIA** . **The scenario is an accurate description of how a Cuban Airlines flight, No. 455, was brought down over Barbados on, say, October 6, 1976 by two CIA operatives identified as Luis Posada and Freddy Lugo. Sprung from prison, on direct orders from Bush, Posada still works for the CIA in an undercover Latin American job.**

A more recent and better example; take a "Gates" who is involved in crime up to and over his head--simply make him HEAD of the CIA. Well, there is risk involved in doing this because the ones who are in the CIA who actually serve nation and citizen will not sit still forever and--as others are brave enough to speak out and proof of incidents can be verified--the balloon will eventually burst.

Back to the Cuban incident: An innocent anti-Castro militant, Orlando Bosch, framed by the CIA, spent more than a decade in prison for a crime he did not commit.

Ironically, Bush did not order the downing of the Cuban airliner! Things just took their

natural route of "screw-ups". The CIA's plan was to explode the bomb as the plane was being refueled **on the ground at Seawell Airport in Barbados, thus causing embarrassment and disruption to Cuba's communist government, rather than human casualties.** It is hard enough to work, however, when the agents are ALL ON YOUR SIDE--but when you have a confusing mass of counter-agents and they are THE SAME, things get unwieldy. In this incident as with other innumerable CIA schemes, it went awry in a big way: Disaster was the result. Bush, who was serving as the CIA's top boss (Cult-Master) at the time, **was directly responsible.**

SO WHAT?

So--now you have a massive cover-up, blame and condemnation on a convenient scale at play. You have the Bush Administration now demanding the extradition of two alleged Libyan undercover agents accused of having been involved in the tragic downing of a Pan Am jetliner over Lockerbie, Scotland some two years ago. That crash was a **VERY emotional accident--it took out your children of prime worth and superior intelligence.** Oops, now we get into backfire position.

It is not as easy as it was to simply railroad Demjanjuk for the criminal alliance--Libya simply arrested the two accused operatives and has offered international jurists to act as observers of its investigation of the case. But, as you might guess, Bush, who knows the truth of the agents, wants the suspects, and their chief, extradited to the U.S. wherein there can be no trial with results other than as Bush demands.

Why won't Libya play ball? Because you blundered, America--you have shown what you will do with a citizen in defiance of all Constitutional Rights--just like you extradited John Demjanjuk to Israel and stripped him of his rightful U.S. citizenship and sent him to his death--AN INNOCENT MAN--you have no honor nor integrity. Further, you have a citizenly who will DO NOTHING BUT HELP HANG THE INNOCENT BEINGS ALL THE WHILE DENOUNCING AND ACCUSING WHEN YOU KNOW NOTHING OF TRUTH OR JUSTICE.

But back to the subject in point--KGB. The KGB has records, names and places and can nail the guilty parties right on the nose--for after all, they helped set it up. You see, since the Soviet Union has turned into the lighted path of democracy and disbanded this terrible KGB--they are just willing and able to tell all of the old stories. Ah, and you thought they were serving God and the U.S.? A bit of this kind of misunderstanding can (has) cost you your life and your nation. Yes indeed, ONE WORLD GOVERNMENT--NEW WORLD ORDER--THEIRS!

Ah indeed, the Noriega case in Florida will undoubtedly take an interesting turn now as these KGB files float around! It is going to prove that the sordid Panama mess was the CIA's fault and who, but WHO, was in charge--oh surely not, Mr. Bush.

SO FINALLY AT LEAST, HATONN CAN'T TAG ISRAEL?

Oops! Sorry about that! Let us look at another little scenario: Zaire. Here, with the joint efforts of the Mossad (Israel's secret service) the CIA has installed and maintained in power a corrupt military dictator very much like Noriega in Panama-- Mobutu Sese Seko. The Bush Administration now faces a crisis very similar to the Reagan regime's long drawn-out Noriega troubles. After running a regime even more brutal and oppressive than Panama's and looting his country on a far larger scale, Mobutu is on the down-slide. The U.S. is very anxious to see him go and has been pushing every way possible to get Mobutu to hand over power to the opposition **and simply retire into a billionaire's comfortable exile. After all, it has worked before and might work again--it did in Moscow--touché!?**

But--Mobutu, much like Noriega, has entrusted his personal safety to who? Ah--both ends against the middle--the Mossad and his elite guard battalion trained and armed by Israeli agents. "Advisers" from the Mossad, the Mideast "innocent's" secret service, are in charge of Mobutu's security apparatus. Leaning on these mercenaries and their coalition with Big Brother through a new treaty with the Soviets (like in KGB), Mobutu has managed to defy every argument and pressure the White House has mustered for his ouster. Just as eventually always happens-CIA--it is the enemy come within which shall bring your destruction.

Apparently, as in the Noriega case, direct military intervention will be necessary to remove this corrupt CIA puppet. The details of the Zaire crisis suggest the scandalous Panama affair was not simply a case of Noriega's crooked rule. The main blame for these criminal and obstinate tyrannies should rest where it belongs--in Washington, with the CIA and its involvement in drugs and illegal business scams. It won't be as simple to hop over to Zaire as it was to Panama, however, though the stakes are as high--but a little blackmail by the KGB is awfully embarrassing right now just when you need a good little war to protect humanitarian rights. Apparently the approach of the Bush administration is to send the humans "home to God" as the solution to the misadventures of wanton dictators.

BUT, SURVIVORS OF KAL 007? TOO MUCH!?!.

No--passengers, including Sen. Larry McDonald and crew, have been held and if you play your cards correctly right now, America, are still being held right in the good old "priorly" Soviet Union. I guess properly it is the "former" Soviet Union.

It might be interesting to note that the facts SORT OF LEAKED OUT right from, of all places, Jerusalem.

So what did happen to that plane: It was hit and damaged by two rockets launched by the Soviet pilot, Col. Osipovich, from his SU-15 fighter plane; the Korean Boeing 747 did not go out of control of its pilots.

The airliner had a very nice soft landing near Sakhalin--an island occupied by the

Soviet Union and which the Japanese and Soviets have both claimed, at least since the end of World War II.

Begins to get sticky, doesn't it? Japan? Oh my goodness, could it be? It does look a bit suspicious in several ways, does it not? Oh, not yet--not suspicious yet? OK, how about I remind you who Larry McDonald is. He was a very active political personage against the established political parties in the U.S.--he was a leader in the John Birch Society and therefor classified as a populist type of libertarian troublemaker. McDonald has been danced in and out of several prisons right in Moscow and the passengers were divided among various camps. The children were immediately placed in orphanages in one of the Soviet Middle Asian republics.

The final version of your Establishment media of the fate of the plane, although nothing ever reported added up to anything, was that the plane went down at sea with no survivors. Immediate dispatches from the area, disseminated within minutes of the attack on Sept. 1, 1983, told a far different story--if anyone noticed.

Associated Press, for example, in an "oops" of all time--reported KAL 007 "**with 269 people landed safely early today on the Soviet island of Sakhalin.**" Even McDonald's brother said, "We've heard from the State Department the plane is down and the passengers are safe." Even Korean Air Lines officials initially said the craft landed on Sakhalin Island.

Would it then not appear that someone is not telling you-thepeople the truth? Would there not seem to be some interesting things going on regarding the Japanese-Soviet relationship over those Sakhalin Islands?

Your work would seem to be cut out for you, America. There isn't going to be anyone to do it FOR you so isn't it about time you "bit the bullet" and got on with the house-cleaning? It may not save your nation as you would desire, but it will give you opportunity for change. You may even come to see your so-called enemy in a different light from time to time as we move along.

Can God's people survive? Absolutely! But I suggest you start with a very careful look at self--so see if YOU fit into the category truly of "God's child". I can promise you that your interpretation of human physical demoralization is not conducive to fitting into the mold of "God's child".

Oberli, please pass this information along to the Constitutional Law Center for it is mandatory that they begin shutting down the murder of Demjanjuk through the guilty parties who broke all the laws right in the State Department of the U.S. of A. Then personally, Gene, Scott, etc., had better make it clear that international LAW will come upon Israel like the blight of God if they harm one hair on John's head before this can be heard before the world. You must begin to help the demons devour themselves lest they never see the errors of their ways. Shamir is already in trouble but it is a massive facade of pretend. You are being manipulated by the masters in the

game. Get that man back into the U.S.A. as quickly as possible--if it is not yet too late. The intent is to execute him before you can accomplish that task. The Constitutional Law Center can do it by title alone! Go for it, brothers. And you Americans, wake up and DO SOMETHING FOR YOUR ENTRAPPED BROTHER! ARE YOU WORTHY OF GODNESS OR HAVE YOU EARNED THAT WHICH YOU ARE RECEIVING? THE FATE OF AN INNOCENT MAN RESTS IN YOUR HANDS AS TO WHETHER OR NOT YOU WILL ALLOW SUCH HEINOUS TREATMENT OF A FELLOW-CITIZEN. I CARE NOT WHAT YOU "THINK" ABOUT IT--JOHN DEMJANJUK IS AN AMERICAN CITIZEN BY LAW OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. ALL OF YOU WHO HAVE BEGUN AMERICAN CITIZENSHIP THROUGH NATURALIZATION HAD BEST LOOK AT THIS FOR ALL OF YOU, SAVE THE AMERICAN NATIVE INDIANS (HUMANS), FIT THE MOLD OF ANCESTORS FROM ABROAD! WHERE ARE YOUR YELLOW FLAGS IN SUPPORT OF THIS INNOCENT MAN BEING MURDERED BECAUSE OF A LIE PERPETRATED AGAINST THE WHOLE PLANET? MUST YOU COMPOUND YOUR INSANE BEHAVIOR AND INSULT AGAINST GOD OF CREATION? STOP THIS THING!

Dharma, I haven't time to now begin on the CIA past material. Let us attend our other business of this day and we can start afresh. It is a time of great happenings, great change and opportunity to move a world from the darkness into the light--but the "piper" must be paid and the enemy recognized. So be it.

In total love and willingness to assist--I stand aside that you may get at your other labor. Salu.

Hatonn to clear, please.

CHAPTER 4

REC #2 HATONN

SUN., JANUARY 19, 1992 9:49 A.M. YEAR 5, DAY 156

SUNDAY, JANUARY 19, 1992

TODAY'S WATCH

Instead of dealing with "today's" garbage, let me respond to inquiries about what I wrote a couple of days past. I thanked Ed Y. for input and circulated his letter. The letter spoke of an explosion and it spoke a bit, in addition, to the Stanford Research Institute (SRI--for you who wondered). The strange thing is that almost NONE of you knew about any explosion and/or--if you had heard--you certainly learned nothing about it prior to its burial in the vaults of silence. It is important.

STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE AND COLD FUSION

At about 11:15 in the morning on Jan. 2, an explosion at Stanford Research Institute (SRI) International in California killed one experimenter and injured three others. These were members of a top team, who were conducting a series of experiments on cold fusion.

The force of the explosion was such that, not only did it blow out windows in the laboratory where it occurred, but the concussion was felt in offices doors away. So far there is no explanation of what went wrong. Prior to the explosion there were no indications that anything was amiss. Strange thing is that the blast (vibration) waves picked up significant intensity as they moved outward and the explosion was heard/felt more strongly than at the epicenter, in outlying areas.

When the so-called accident occurred, Dr. Andrew Reilly, who was killed instantly, was removing from the calorimeter a canister used in the experiment. Its dimensions were approximately six inches in height, with a two-inch radius. (Anyone nervous???) This is about the size of a cough-syrup bottle). No radiation was reported which tells you a lot in itself.

The team of experimenters had been conducting a series of experiments intended ultimately to prove the feasibility of generating electrical power using cold fusion cells. The research was contracted by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) of which Ed spoke. EPRI is a California-based research consortium which is in the main supported by U.S. electric utilities. Now, you MUST be beginning to get some interesting questions stirring.

STUDIES ON PALLADIUM

Ah, the notes stated that these gentlemen were studying and researching for "better understanding" of electrolytic experiments involving palladium--as the host metal for deuterium. Now, dear ones, deuterium is the base element utilized for "heavy water". By any other label (as with a rose by any other name, -- -) this is the cold fusion "outrageous, unworkable, nonsensical and stupid approach" of Pons and Fleischmann in the Utah "ridiculous presentations" category pronounced by great and learned scientists. Funny thing--other nations--even Egypt, is making the "stuff" work and produce electricity. So--you now have a basis for more understanding of the Godly Elite clampdown on your information flow. And, WHAT IS AN ELECTRIC COMPANY WHICH DEALS WITH WIRES, PUMPS, GENERATORS, ALTERNATIVE ENERGY, I.E., WIND AND SOLAR, DOING PLAYING WITH AN "UNWORKABLE AND STUPID IDEA"?

HEAVY WATER

I am going to take just a minute here to speak of this "heavy water" and a bit about this "cold fusion" for most of you are probably confused and you will need this information later as Tesla gets more "into" our work.

The experiments involve electrolysis, using heavy water--that is D₂O rather than H₂O. The hydrogen isotope, deuterium, is separated from the oxygen and absorbed into the palladium cathode. Fusion occurs when the nuclei of two elements fuse to form either a heavier isotope or an entirely new isotope. I might suggest, further, that to fully isolate the substances involved, ones will have to move into the Russell charts or forever play in the limits of what is formally recognized.

In the case of Fleischmann-Pons, two deuterium nuclei are thought to fuse, creating either the heavier isotope tritium, or the element helium. However, in the words of Fleischmann, the unique feature of his experiment is the occurrence of heat far in excess of what can be accounted for by traditional physics theory.

IMPORTANT SIDE-VIEW

I am certainly not herein going to give you the facts involved here because you are already on a deadly course and I refuse to contribute to the foray. However, know that in the sensor satellites which were to be set to monitor first strike detonations--the sensors would penetrate and immediately pick up several things--among which is helium. I suggest that the studies at SRI have nothing to do with your morning electric supply to perk your coffee!!!!

WHAT IS TOLD

The claim is that SRI had been experimenting using closed cells which functioned at relatively high pressures. In this instance the experiment was of a more conventional

character; however, there is always the danger when working with hydrogen or deuterium and oxygen that they will recombine, releasing energy. Under high pressures such recombination can be explosive. But how explosive if only heat was being measured in a calorimeter--that dear one is a heat monitor for measuring heat per calorie (simplified). But, too, if you are simply combining things as in the Pons-Fleischmann manner (an open wash basin), why would it be under pressure? Why would ones even remotely be expecting any kind of "explosion" to even speak of to the media? Believe me, they had to go a long, long way to bury this one!

Now, some clues--the research at SRI **was being funded by EPRI** to the tune of \$2 million, which would give some indication of the seriousness with which they regarded the Fleischmann-Pons cold fusion experiment--after totally denouncing and thoroughly discrediting the original scientists. Moreover--wait until you find out how much "Black Budget" money is being poured into SRI for that project.

More interesting as to the people involved--considering the discrediting of the media and scientific community--Andrew Reilly had been ***PROJECT MANAGER OF THE ENGINEERING GROUP AT THE NATIONAL COLD FUSION INSTITUTE IN UTAH!*** He was only 34 years old and had already shown much talent as an "expert" in the field of electro-chemistry.

I asked G.M. to get in touch with Pons and/or Fleischmann a couple of years ago. When are you ones going to recognize that I KNOW WHAT I AM DOING? How can God give you the answers to the puzzles if you won't look? While you ones go off in search of the pot of gold--you invariably MISS IT THERE UNDER YOUR NOSE! NO, I WILL NOT TELL YOU EVERYTHING OR YOU WILL END UP EXACTLY DEAD--JUST LIKE DR. REILLY. IT IS TIME TO USE YOUR MINDS AND GIVE UP FANTASIA LAND.

So be it, let us get on with our work. And KNOW--there is nothing irrelevant.

Back, now, to the wondrous CIA intrigue and our story unfolded by IMA and ALSO A. By the way--both Gene Dixon at the Constitutional Law Center AND Oliver Stone know this author! Thank you for asking, Dharma.

QUOTE:

THE CLANDESTINE THEORY

"For some time I have been disturbed by the way CIA has been diverted from its original assignment. It has become an operational arm and at times a policy-making arm of the Government." President Harry S. Truman, Dec. 1963.

1 ½ **LINES DELETED** (By CIA)

HENRY KISSINGER [H: Already? We haven't even hardly begun before the

name leaps forward.] made that statement not in public, but at a secret White House meeting on June 27, 1970. The country he was referring to was **Chile**.

In his capacity as Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs [**H: A former KGB operative???**], Kissinger was chairman of a meeting of the so-called 40 Committee, an interdepartmental panel responsible for overseeing the CIA's high-risk covert-action operations. The 40 Committee's members are the Director of Central Intelligence, the Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs, the Deputy Secretary of Defense, and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. (At the time of the Chilean meeting, Attorney General John Mitchell was also a member.) It is this small group of bureaucrats and politicians--in close consultation with the President and the governmental departments the men represent--that directs America's secret foreign policy.

[**H: Hold up please, Dharma is again bewildered and I should, through interest and as confirmation of our truth--share some things with you. Dharma made it to the top of Kissinger's hit list right early in the game of writing in 1989. In that next year a person very, very close to her came to visit. She was not expecting what she encountered, When I say "close" I mean "close". This was one who had not visited in over 10 years and yet would not stay overnight in the dwelling. Was on an "anniversary celebration" trip to Las Vegas by way of "debriefing" at Nellis Field and prior to arrival at the house--also at Edwards Base. Strange for someone out of the "service" for two decades?**

Knowing of the concern for possible problems, Dharma immediately told the visitors that she had somehow made it to the top of Kissinger's hit list and it was only fair to tell them BEFORE any visiting. The response??: "Well, yes, it's easy enough to get on Kissinger's list!" It was blood-freezing time! More, as visiting took place at a local restaurant instead of the house, there was absolutely only trivial and mundane conversations and the conversation was obviously being taped (but we are used to that). Worse, however, was the final realization that these two people were NOT who they claimed to be. You see, chelas, duplicates aren't perfect and the programming can be seen-through if you know how to probe. We had a bit of trouble coming up out of that particular shock. However, all of you who actively serve, such as John, George, E.J., etc., will be faced with this sort of thing continually. Dharma didn't even know "NEW AGE" from "OLD AGE", never heard of a "what?" Hatonn until summer of 1987 and didn't trust or believe me then. You must understand that the lack of direction in purposeful presentation is on purpose--to keep you alive and well until you are at face with serving your portion of the mission.

None of you can equate to this kind of intrigue--intergalactic--because it is so unreasonable and outlandish to the ordinary person's mind in acceptance as fact. You perceive it is easier for ones who are already into intrigue? Not necessarily for, as with Gritz and others, when you realize you have killed, maimed and done other heinous things in the name of total evil, you are stunned! A man serving what he believes to be a Godly people and government/nation and finds truth to

be that it is evil criminals and that the Constitution of that nation is LOST--the shock is as great as to this little grandmother.]

On that Saturday in June 1970, the main topic before the 40 Committee was:

2 LINES DELETED

The Chilean election was scheduled for the following September, and Allende, a declared Marxist, was one of the principal candidates. Although Allende had pledged to maintain the democratic system if he was elected, the U.S. Ambassador to Chile

4 4/5 LINES DELETED

Most of the American companies with large investments in Chile were also fearful of a possible Allende triumph, and at least two of those companies, the International Telephone and Telegraph Corporation (ITT) and Anaconda Copper, were spending substantial sums of money to prevent his election.

Ambassador Korry's superiors at the State Department in Washington

9 LINES DELETED

Richard Helms, then director of the CIA, represented a somewhat divided

8 3/4 LINES DELETED

press--perhaps with help from the Soviet KGB--or by American reporters, and that such disclosures would only help Allende.

Helms' position at the 40 Committee meeting was influenced by memories of the Chilean presidential election of 1964. At that time he had been chief of the Clandestine Services* and had been actively involved in planning the CIA's secret efforts to defeat Allende, who was then running against Eduardo Frei.** Frei had won the Presidency, but now, six years later, he was constitutionally forbidden to succeed himself, and Allende's candidacy therefore seemed stronger than before.

** The official name for this part of the CIA is the Directorate of Operations (until early 1973 the Directorate of Plans), but it is more appropriately referred to within the agency as the Clandestine Services. Some members of Congress and certain journalists call it the "Department of Dirty Tricks", a title never used by CIA personnel.*

*** Nine years later Laurence Stern of the Washington Post finally exposed the CIA's massive clandestine effort in the 1964 Chilean election. He quoted a strategically placed U.S. intelligence official as saying, "U.S. government intervention in Chile was blatant and almost obscene. " [H: You can be sure, right off, that it was a "planted*

story" because the Washington Post is a primary Establishment paper.] Stern reported that both the State Department and the Agency for International Development cooperated with the CIA in funnelling up to \$20 million into the country, and that one conduit for the funds was an ostensibly private organization called the International Development Foundation.

Anti-American feelings had grown in Chile since 1964, and one reason was widespread resentment of U.S. interference in Chile's internal affairs. The Chilean leftist press had been full of charges of CIA involvement in the 1964 elections, and these reports had not been without effect on the electorate. Additionally, in 1965 the exposure of the Pentagon's ill-advised Project Camelot had further damaged the reputation of the U.S. government. Ironically, Chile was not one of the principal target countries of the Camelot project, a multimillion-dollar social-science research study of possible counterinsurgency techniques in Latin America. But the existence of Camelot had first been made public in Chile, and newspapers there--of all political stripes--condemned the study as "intervention" and "imperialism." One paper said, in prose typical of the general reaction, that Project Camelot was "intended to investigate the military and political situation prevailing in Chile and to determine the possibility of an anti-democratic coup." Politicians of both President Frei's Christian Democratic Party and Allende's leftist coalition protested publicly. The final result was to cause Washington to cancel first, Camelot's limited activities in Chile, and then the project as a whole. While the CIA had not been a sponsor of Camelot, the project added to the fears among Chileans of covert American intelligence activities.

In 1968 the CIA's own Board of National Estimates, after carefully studying the socio-political problems of Latin America, had produced a National Intelligence Estimate on that region for the U.S. government's planners and policy-makers. **The central conclusion had been that forces for change in the developing Latin nations were so powerful as to be beyond outside manipulation.** This estimate had been endorsed by the United States Intelligence Board, whose members include the heads of the government's various intelligence agencies, and had then been sent to the White House and to those departments that were represented on the 40 Committee.

The 1968 estimate had in effect urged against the kind of intervention that the 40 Committee was in 1970 considering with regard to Chile. But as is so often the case within the government, the most careful advance analysis based on all the intelligence available was either ignored or simply rejected when the time came to make a decision on a specific issue.

4 1/2 LINES DELETED

Henry Kissinger, the single most powerful man at the 40 Committee meeting on Chile,

25 1/2 LINES DELETED [H: Please, chelas, keep in mind the reason the lines are deleted--"national security". Is it not terrifying to find that one man can yield

such power and bears the past of a foreign agent, no less??]

During the next two months, before Allende was officially endorsed as President by the Chilean congress,

61/2 LINES DELETED

Some months afterward President Nixon disingenuously explained at a White House press conference: "As far as what happened in Chile is concerned, we can only say that for the United States to have intervened in a free election and to have turned it around, I think, would have had repercussions all around Latin America that would have been far worse than what happened in Chile."

The following year, in the fall of 1972, CIA Director Helms, while giving a rare public lecture at Johns Hopkins University, was asked by a student if the CIA had mucked about in the 1970 Chilean election. His response: "Why should you care? Your side won."

Helms was understandably perturbed. Columnist Jack Anderson had only recently reported "the ITT story", which among other things revealed that the CIA had indeed been involved in an effort to undo Allende's victory--even after he had won the popular vote. Much to the agency's chagrin, Anderson had shown that during September and October 1970, William Broe, chief of the Western Hemisphere Division of the CIA's Clandestine Services, had met several times with high officials of ITT to discuss ways to prevent Allende from taking office. (The ITT board member who later admitted to a Senate investigative committee that he had played the key role in bringing together CIA and ITT officials was John McCone, director of the CIA during the Kennedy administration and, in 1970, a CIA consultant.) Broe had proposed to ITT and a few other American corporations with substantial financial interests in Chile a four-part plan of economic sabotage which was calculated to weaken the local economy to the point where the Chilean military authorities would move to take over the government and thus frustrate the Marxist's rise to power. ITT and the other firms later claimed they had found the CIA's scheme "not workable". But almost three years to the day after Allende's election, at a time when severe inflation, truckers' strikes, food shortages, and international credit problems were plaguing Chile, he was overthrown and killed in a bloody coup d'etat carried out by the combined action of the Chilean armed services and national police. His Marxist government was replaced by a military junta. What role American businesses or the CIA may have played in the coup is not publicly known, and may never be. ITT and the other giant corporations with investments in Chile have all denied any involvement in the military revolt. So has the U.S. government, although CIA Director William Colby admitted in secret testimony before the House Foreign Affairs Committee (revealed by Tad Szulc in the October 21, 1973, Washington Post) that the agency "had some intelligence coverage about the various moves being made," that it had "penetrated" all of Chile's major political parties, and that it had secretly furnished "some assistance" to certain Chilean groups. Colby, himself the former director of the bloody Phoenix counterintelligence program

in Vietnam, also told the Congressmen that the executions carried out by the junta after the coup had done "some good" because they reduced the chances that civil war would break out in Chile--an excellent example of the sophistry with which the CIA defends its strategy of promoting "stability" in the Third World.

Even if the CIA did not intervene directly in the final putsch, the U.S. government as a whole did take a series of actions designed to undercut the Allende regime. Henry Kissinger set the tone of the official U.S. position at a background press conference in September 1970, when he said that Allende's Marxist regime would contaminate Argentina, Bolivia, and Peru--a stretch of the geopolitical imagination reminiscent of the Southeast Asian domino theory. Another measure of the White House attitude--and an indication of the methods it was willing to use--was the burglarizing of the Chilean Embassy in Washington in May 1972 by the same men who the next month staged the break-in at the Watergate. **[H: Ah ha! Getting interesting, isn't?]** And the U.S. admittedly worked to undercut the Allende government by cutting off most economic assistance, discouraging private lines of credit, and blocking loans by international organizations. State Department officials testifying before Congress after the coup explained it was the Nixon administration's wish that the Allende regime collapse economically, thereby discrediting socialism.

Henry Kissinger has dismissed speculation among journalists and members of Congress that the CIA helped along this economic collapse and then engineered Allende's downfall; privately he has said that the secret agency wasn't competent to manage an operation as difficult as the Chilean coup. Kissinger had already been supervising the CIA's most secret operations for more than four years when he made this disparaging remark. Whether he was telling the truth about the CIA's non-involvement in Chile or was simply indulging in a bit of official lying (called "plausible denial"), he, along with the President, would have made the crucial decisions on the Chilean situation. For the CIA is not an independent agency in the broad sense of the term, nor is it a governmental agency out of control. Despite occasional dreams of grandeur on the part of some of its clandestine operators, the CIA does not on its own choose to overthrow distasteful governments or determine which dictatorial regimes to support. Just as the State Department might seek, at the President's request, to discourage international aid institutions from offering loans to "unfriendly" governments, so does the CIA act primarily when called upon by the Executive. The agency's methods and assets are a resource that come with the office of the Presidency.

Thus, harnessing the agency's clandestine operators is not the full, or even the basic, solution to the CIA problem. The key to the solution is controlling and requiring accountability of those in the White House and elsewhere in the government who direct or approve, then hide behind, the CIA and its covert operations. This elusiveness, more than anything else, is the problem posed by the CIA.

This is a good place to interrupt the writing for we need to be elsewhere. When we

begin the book again, we will start at page 44: Intelligence Versus Covert Action. Thank you, Dharma. We will try to pace this so that the burden of this extra work is kept to a minimum of inconvenience to you as well as the readers. You will simply have to trust me that this is a most important exercise in bringing public this information in this manner. Ones involved in today's uncovering will come under the gun more acutely than in their first revelations. This is because so few paid attention early-on--NOW, the public will begin to listen but breaking the law or blatantly contempting court orders will NOT help--it would be a most destructive distractor. Ones in contact with these writers--please emphasize need for discretion and actions within total legal limits. We need help--not a bunch of incarcerated patriots unable to do anything save give us more problems and expense of gaining release. We must not be in distraction through foolishness--we need no incarcerated nor dead martyrs. This job requires very "alive" and able-to-work patriots and Godly people. Chaotic demonstrations are set intentionally to distract and confuse--WE MUST WORK WITHIN TOTAL REASON WITH A SINGLE GOAL--OR PLEASE, DO NOT YET ACT AT ALL SAVE IN SECURE MANNER. TRUTH WILL "OUT" IF WE DO OUR WORK PROPERLY AND ALWAYS WITHIN "TOTAL REASON" FOR THE ENEMY WORKS THROUGH DECEIT AND CHAOS WITHOUT "THINKING". REASON PRESENTED CAUSES MAN TO "THINK" AND THUS CAN HE LEARN TO SEE AND HEAR. LET THESE TRUTHS BE SELF-EVIDENT AND WE SHALL PREVAIL--BUT ALWAYS, WATCH YOUR BACKSIDES BECAUSE THE ENEMY IS OUT TO GET YOU! FURTHER, HE WORKS BEST WITHIN YOUR OWN SPACES WITHIN--BE MOST CAUTIOUS.

SALU, Hatonn to clear.

CHAPTER 5

REC #1 HATONN

THU., FEBRUARY 6, 1992 9:03 A.M. YEAR 5, DAY 173

THURSDAY. FEBRUARY 6. 1992

TODAY'S WATCH

As we sit to pen this morning I am given to a bit of reverie myself. As we effort to choose words which explain and share the total simplicity of the Universe and God when the perception is of total complexity--I am also at a loss as to HOW to reach out and offer insight. Then I am brought up short--as are you who write and effort to do, your work on that side of the veil--as to HOW to get people to read and see.

I am totally deluged with love, hate, myriads of inquiries and all showing that the reader has NOT READ THE MATERIAL. I know there is much--but this is WHY we have brought it unto you. You ask for the workings of the Universe, the relationship of each with God, the problems of the world which face you this day, where you are in evolution and where in relationship to the Revelation. Chelas, if you do not read the material already gifted unto thee, then how can you KNOW? If you are touched by one JOURNAL or one EXPRESS or LIBERATOR then, to flesh out the comments, you MUST review it all so that the relationships are visible. We give you ALL the clues and also respond to very Earthly actions which can give you easier passage. We remind you of the Laws of God and Creation that you not flounder in the wake of chaos--BUT IF YOU ACCESS THE WRITINGS NOT--HOW WILL YOU LEARN?

IS JOHN COLEMAN GOD?

Perceptions? We are astounded that ones of great knowledge and Truth in their own right will be fed garbage--with the feeder not even checking to find authenticity. John Coleman, for instance, just received a note from a well-known CHRIST-ian and Patriot. This man writes a newsletter and is greatly informed--even having contacted us with a contribution. But someone had told him that John Coleman (a dear friend of this man in point) claims to be Jesus Christ and also God Hatonn/Aton. The letter itself was a heartfelt inquiry as to the truth of this outlandish statement, direct to the "horse", so to speak. This sort of misinformation has to be deliberate and conjured--for there IS NO WAY ANYONE CAN GLEAN SUCH TRASH AND LIES FROM THE WRITINGS--EITHER OURS OR JOHN COLEMAN'S. John Coleman is simply a man who has researched truth and found it and shares it with you-the-people! America West will publish some of his work and, frankly, he has been kind enough to allow me to utilize some of his research so that you have an Earth perspective in proof of that which I say.

BAHAI MOVEMENT--TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR?

Please, chelas, I appreciate any error in our writing coming into our attention, but check carefully as to the cause of a misstatement in that "could it be a typographical error?", "could it be a misused word of language presentation", even, "could the Editors have placed a 'logical' preposition or tense word"--"could it be simply a transposition?" The ones who brought this "error" to my attention did so in great kindness and search for "truth" so, please, realize that it is THE example I need for this statement. In no-wise is my intent to even suggest leaving errors in the work.

Let me give you the statement in point: *"Bahaism teaches that Zoroaster, Buddha, Confucius and Jesus Christ were leaders who prepared the way for the coming of the Mighty World Educator 'Baha (The Glory of God) whose forerunner was Abdul Baha who died in 1921. "*

It is obvious, to me, that in reading that statement, the error stands like the "sore thumb" but if you know differently or you are a research buff--the word "forerunner" is blatantly impossible. In the context of the statement, it becomes grossly obvious that anyone dying in 1921 was NOT a forerunner--unless, of course, he foreran the original "Glory of God". Surprisingly enough--the slip of the lip is actually in fact, truth--but that is not the point in focus. We are not here to trick or give further confusion. We will always, however, correct any errors--even to typographical misadventures of Dharma's fingers or my spelling. Therefore we ask that readers are welcome to help us for our thrust is to get the information to you as rapidly as can be useful. For historical documentation, however, I think you can see how things can be misconstrued from simple errors in language. If you cannot see the concept of the "whole", then you will never get past the "words utilized". I believe it should also point out the ease with which texts are changed and concepts deliberately presented from, say, Arabic into generations later, English--as with the Bible. There are thousands of years for man to tamper and make errors from one language into another. Thank you for this opportunity to speak on this matter.

If you lose sight of the goal and concept of your passage--you will miss the ship as surely as night follows day for you will be locked into the physical, error strewn pathway and information projections. We are not come unto your place to play parlor games nor nit-pick--we come to allow you to see the overall and allow you to reconsider your "goals".

Our intent is never to hurt nor distract--but to offer love and facts for consideration in possibilities. We absolutely abhor any form of violence or hate-mongering. Our thrust is only through the WORD so that you can act accordingly--and the actions we present are NEVER OF VIOLENCE NOR AGAINST ANY LAWS OF ANY LAND. BUT YOU IN AMERICA, THE LAMP OF THE WORLD, ARE LOSING THE VERY GLORIOUS ASSET YOU WERE GIVEN--THE CONSTITUTION AND THE "RIGHTS" OF THAT CONSTITUTION. It requires **ACTION** if you would secure your nation and freedom--and it is as surely "DEFENSE" as any attack on your lives and property.

SUCKED IN BY THE NON-NEWS?

Listen to the non-news and what is said. Look at the programming which would pass laws which limit and delete areas of ability to speak out. While pornography in the art galleries is allowed, a spoken belief--with facts for backup--in opposition to an "orthodox" opinion brings incarceration and banning. It brings burning of books of Truth and threats of violence unto speakers of Truth from these who would cover their actions and lies of the past century. Moreover, they do their work well as they set you up with situations and "multi-laws" which suck you into the trap without realization of what you did.

I, Hatonn, am touted by the ADL, etc., as being bigoted and am fitted into the same group as the "Skinheads". How so? I teach NON-violence, love of all creeds and colors and yet, you-thepeople jump onto the touted words and refuse to read the literature. One book would tell the Truth of it but it seems easier to have the excitement of a crucifixion than reason and effort at finding Truth. As long as this is the thrust of mankind--expect the demise to come sooner than later for so shall it be.

READ ALL INFORMATION

Further, I will tell you to go read ALL information--go read the doctrines and bylaws of the ADL, for instance. See for yourself the connection with British Intelligence through the British Crown and the Protocols of the Elder Zionists. Why don't you read the Talmud and see what is going to go on in that rebuilt Temple in Jerusalem? YOU see if it would seem to be of a Holy God of Love who cherishes all creatures and Creation. Does the blood sacrifice of an innocent lamb on a golden altar REALLY come from God of Lighted Perfection? Would such a God of Perfection need bloodshed and/or offerings and sacrifice of one thing to absolve another from responsibilities?

You who would claim an unlimited God and Christ--immediately place physical limits on the one you CLAIM. Since they are physical restrictions--then the honored one must represent the physical expression--I don't see any God of such restrictions in Holy God's places.

And you who tell your friends that I tell you "not to read the Bible and/or anything else"--watch your backside because it shows your own ignorance--**YOU WILL NEVER FIND SUCH LIMITATION IN ANYTHING THAT I PRESENT--I URGE YOU TO STUDY IT ALL--IT IS THE BEST PROOF OF MY TRUTH! IF YOU PERCEIVE OTHERWISE AS YOU READ--YOU HAD BEST CHECK INTO "WHY" YOU PERCEIVED SUCH A THOUGHT OR STATEMENT! AND YOU WHO DEFEND THE BIBLE SO VEHEMENTLY--DO YOU UNDERSTAND AND CAN YOU QUOTE THE BIBLE? WHERE DID YOU GET YOUR INFORMATION? WHO TOLD YOU? WHO WROTE THE PARTICULAR VOLUME IN YOUR POSSESSION? YOU WON'T EVEN BE ABLE TO FIND OUT THE ANSWER TO THAT LAST INQUIRY! JESUS**

CHRIST NEVER WROTE A SINGLE WORD OF IT!--BETTER CHECK OUT YOUR INFORMATION RESOURCES AND FACTS!

BUSH/OCTOBER SURPRISE

Ah, back into the news it whisks its way. Hostages, intrigue, CIA, Bush, Casey and DEALS; A whole "James Bond" story of intrigue. Bush denies--facts are hidden--AS USUAL. Now, they say, there will be an investigation. So, in the realistic reasonable observation one would assume that a massive hearing would prove George Bush told truth and would be found innocent of all the blackmail charges of clandestine, subversive and conspiratorial activities in the matter. But NO--what have you? A statement that this is all brought up NOW to ruin Bush's campaign. "Dirty politics" is the cry. So, too, is the new information on Clinton and service to country. So, what are they doing? They are setting up you-the-people. They will put much more powerful Committee of 300 people on the Democrat side and still effort to pull Bush down.

You are the ones caught in the closing trap because as the pressure is applied to Bush--he has to act more quickly through Executive Power--while he has it.

You will see an ever deepening thrust through public media, now, to thrust the blame for Kennedy's assassination onto the Mafia. That is the material you will note made public from the secured files. The point is to take the heat off the Government involvement, especially via Bush and Nixon. This means a thrust made to prove the Mafia was behind the action and the CIA was trying to stop the event. The fact remains that the truly fatal shot was fired by the driver of the automobile--Kennedy's automobile. Yes, there is a film to prove it and that means that there is also proof that the vehicle **stopped!** I do not wish to spend more time on this matter at this time because I wish to outlay the CIA material from IMA and then we have something from which to piece together a plan and a foundation. If I spend more time in the trivia--we will never have the important material laid forth. Please be gentle on my people for they are absolutely doing all they can as fast as they can.

UNIVERSE AND GOD

One last comment sequence before moving into the subject in point because for you who realize your soul is the point of the play, allow me to remind you that you are existing in a time of chaos and your soul is being manipulated by the evil clowns. You must know who, how, what, etc., of your enemy. To understand better the overall--go back to the KNOWING that all is total simplicity--only complicated by that which appears to be real but is not. Your perceptions are flooded with confusion and chaos in order to blind you.

God made everything so simple and if Man would understand that simplicity he would only have the Laws of God by which to act and live. You would obey the laws of nature and Creation and all would remain in balance within that simplicity. The Indians (natives) for instance, had the mountains such as the Black Hills as their

churches--they needed not your stone and gaudy temples. But you of the strippers and pillagers came and carved the very evil faces on those sacred mountains that desecrate all that is beautiful, balanced and in harmony with God. I can promise you that those evil tamperings will be wiped clean and you had best pray it will not be with Zionist White Man blood.

The "ancients" need not your Bibles or any books, save those who have been misled by your generations of intruders. The child of the Earth has wind and rain--stars and sky and they honor those wonders as the Bible. It was ever thus for the "ancient" ones and they will again become your teachers and wayshowers. It is, in fact, for this very reason that the tribal nations have been deliberately pulled apart and addictions integrated within their society. Evil has no boundaries of heinous attack in order to win the skirmish even though they know the war will be lost to them. However, to win this war--**YOU ARE GOING TO COME BACK INTO THE SIMPLICITY OF GOD AND CREATION.**

You are going to learn that God rules the universe and that everything God made is living. Even the sands and the rocks are alive. When they are used by Man they must be recognized as the beings which they ARE and in balanced energy flow each gives unto the other--for in nature and God--there is only GIVING--never TAKING.

Why is a vision quest so special? Because it means the going away into a place ALONE WITH GOD. It means spending days and nights in the presence of God, speaking with God and LISTENING. Is it always purifying in spiritual aspect? No--it, as with all things, depends upon the intent of the participant. In other words, there is no limit on those who participate in greed and self-ego.

If a man petitions in Truth of intent--God sends His messengers, be it a "Pale Prophet" from the stars or White Buffalo Calf Woman, as the Lakotas receive. At this time God has sent many messengers--who heal, who write and who are ones to show the way in hope and light.

Indeed, you will go back to the wisdom of those "ANCIENTS" or you will perish for, as white men--the perception is always reverse of Truth. It is a good rule of thumb, chelas--always the lie is presented as Truth.

You cannot realize, in your world of chaotic conflict, that you are rude and abased in your very ties unto the Creation and God. Just as with those Indians you put down in your own beloved nation. You have taken everything and given them nothing, **but worst of all--you never even thanked them!** YOU will change, brothers--not they who abide with God--**YOU WHO HAVE TAKEN, AND TAKEN AND DESTROYED--WITHOUT SO MUCH AS A "THANK-YOU".**

May I share with you a projection from one who is honored as a Chief, Noble Red Man, of whom I shall again write later, and you had best think hard upon these words to which I shall add no comment:

QUOTE:

NOBLE RED MAN/LAKOTA

GOD'S WRATH: A PROPHECY

I prophesy many things that come to pass. God is going to put a judgment on the world. He's mad. I'm sorry it's going to happen. He's not going to destroy the whole world. But every living thing will perish, and it'll be maybe another million years before a new life begins again. Grandmother Earth will be alone. She's going to rest. All because of White Man's wickedness. You're going to fall and fall hard. You're going to be crying and wailing. You'll realize you can't get away with destroying God's world. Don't think you can get away with it. God's going to wipe the wickedness from the earth. You can see His signs. Out on the West Coast, Mount St. Helens volcano--that's a sign. And there's going to be earthquakes; maybe half of California and half of Washington and Oregon will go into the water. [H: oops! Ramtha?] The same in the East, and in the South. You're going to have volcanoes and earthquakes and hurricanes. It's God giving signs to the White Man, punishing him for not paying his debt to the Indian people, for destroying the land with his greed. And it will get worse until you pay us what you owe us, what you promised us... until you give us what is ours.

You are going to learn the most important lesson--that God is the most powerful thing there is. We Indians aren't afraid to die. We've got a place to go, a better place, so we don't care. We're ready. We just want you to know. Maybe you can change; maybe you can stop what's coming. There's not much time. It's going to happen. Take it from me. Tell them Noble Red Man said so!"

Well, chelas, Noble Red Man is with US now. Do you think it differs as one moves on into the higher realms within the vision to serve more closely with God? You are not only people of the lie but you have been deprived of KNOWING. You do not know God nor OF God. That which you have been taught to believe is in gross error and, therefore, no matter how hard you try to right the physical plane--unless you come into KNOWING--you will not have way to achieve. So be it.

Dharma, allow us to move on into our subject for an hour or so of writing for there is so much to do and such little time and energy left within you ones, for accomplishment. As Truth finds its way within the knowingness and hearts of man--so shall the burden lessen. For now, we continue as we must. Blessings upon you of the lambs.

We will simply take up immediately and move right into the reprinting:

THE CLANDESTINE THEORY

SWASHBUCKLERS AND SECRET WARS

During the 1950's most of the CIA's covert-action operations were not nearly so sophisticated or subtle as those Bissell would advocate in 1968. Nor were they aimed exclusively at the rapidly increasing and "less highly organized" governments of the Third World. Covert operations against the communist countries of Europe and Asia continued, but the emphasis was on clandestine propaganda, infiltration and manipulation of youth, labor, and cultural organizations, and the like. The more heavy-handed activities--paramilitary operations, coups, and countercoups--were now reserved for the operationally ripe nations of Asia, Africa, and Latin America.

Perhaps the prototype for CIA covert operations during the 1950's was the work of Air Force Colonel Edward Lansdale. His exploits under agency auspices, first in the Philippines and then in Vietnam, became so well known that he served as the model for characters in two best-selling novels, THE UGLY AMERICAN by William J. Lederer and Eugene Burdick, and THE QUIET AMERICAN by Graham Greene. In the former, he was a heroic figure; in the latter, a bumbling fool.

Lansdale was sent to the Philippines in the early 1950s as advisor to Philippine Defense Minister (later President) Ramon Magsaysay in the struggle against the Huks, the local communist guerrillas. Following Lansdale's counsel, Magsaysay prompted social development and land reform to win support of the peasantry away from the Huks. But Lansdale, backed up by millions of dollars in secret U.S. government funds, took the precaution of launching other, less conventional schemes. One such venture was the establishment of the Filipino Civil Affairs Office, which was made responsible for psychological warfare.

After a 1972 interview with Lansdale, now living in quiet retirement, journalist Stanley Karnow reported:

"One (Lansdale-initiated) psywar operation played on the superstitious dread in the Philippine countryside of the *asuang*, a mythical vampire. A psywar squad entered an area, and planted rumors that an *asuang* lived on where the Communists were based. Two nights later, after giving the rumors time to circulate among Huk sympathizers, the psywar squad laid an ambush for the rebels. When a Huk patrol passed, the ambushers snatched the last man, punctured his neck vampire-fashion with two holes, hung his body until the blood drained out, and put the corpse back on the trail. As superstitious as any other Filipinos, the insurgents fled from the region."

[H: Does anyone now wish to argue with me that your government sneaks wouldn't stage a few horrendous cattle mutilations and even a few murders of soldiers and civilians they want to be rid of, for your superstitious masses? You children of the lie had better get with the program because your enemy is a long

way out in front of you and you take in as gospel all he feeds you. You tag around discounting this work while you soak in the total farce and lies of the, let's say, UFOlogists who are there to feed you misinformation.]

With Magsaysay's election to the Philippine Presidency in 1953, Lansdale returned to Washington. In the eyes of the U.S. government, his mission had been an unquestioned success: the threat of a communist takeover in the Philippines had been eliminated.

A year later, after Vietnam had been provisionally split in two by the Geneva Accords, Lansdale was assigned to South Vietnam to bolster the regime of Ngo Dinh Diem. He quickly became involved in organizing sabotage and guerrilla operations against North Vietnam, but his most effective work was done in the South. There he initiated various psychological-warfare programs and helped Diem in eliminating his political rivals. His activities, extensively described in the Pentagon Papers, extended to pacification programs, military training, even political consultation: Lansdale helped design the ballots when Diem formally ran for President of South Vietnam in 1955. He used red, the Asian goodluck color, for Diem and green--signifying a cuckold--for Diem's opponent. Diem won with an embarrassingly high 98 percent of the vote, and Lansdale was widely credited within American government circles for having carried out another successful operation. He left Vietnam soon afterward.

Meanwhile, other agency operators, perhaps less celebrated than Lansdale, were carrying out covert-action programs in other countries. Kermit Roosevelt, of the Oyster Bay Roosevelts, master-minded the 1953 putsch that overthrew Iran's Premier Mohammed Mossadegh. The Guatemala coup of 1954 was directed by the CIA. Less successful was the attempt to overthrow Indonesian President Sukarno in the late 1950's. **Contrary to denials by President Eisenhower and Secretary of State Dulles, the CIA gave direct assistance to rebel groups located on the island of Sumatra. Agency B-26's even carried out bombing missions in support of the insurgents. On May 18, 1958, the Indonesians shot down one of these B-26's and captured the pilot, an American named Allen Pope. Although U.S. government officials claimed that Pope was a "soldier of fortune", he was in fact an employee of a CIA-owned proprietary company, Civil Air Transport.** Within a few months after being released from prison four years later, Pope was again flying for the CIA--this time **with Southern Air Transport, an agency proprietary airline based in Miami.**

As the Eisenhower years came to an end, there still was a national consensus that the CIA was justified in taking almost any action in that "back alley" struggle against Communism--this despite Eisenhower's clumsy effort to lie his way out of the U-2 shutdown, which lying led to the cancellation of the 1960 summit conference. Most Americans placed the CIA on the same above-politics level as the FBI, and it was no accident that President-elect Kennedy chose to announce on the same day that both J. Edgar Hoover and Allen Dulles would be staying on in his administration.

It took the national shock resulting from the abortive Bay of Pigs invasion in 1961 to bring about serious debate over CIA operations--among high government officials and the public as a whole. Not only had the CIA failed to overthrow the Castro regime, it had blundered publicly, and the U.S. government had again been caught lying. For the first time, widespread popular criticism was directed at the agency. And President Kennedy, who had approved the risky operation, came to realize that the CIA could be a definite liability--to both his foreign policy and his personal political fortunes--as well as a secret and private asset to the Presidency. Determined that there would be no repetition of the Bay of Pigs, Kennedy moved quickly to tighten White House control of the agency. He reportedly vowed "to splinter the CIA in a thousand pieces and scatter it to the winds." **[H: Now, I believe you have a major reason the CIA set out to assassinate Kennedy. He was going to bring money back into government control, shut down the Vietnam War, clean up some of the more integrated Mafia rings that posed a threat to the "established" Mafia and--worst of all in the eyes of the CIA--destroy the CIA. Isn't information a wonderful thing? If you KNOW fact--it becomes easy to decipher the story.]** But the President's anger was evidently more the result of the agency's failure to overthrow Castro than a reaction to its methods or techniques. **[H: No comment, YET.]** While neither agency funding nor operations were cut back in the aftermath, the Bay of Pigs marked the end of what was probably the CIA's Golden Age. Never again would the secret agency have so totally free a hand in its role as the clandestine defender of American democracy. Kennedy never carried through on his threat to destroy the CIA, but he did purge three of the agency's top officials, and thus made clear the lines of accountability. If Allen Dulles had seemed in Kennedy's eyes only a few months earlier to be in the same unassailable category as J. Edgar Hoover, the Bay of Pigs had made him expendable. In the fall of 1961 John McCone, a defense contractor who had formerly headed the Atomic Energy Commission, replaced Dulles as CIA Director; within months Major General Marshall "Pat" Carter took over from Major General Charles Cabell as Deputy Director **[H: Please note that this is the "Cabell" who was the brother of the Mayor of Dallas and changed the route of the motorcade on Kennedy's fateful day of infamy]** and Richard Helms became chief of the Clandestine Services in place of Richard Bissell.

Kennedy also ordered General Maxwell Taylor, then special military advisor to the President and soon to be Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to make a thorough study of U.S. intelligence. Taylor was joined by Attorney General Robert Kennedy, Dulles, and Naval Chief Admiral Arleigh Burke. The Taylor committee's report was to a large extent a critique of the tactics used in--not the goals of--the Bay of Pigs operation. It did not call for any fundamental restructuring of the CIA, although many outside critics were urging that the agency's intelligence-collection and analysis function be completely separated from its covert-action arm. The committee's principal recommendation was that the CIA should not undertake future operations where weapons larger than hand guns would be used.

Taylor's report was accepted, at least in principle, by the Kennedy Administration, but its primary recommendation was disregarded almost immediately. CIA never shut down its two anti-Castro operations bases located in southern Florida, and agency-

sponsored raids against Cuba by exile groups continued into the mid-1960's, albeit on a far smaller scale than the Bay of Pigs. The agency also became deeply involved in the chaotic struggle which **broke out in the Congo in the early 1960's. Clandestine Service operators regularly bought and sold Congolese politicians and the agency supplied money and arms to the supporters of Cyril Adoula and Joseph Mobutu. By 1964, the CIA had imported its own mercenaries into the Congo, and the agency's B-26 bombers, flown by Cuban exile pilots--many of whom were Bay of Pigs veterans--were carrying out regular missions against insurgent groups.**

During these same years American involvement in Vietnam expanded rapidly, and the CIA, along with the rest of the U.S. government, greatly increased the number of its personnel and programs in that country. Among other activities, the agency organized guerrilla and small-boat attacks on North Vietnam, armed and controlled tens of thousands of Vietnamese soldiers in irregular units, and set up a giant intelligence and interrogation system which reached into every South Vietnamese village.

In neighboring Laos, the CIA actually led the rest of the U.S. government--at the White House's order--into a massive American commitment. Although the agency had been carrying out large-scale programs of political manipulation and other covert actions up to 1962, that year's Geneva agreement prohibiting the presence of foreign troops in Laos paradoxically opened up the country to the CIA. For, almost from the moment the agreement was signed, the Kennedy Administration decided not to pull back but to expand American programs in Laos. This was justified partly because the North Vietnamese were also violating the Geneva Accords; partly because Kennedy, still smarting from his Cuban setback, did not want to lose another confrontation with the Communists; and partly because of the strategic importance placed on Laos in the then-fashionable "domino theory". Since the United States did not want to admit that it was not living up to the Geneva agreement, the CIA--whose members were not technically "foreign troops"--got the job of conducting a "secret" war. The Laotian operation became one of the largest and most expensive in the agency's history: more than 35,000 opium-growing Meo and other Lao mountain tribesmen were recruited into the CIA's private army, L'Armee Clandestine; CIA-hired pilots flew bombing and supply missions in the agency's own planes; and finally, when L'Armee Clandestine became less effective after long years of war, the agency recruited and financed over 17,000 Thai mercenaries for its war of attrition against the communists.

By the late 1960's, however, many CIA career officers were expressing opposition to the agency's Laotian and Vietnamese programs--not because they objected to the Indochina wars (few did) but because the programs consisted for the most part of huge, unwieldy, semi-overt para-military operations lacking the sophistication and secrecy that most of the agency's operators preferred. Furthermore, the wars had dragged on too long, and many officers viewed them as unwinnable messes. The agency, therefore, found itself in the awkward position of being unable to attract sufficient volunteers to man the field assignments in Vietnam. Consequently, it was forced to draft personnel from other areas of its clandestine activity for service in Southeast Asia.

Stop Quoting.

I would guess that you regular readers have just read the above far more differently than you would have read the same passages when the book was first presented. Not only would you see what is there, differently--but you can now surmise what has been required in removal. You will note no mention, other than my own, about Kennedy's assassination and total lack of mention of ongoing drug trade under the careful attention of the CIA and U.S. government. Do you think IMA didn't know that? I suggest that not only did he know it but, as with Col. Gritz, finally couldn't stomach the prostitutes of your very freedom and national life.

Let us give you a lunch-break, Dharma, and then we will look at Covert-Actions.

For you who still suspect Hatonn of greedy intent--no, sorry. The author of the original material will be fully compensated as if a re-publication has been done as well as full rights of publication of the missing material. Until then, you ones have to trust my better insight and vision. This is the only way to insure presentation and security of the one in point. George knows how to handle these matters for he does so on an ongoing basis. As members of this CIA and Special Forces people are brought together--which will happen imminently, we will have opportunity to discuss all of this in personal proximity.

You ones of the reading audience work at disadvantage for you must understand, the ones in these particular capacities of forced participation and targeted for removal--
KNOW SPACE COMMAND IS PRESENT! THEY ALREADY HAVE THE
"PROOF" YOU CONTINUE TO DEMAND. SO BE IT.

Hatonn to clear. Thank you.

CHAPTER 6

REC #2 HATONN

FRI., FEBRUARY 7, 1992 9:57 A.M. YEAR 5, DAY 174

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 1992

CIA, THE "CLANDESTINE THEORY" CONT'D

Dharma, allow us to move right into the continuing subject of the THE CLANDESTINE THEORY.

INTEGRATES CIA WITH KGB AND MOSSAD

Today is so breathtakingly important as regards the CIA that I cannot await further possibilities of awakening the masses. The cause given to you for Gates to be pushing around the players in the Middle East is some cock-and-bull story about trying harder to "bring down Saddam". Why? Just because Saddam has more weapons and war capability than when you did all your "precision bombing"? Did the CIA not do enough to destroy all credibility of both your Government and your Military? Are you insane? Well, that is NOT why Gates is gone, anyway. It is to integrate the CIA into and within the KGB, Mossad and garner into the one organization of enforcement of the World Government Control as is now operative. THIS IS the one world military-police final structuring with the most terrorist-trained groups ever to inhabit your planet. YOU ARE IN VERY, VERY GRAVE TROUBLE.

Moreover, a release or two "was leaked" telling exactly what was coming down--before the "cover story of Saddam" was issued. So be it.

SUPPRESSED FOR EIGHTEEN YEARS

Why is this information so important? Because this was the first book ever noted which was subjected to such pressures as to be "PRE-PUBLICATION" CENSORED. Further--the order to not allow reprinting of the original manuscript has been issued and will be enforced. I greatly honor the author and fully intend that he be rewarded for unselfish service to his nation. The Cleveland Press said: "Someone has finally ripped the blood-stained cloak off the CIA.... Congress and the American people should be shocked by this book. " Of course it is obvious that they either were not or were never allowed to have the information-or--were so insensitive as to not bother. How do I KNOW? *Because the original document (book) was published in 1974 (18 years ago) and you have only moved further and deeper into the pits!*

Will mankind listen to Hatonn? Not if they can help it--but they certainly won't GO BACK and research. The conspiracy and Global 2000 has been before you-the-public for decades and few noticed. Now, you are IN IT--who knows how many will see and

hear--but our own destiny is to bring opportunity. It is all that we can do and then, it rests in the hands and hearts of MAN!

Back to the book in point:

COVERT-ACTION THEORY

It was in such an atmosphere of restiveness and doubt, on a January evening in 1968, that a small group of former intelligence professionals and several other members of the cult of intelligence met to discuss the role of the CIA in U.S. foreign policy, not at CIA headquarters in Langley, Virginia, but at the Harold Pratt House on Park Avenue--the home of the Council on Foreign Relations. The discussion leader was investment banker C. Douglas Dillon, previously Under Secretary of State and Secretary of the Treasury; the main speaker was Richard Bissell, the former chief of the agency's Clandestine Services, still a consultant to the CIA, and now a high-ranking executive with the United Aircraft Corporation. Like most other former agency officials, Bissell was reluctant to make his views on intelligence known to the public, and the meeting was private.

In 1971, however, as part of an anti-war protest, radical students occupied the building in Cambridge, Massachusetts, that houses Harvard University's Center for International Affairs. Once inside, the protesters proceeded to barricade the entrances and ransack the files of faculty members who worked there. Among the documents they discovered were the confidential minutes of the January 8, 1968, meeting at Pratt House. The minutes were not absolutely complete; Center associate William Harris, who had served as rapporteur for the meeting, later admitted privately, after the document had been reprinted by the African Research Group, that it had been partially edited to eliminate particularly sensitive material. Even so, the purloined version was still the most complete description of the CIA's covert-action strategy and tactics ever made available to the outside world. Aside from a few newspaper articles which appeared in 1971, however, when it was reprinted by the African Research Group, the Bissell paper attracted almost no interest from the American news media.

CLANDESTINE RICHARD BISELL

Among the CIA's senior Clandestine Services officers, Richard Bissell was one of a very few who had not spent World War II in the OSS; in all other respects, he was the ideal agency professional. A product of Groton and Yale, he had impeccable Eastern Establishment credentials. Such a background was not absolutely essential to success in the CIA, but it certainly helped, especially during the Allen Dulles years. And Bissell also had the advantage of scholarly training, having earned a doctorate in economics and then having taught the subject at Yale and MIT. He joined the CIA in 1954 and immediately showed a great talent for clandestine work. By 1958 Dulles had named Bissell head of the Clandestine Services.

At the beginning of the Kennedy administration, Bissell was mentioned in White House circles as the logical candidate to succeed Dulles, who was then near seventy. Brilliant and urbane, Bissell seemed to fit perfectly, in David Halberstam's phrase, the "best and the brightest" image of the New Frontier. But Bissell's popularity with the Kennedy administration was short-lived, for it was Bissell's Clandestine Services which planned and carried out the Bay of Pigs invasion of Cuba in April 1961. Bissell's operatives had not only failed, they were not even successful in inventing and maintaining a good cover story, or "plausible denial", which every covert operation is supposed to have and which might have allowed the Kennedy administration to escape the blame. Fidel Castro had told the truth to the world about American intervention in Cuba while the U.S. Secretary of State and other administration officials had been publicly caught in outright lies when their agency-supplied cover stories fell apart. So Kennedy fired the CIA officials who had got him into the Bay of Pigs, which he himself had approved; Bissell was forced out along with Dulles and Deputy Director Charles Cabell.

RICHARD HELMS

Bissell's replacement, Richard Helms, despite having been second in command in the Clandestine Services, had managed to stay remarkably untouched by the Bay of Pigs operation. Years later a very senior CIA official would still speak in amazement of the fact that not a single piece of paper existed in the agency which linked Helms to either the planning or the actual execution of the Bay of Pigs. This senior official was not at all critical of Helms, who had been very much involved in the overall supervision of the operation. The official simply was impressed by Helms' bureaucratic skill and good judgment to keeping his signature OFF the documents concerning the invasion, even in the planning stage.

BISSELL STAYS ON

Helms took over from Bissell as Clandestine Services chief on February 17, 1962, and Bissell was awarded a secret intelligence medal honoring him for his years of service to the agency. But Bissell remained in close touch with clandestine programs as a consultant; the CIA did not want to lose the services of the man who had guided the agency into some of its most advanced techniques. He had been among the first during the 1950's to understand the hopelessness of spying against the Soviets and the Chinese with classic espionage methods, and hence had pushed the use of modern technology as an intelligence tool. He had been instrumental in the development of the U-2 plane, which had been among CIA's greatest successes until the Powers incident. Bissell had also promoted, with the technical help of Kelly Johnson and the so-called Skunk Works development facilities of Lockheed Aircraft Corp., the A-11, later known as SR-71, a spy plane that could fly nearly three times the speed of sound at altitudes even higher than the U-2. **[H: Anyone out there? Wait till you find out what is launched out of the "Llano", Calif. secret base of Lockheed--TODAY!]**

Moreover, Bissell had been a driving force behind the development of space satellites for intelligence purposes--at times to the embarrassment of the Air Force. He had quickly grasped the espionage potential of placing high-resolution cameras in orbit around the globe to photograph secret installations in the Soviet Union and China. And due in great part to the technical advances made by scientists and engineers working under Bissell, the CIA largely dominated the U.S. government's satellite reconnaissance programs in the late 1950's and well into the 1960's. Even today, when the Air Force has taken over most of the operational aspects of the satellite programs, the CIA is responsible for many of the research and development breakthroughs. At the same time that Bissell was sparking many of the innovations in overhead reconnaissance, he was guiding the Clandestine Services into increased emphasis on covert-action programs in the Third World. It was Bissell who developed and put into practice much of the theory and technique which became standard operating procedure in the CIA's many interventions abroad.

SECRET MEETING JAN. 1968 AT CFR HDQTRS.

Bissell spoke mainly about covert action that January night in 1968 at the Council on Foreign Relations in New York, and the minutes provide a virtual textbook outline of covert operations. Among his listeners were former CIA officials Allen Dulles and Robert Amory, Jr., former State Department intelligence chief Thomas Hughes, former Kennedy aide Theodore Sorensen, columnist Joseph Kraft, and fourteen others. All others present were men who had spent most of their lives either in or on the fringes of the government. They could be trusted to remain discreet about what they heard.

Speaking freely to a friendly audience, the former Clandestine Services chief said:

Covert action (is) attempting to influence the internal affairs of other nations--sometimes called "intervention"--by covert means.

...the technique is essentially that of "penetration", including "penetrations" of the sort which horrify classicists of covert operations, with a disregard for the "standards" and "agent recruitment rules". Many of the "penetrations" don't take the form of "hiring" but of establishing a close or friendly relationship (which may or may not be furthered by the provision of money from time to time).

Bissell was explaining that the CIA needs to have its own agents on the inside--i.e., "penetrations"--if it wants to finance a political party, guide the editorial policy of a newspaper, or carry off a military coup. CIA clandestine operators assigned overseas are called case officers, and they recruit and supervise the "penetrations". Their tours of duty are normally two to three years, and most serve with false titles in American embassies. Some live under what is called "deep cover" in foreign countries, posing as

businessmen, students, newsmen, missionaries, or other seemingly innocent American visitors.

EMBASSY COVER

The problem of Agency operations overseas (Bissell continued) is frequently a problem for the State Department. It tends to be true that local allies find themselves dealing always with an American and an official American--since the cover is almost invariably as a U.S. government employee. There are powerful reasons for this practice, and it will always be desirable to have some CIA personnel housed in the Embassy compound, if only for local "command post" and communications requirements.

Nonetheless, it is possible and desirable, although difficult and time-consuming, to build overseas an apparatus of unofficial cover. This would require the use or creation of private organizations, many of the personnel of which would be non-U.S. nationals, with freer entry into the local society and less implication for the official U.S. posture.

Whatever cover the case officer has, his role is to find agent willing to work with or for the CIA. His aim is to penetrate th, host government, to learn its inner workings, to manipulate i for the agency's purposes.

But for the larger and more sensitive interventions (Bissell went on), the allies must have their own motivation. On the whole the Agency has been remarkably successful in finding individuals and instrumentalities with which and through which it could work in this fashion. Implied in the requirement for a pre-existing motivation is the corollary that an attempt to induce the local ally to follow a course he does not believe in will at least reduce his effectiveness and may destroy the whole operation.

BLACKMAIL AND COERCION USED

Covert action is thus an exercise in seeking out "allies" willing to cooperate with the CIA, preferably individuals who believe in the same goals as the agency; at the very least, people who can be manipulated into belief in these goals. CIA case officers must be adept at convincing people that working for the agency is in their interest, and a good case officer normally will use whatever techniques are required to recruit a prospect: appeals to patriotism and anti-communism can be reenforced with flattery, or sweetened with money and power. Cruder methods involving blackmail and coercion may also be used, but are clearly less desirable.

For covert action to be most effective, the recruitment and penetration should be made long before an actual operation is scheduled. When the U.S. government secretly

decides to provoke a coup in a particular country, it is then too late for CIA case officers to be looking for local allies. Instead, if the case officers have been performing their jobs well, they will have already built up a network of agents in that country's government, military forces, press, labor unions, and other important groups; thus there is, in effect, a standing force in scores of countries ready to serve the CIA when the need arises. In the interim, many of these agents also serve the agency by turning over intelligence obtained through their official positions. This intelligence can often be of tactical value to the CIA in determining local political power structures and calculating where covert action would be most effective. Again Bissell:

There is a need for continuing efforts to develop covert-action capabilities even where there is no immediate need to employ them. The central task is that of identifying potential indigenous allies--both individuals and organizations--making contact with them, and establishing the fact of a community of interest.

LONG TERM COVERT INFLUENCE

This process is called, in intelligence, "building assets" or developing the operational apparatus. It is a standard function of all CIA clandestine stations and bases overseas. And when a case officer is transferred to a new assignment after several years in a post, he passes on his network of agents and contacts to his replacement, who will stay in touch with them as well as search out new "assets" himself.

Depending on the size and importance of a particular country, from one to scores of CIA case officers may operate there; together, their collective "assets" may number in the hundreds. The planners of any operation will try to orchestrate the use of the available assets so as to have the maximum possible effect. Bissell:

Covert intervention is probably most effective in situations where a comprehensive effort is undertaken with a number of separate operations designed to support and complement one another and to have a cumulatively significant effect.

ONLY THE CIA KNOWS

In fact, once the CIA's case officers have built up their assets, whether or not the United States will intervene at all will be based in large part on a judgment of the potential effectiveness, importance, and trustworthiness of the CIA's agents or, in Bissell's word, "allies". Yet only case officers on the scene and, to a lesser extent, their immediate superiors in the United States are in a position to make this judgment, since only the CIA knows the identity of its agents. This information is not shared with outsiders or even widely known inside the agency, where agents are listed by code names even in top-secret documents. Thus, while the political decision to intervene must be made in the White House, it is the CIA itself (through its Clandestine Services) which supplies the President and his advisors with much of the crucial

information upon which their decision to intervene is based.

Let us leave the text at this point because you are needed elsewhere, Dharma.

UPDATE ON BO GRITZ

Please note that in spite of recent derogatory articles in the Spotlight, **a notice of "Gritz for President" WILL APPEAR IN THE FEB. 10 ISSUE OF THAT PAPER.** This thrust represents a coalition of AMERICA FIRST and I suggest all readers be given access to the information. It appears as a paid advertisement, which in itself is quite strange for it was, indeed, the Spotlight in support of the Populist Party which first presented "Bo" as a candidate. Things are most often NOT what they appear to be.

I will repeat a statement from "Bo" which I ask every American, not just soldiers, to ponder. You, as patriots, or in blind lip-sinc, have repeated and recited over and over again--your allegiance to the flag of the United States. Bo reminds you now:

Every American soldier [American, period], took a vow to defend the Constitution of the United States from all enemies foreign and domestic...today they are domestic. IT'S BALLOTS IN '92 OR WE CAN STACK SANDBAGS IN '96!

When you have a willing servant who has walked in the shadow of the clandestine bullets and witnessed through experience the TRUTH--you have an opportunity to change this heinously criminal reign of terror destined to descend upon you--IF YOU WANT TO! I WONDER HOW MANY WILL ACTUALLY WANT TO!?! "BO" IS RUNNING ON THE PLATFORM OF "CONSTITUTION" AND NOTHING MORE! MAY GOD ALLOW YOU INSIGHT INTO TRUTH.

Thank you for your attention.

Hatonn to clear.

CHAPTER 7

REC #1 HATONN

SAT., FEBRUARY 8, 1992 9:19 A.M. YEAR 5, DAY 175

SATURDAY FEBRUARY 8 1992

TODAY'S WATCH

Hatonn present in the Light of the Glorious Day gifted unto us that we might serve.
Good morning.

INDIANA PLANE CRASH - ISLAMIC WAR.

As to the "Watch" for today--I don't wish to spend time at the matter but you students who do not realize what brought the plane to the horrendous crash in Indiana--I am disappointed. Every last detail of the incident is a clue, from the spiraling direction to the "no known cause". This is exactly what happens to a plane and crew hit by ELF beams. You are being reminded that you have no real control. What Gates (CIA) is setting up in the Middle East is a real NO-NO.' How dare you arbitrarily decide to go in with terrorists and "take out" the sovereign head of a nation (Saddam Hussien). The very thought of such internationally illegal actions must boggle your minds. The insanity is almost beyond my comprehension. The point is to bring the Islamic world to war--NOW. YOU ARE ONLY A TINY MISTAKEN BREATH FROM NUCLEAR WAR. Can you not see that your administration must have a war or massive economic emergency, right now--for distraction?

To you who sent me the information on the United Nations, etc.--thank you. You, and it, shall be guarded most carefully. You do great service to an awakening populace. It is through the sharing and daring that this task shall be served.

(BACK TO THE CIA) COVERT-ACTION

Even if the CIA's reputation for honesty and accurate assessment were unassailable (which it is not), there would still be a built-in conflict of interest in the system: the CIA draws up the intervention plans; the CIA is the only agency with the specific knowledge to evaluate the merits and the feasibility of those plans; and the CIA is the action which carries out the plans once they are approved. When the CIA has its assets in place, the inclination within the agency is to recommend their use; the form of intervention recommended will reflect the type of assets which have been earlier recruited. Further, simply because the assets are available, the top officials of the U.S. government may well rely too heavily on the CIA in a real or imagined crisis situation. To these officials, including the President, covert intervention may seem to be an easier solution to a particular problem than to allow events to follow their natural course or to seek a tortuous diplomatic settlement. The temptation to interfere in

another country's internal affairs can be almost irresistible, when the means are at hand.

CLANDESTINE OVERRIDES ANALYSIS

It is one of the contradictions of the intelligence profession, as practiced by the CIA, that the views of its substantive experts--its analysts--do not carry much weight with the clandestine operators engaging in covert action. The operators usually decide which operations to undertake without consulting the analysts. Even when pertinent intelligence studies and estimates are readily available, they are as often as not ignored, unless they tend to support the particular covert action cause espoused by the operation. Since the days of the OSS, clandestine operators--especially in the field--have distrusted the detached viewpoint of analysts not directly involved in covert action. To ensure against contact with the analysts (and to reduce interference by high level staff members, even those in the Office of the Director) the operators usually resort to tight operational security--the "need-to-know" principle--and to bureaucratic deceptions when developing or seeking approval of a covert-action operation. Thus, it is quite possible in the CIA for the intelligenceanalysts to say one thing, and for the Covert-action officers to get the authorization to do another. Although the analysts saw little chance for a successful rebellion against President Sukarno in 1958, the Clandestine Services supported the abortive coup d'etat. Despite the analysts' view that Castro's government had the support of the Cuban people, the agency's operators attempted--and failed--at the Bay of Pigs to overthrow him. In spite of large doubts on the part of the analysts for years as to the efficacy of Radio Free Europe and Radio Liberty, the CIA continued to fund these propaganda efforts until 1971, when forced by Congress to withdraw its support. Although the analysts clearly indicated that the wars in Laos and Vietnam were not winnable, the operational leadership of the CIA never ceased to devise and launch new programs in support of the local regimes and in the hope of somehow bringing about victory over the enemy. **The analysts had warned against involvement in Latin American politics, but covert action was attempted anyway to manipulate the 1964 and 1970 Chilean presidential elections.**

In theory, the dichotomy that exists between the analytical and clandestine components of the CIA is resolved at the top of the agency. It is at the Director's level that the CIA's analytical input is supposed to be balanced against the goals and risks of the covert-action operation. But it does not always, or even often, work that way. Directors like Allen Dulles and Richard Helms, both longtime clandestine operators, tend to allow their affinity for secret operations to influence their judgment. Even a remote chance of success was enough to win their approval of a covert-action proposal. The views of the analysts, if requested at all, and if they survived the bureaucratic subterfuge of the clandestine operators, were usually dismissed by the agency's leadership on the grounds that they were too vague or indecisive for the purposes of operational planning.

Still, regardless of the preference of the Director of Central Intelligence, it is the

President or his National Security Advisor who provides the ultimate direction and grants the final approval for any significant covert-action program undertaken by the CIA. Often in proposing such a program the agency's operators are responding solely to a presidential directive or to orders of the National Security Council. And always when a CIA covert-action proposal is submitted for approval, the plans are reviewed by the 40 Committee, the special interdepartmental group chaired by the President's National Security Advisor. **[H: Scared yet? You realize that Kissinger sat in that "chair" until recently!]** Thus, the desire of the President or his advisor to move secretly to influence the internal events of another country is frequently the stimulus that either sparks the CIA into action or permits its operators to launch a dubious operation. Only then does the apparatus get into motion; only then do the analysts become meaningless. But "only then" means "almost always".

EIGHT TACTICS CIA USES

In his talk at the Council on Foreign Relations, Bissell listed eight types of covert action, eight different ways that the CIA intervenes in the domestic affairs of other nations:

(1) political advice and counsel; (2) subsidies to an individual; (3) financial support and "technical assistance" to political parties; (4) support of private organizations, including labor unions, business firms, cooperatives, etc; (5) covert propaganda; (6) "private" training of individuals and exchange of persons; (7) economic operations, and (8) paramilitary or political action operations designed to overthrow or to support a regime (like the Bay of Pigs and the program in Laos). These operations can be classified in various ways: by the degree and type of secrecy required by their legality, and, perhaps, by their benign or hostile character.

Bissell's fifth and eighth categories--covert propaganda and paramilitary operations--are so large, so important, that they will be discussed at length in later chapters; they are, as well, somewhat self-defining. But the other six categories need some explanation at this point.

The first three categories--political advice and counsel, subsidies to an individual, and financial support and technical assistance to political parties--are usually so closely related that they are nearly impossible to separate.

8 LINES DELETED

The reporters who covered that affair on April 10, 1971, apparently failed to notice anything unusual about the guests. Seated in the State Dining Room at long white tables forming a large E was the usual assortment of foreign dignitaries, high U.S. government officials, and corporate executives who have become fixtures at such

occasions during the Nixon years. The guest list supplied by the White House press office gave the titles and positions for almost all the diners.

19 ½ LINES DELETED

years later, he was elected mayor of West Berlin. Throughout this period,

8 ½ LINES DELETED

he was a hard-working politician in Allied-occupied Berlin, and his goal of making the social Democratic party a viable alternative to communism

15 LINES DELETED

And that evening after dinner, singer Pearl Bailey entertained the White House crowd in the East Room. The *Washington Post* reported the next day that she had "rocked" the White House.

27 ½ LINES DELETED

CIA "DEALS AROUND" U.S. AMBASSADORS

In certain countries where the CIA has been particularly active, the agency's chief of station (COS) maintains closer ties with the head of state than does the U.S. ambassador. Usually, the ambassador is kept informed of the business transacted between the COS (who is officially subordinate to the ambassador) and the head of state (to whom the ambassador is officially accredited as the personal representative of the President of the United States). But Bissell mentioned cases in which the CIA's relationship with the local head of state was so special that the American ambassador was not informed of any of the details, because either the Secretary of State or the head of the host government preferred that the ambassador be kept ignorant of the relationships.

24 ½ LINES DELETED

Still another example of a country where the CIA enjoys a special relationship is Nationalist China. In Taiwan, however, the CIA's link is not with President Chang Kai-shek, but with his son and heir apparent, Premier Chiang Ching-kuo. One former CIA chief of station, Ray Cline, until late 1973 the State Department's Director of Intelligence and Research, became something of a legend within the Clandestine Services because of his frequent all-night drinking bouts with the younger Chiang.

7 1/3 LINES DELETED

In South Vietnam, Ambassador Ellsworth Bunker insisted on personally conducting all important meetings with President Thieu; sometimes Bunker was accompanied by the

CIA chief when there was agency business to be discussed. But there has been another CIA officer in Saigon who has known Thieu for many years and who has retained access to the Vietnamese President. According to a former assistant to Ambassador Bunker, this CIA officer has served as conduit between Thieu and the American government when a formal meeting is not desired or when Thieu wishes to float an idea.

41 LINES DELETED

Each man has been thought by the agency to represent a strong anti-communist force that would maintain stability in a potentially volatile country.

CIA MONEY CORRUPTS

Generally speaking, the CIA's ties with foreign political leaders who receive advice and money from the agency are extremely delicate. The CIA is interested in moving the leader and, through him, his party and country into policies to the advantage of the United States. In most countries of the Third World, the United States policy is usually to maintain the status quo, so most subsidies are designed to strengthen the political base of those in power. The foreign leader who receives money from the CIA is typically furthering both his own career and, presumably, what he believes are the legitimate aims of his country. But even that presumption is shaky; any politician's ability to rationalize his actions probably increases once he has made the decision to accept such funds.

* * *

STUDENT RECRUITS TRAPPED

Extensive CIA involvement with private institutions at home and overseas (Bissell's fourth category of covert-action tactics) is one of the few aspects of the agency's covert-action effort to have received a good deal of public attention. The 1967 expose by Ramparts magazine of the CIA's clandestine connections with the National Student Association was quickly followed by a flurry of articles in the press concerning agency subsidies to scores of other organizations. Some of these institutions, particularly those used as conduits for covert funds, were under direct CIA control. Others simply were financed by the agency and steered toward policies that it favored through the manipulation of only a few of the organization's key personnel. Sam Brown, a former head of the National Student Association's National Supervisory Policy Board and later a leader in the 1968 McCarthy campaign and in the anti-war movement, told David Wise and Thomas B. Ross that in the case of the NSA, the CIA would select one or two association officers as its contacts. These officers were told that they should be aware of certain secrets and were asked to sign an oath pledging silence. Then, Brown said, they were told:

"You are employed by the CIA." At that point they were trapped,

having signed a statement not to divulge anything... This is the part of the thing that I found to be most disgusting and horrible. People were duped into this relationship with the CIA, a relationship from which there was no out.

Not all the student leaders recruited over the years by the CIA, however, were displeased with the arrangement. Some later joined the agency formally as clandestine operatives, and one rose to become executive assistant to Director Richard Helms. It was this same man who sometimes posed as an official of the Agency for International Development to entrap unsuspecting NSA officers, revealing his "cover" only after extracting pledges of secrecy and even NSA commitments to cooperate with specific CIA programs.

Tom Braden, who headed the CIA's International Organizations Division from 1950 to 1954 when that component of the Clandestine Services was responsible for subsidizing private organizations, described his own experiences in the 1967 Saturday Evening Post article entitled "I'm Glad the CIA is Immoral' ":

It was my idea to give the \$50,000 to Irving Brown (of the American Federation of Labor). He needed it to pay off his strong-arm squads in Mediterranean ports, so that American supplies could be unloaded against the opposition of Communist dock workers.... At (Victor Reuther's) request, I went to Detroit one morning and gave Walter (Reuther) \$50,000 in \$50 bills. Victor spent the money mostly in West Germany, to bolster labor unions there...I remember the enormous joy I got when the Boston Symphony Orchestra won more acclaim for the U.S. in Paris than John Foster Dulles or Dwight D. Eisenhower could have bought with a hundred speeches. And then there was Encounter, the magazine published in England and dedicated to the proposition that cultural achievement and political freedom were interdependent. Money for both the orchestra's tour and the magazine's publication came from the CIA, and few outside of the CIA knew about it. We had placed one agent in the Europe-based organization of intellectuals called the Congress for Cultural Freedom. Another agent became an editor of Encounter. The agents could not only propose anti-Communist programs to the official leaders of the organization but they could also suggest ways and means to solve the inevitable budgetary problems. Why not see if the needed money could be obtained from "American foundations"? As the agents knew, the CIA-financed foundations were quite generous when it came to the national interest.

PRESIDENT DIDN'T WANT IT CHANGED

Bissell's comments seemed to be in direct contradiction to the official U.S. government policy established by the President. But Bissell, no longer a CIA officer, wasn't challenging presidential authority, and his audience understood that, just as it understood what, indeed, the Katzenbach committee had recommended. Bissell was merely reflecting the general view within the CIA and the cult of intelligence that President Johnson had been pressured by liberals and the press into taking some action to reduce the agency's involvement with private groups; that by naming Katzenbach (then considered by the CIA to be a "friend") as chairman of the committee and by making CIA Director Helms the second of its three members, the President was stacking the deck in the CIA's favor; that the agency certainly could be criticized for its lack of professional skill in so sloppily funding the private groups; but that, especially, the President did not wish to change appreciably the CIA's covert-actions programs.

Once the Katzenbach report appeared, the CIA arranged secret exceptions to the much-heralded new policy. Two CIA broadcasting stations, Radio Free Europe and Radio Liberty, which together received more than \$30 million annually in CIA funds, were immediately placed outside the restrictions of the presidential order. And the CIA delayed withdrawing its support for other organizations whose agency ties had been exposed until new forms of financing them could be developed. Thus, as **late as 1970 the CIA was still subsidizing a major international youth organization through a penetration who was one of the organization's officers.** In some cases, "severance payments" were made that could keep an organization afloat for years.

Although the CIA had been widely funding foreign labor unions for more than fifteen years and some of the agency's labor activities were revealed in Tom Braden's Saturday Evening Post article, the Katzenbach committee did not specify unions as the type of organizations the CIA was barred from financing. At the 1968 Council on Foreign Relations meeting at which Bissell spoke, Meyer Bernstein, the Steelworkers Union's Director of International Labor Affairs, commented:

The turn of events has been unexpected. First, there hasn't been any real problem with international labor programs. Indeed, there has been an increase in demand for U.S. labor programs and the strain on our capacity has been embarrassing. Formerly, these foreign labor unions knew we were short of funds, but now they all assume we have secret CIA money, and they ask for more help.

\$50,000 IN OL BILLS

Worse yet, Vic Reuther, who had been alleging that others were receiving CIA money, and whose brother's receipt of \$50,000 from CIA in old bills was subsequently disclosed by Tom Braden, still goes on with his charges that the AFL-CIO has taken CIA money. Here again, no one seems to listen. "The net

result has been as close to zero as possible. We've come to accept the CIA, like sin." So, for example, British Guiana's (Guyana) labor unions were supported through CIA conduits, but now they ask for more assistance than before. So, our expectations to the contrary, there has been almost no damage.

In Vietnam, enthusiastic officials of the U.S. embassy in Saigon were fond of saying during the late 1960s that Tran Ngoc Buu was the Samuel Gompers of the Vietnamese labor movement. They did not say--and most probably did not know—

4 LINES DELETED

EXCHANGE OF PERSONS

Bissell also identified "'private' training of individuals and exchange of persons" as a form of covert action:

Often activities have been initiated through CIA channels because they could be started more quickly and informally but do not inherently need to be secret. An example might be certain exchange-of-persons programs designed to identify potential political leaders and give them some exposure to the United States. It should be noted, however, that many such innocent programs are more effective if carried out by private auspices than if supported officially by the United States Government. They do not need to be covert but if legitimate private entities such as the foundations do not initiate them, there may be no way to get them done except by covert support to "front" organizations.

He was referring to the so-called people-to-people exchange programs, most of which are funded openly by the State Department, the Agency for International Development, the Agency, and various private organizations and foundations. But the CIA has also been involved to a lesser extent, and has brought foreigners to the United States with funds secretly supplied to conduit organizations. **On occasion, the agency will sponsor the training of foreign officials at the facilities of another government agency. A favorite site is AID's International Police Academy in Washington. The academy is operated by AID's Public Safety (police) Division, which regularly supplies cover to CIA operators all over the world. And the CIA takes advantage of exchange programs to recruit agents.** While a systematic approach is not followed, the agency considers foreigners visiting the United States to be legitimate targets for recruitment.

* * *

ECONOMIC PROGRAMS SELDOM WORK

The CIA has undertaken comparatively few economic covert-action programs (Bissell's seventh category) over the years, preferring the more direct approach of paramilitary operations or propaganda. And those economic programs attempted by the agency have not been notably successful. During the mid-1960's Japanese investors were used in an effort to build up the South Vietnamese economy, because American companies tended to shy away from making substantial investments in Vietnam. The U.S. government hoped that the Japanese would fill the void at least partially, and eventually lighten U.S. aid requirements. Thus, CIA representatives promised certain Japanese businessmen that the agency would supply the investment capital if the Japanese would front for the operation and supply the technical expertise for large commercial farms. After long and detailed negotiations, the deal faltered and then failed.

CUBAN SUGAR: BUNGLED, IMBITTERED

A few years earlier the CIA had tried to disrupt Cuba's sugar trade as part of its program to undercut Fidel Castro's regime.

At one point the Clandestine Services operatives proposed that the CIA purchase large amounts of sugar and then dump it in a certain foreign country so as to destroy the market for Cuban sugar. This plan also fell through, but a more serious attack on Cuban sugar occurred in August 1962 when a British freighter under lease to the Soviets docked in Puerto Rico for repairs. The cargo, Cuban sugar destined for the Soviet Union, was placed in a bonded warehouse while the ship was in dry dock. CIA agents broke into the warehouse and contaminated the sugar with a nonpoisonous but unpalatable substance.

* * *

PRESIDENT CAN DODGE CONSEQUENCES

As pointed out earlier, one of the advantages a secret agency like the CIA provides to a President is the unique pretext of being able to disclaim responsibility for its actions. Thus, a President can direct or approve high-risk clandestine operations such as a manned overflight of the Soviet Union on the eve of a summit conference, a Bay of Pigs invasion, penetration and manipulation of private youth, labor, or cultural organizations, paramilitary adventures in Southeast Asia, or intervention in the domestic politics of Chile without openly accepting the consequences of these decisions. If the clandestine operations are successful--good. If they fail or backfire, then usually all the President and his staff need do to avoid culpability is to blame the CIA. **[H: If any of you readers are missing the point--I think there is no hope for help in your situation. This is exactly that which has been done over and over and over again and only on a couple of occasions have you-the-people even blinked your eyes.]**

In no instance has a President of the United States ever made a serious attempt to review or revamp the covert practices of the CIA. Minor alterations in operational methods and techniques have been carried out, but no basic changes in policy or practice have ever been demanded by the White House. And this is not surprising: Presidents like the CIA. It does their dirty work--work that might not otherwise be "do-able". When the agency fails or blunders, all the President need do is to deny, scold, or threaten.

PRESIDENTIAL "BLAME" ONLY PART OF COVER

For the CIA's part, being the focus of presidential blame is an occupational hazard, but one hardly worth worrying about. It is merely an aspect of the cover behind which the agency operates. Like the other aspects of cover, it is part of a deception. The CIA fully realizes that it is too important to the government and the American political aristocracy for any President to do more than tinker with it. The CIA shrugs off its blunders and proceeds to devise new operations, secure in the knowledge that the White House usually cannot resist its offerings, particularly covert action--covert action that dominates, that determines, that defines the shape and purpose of the CIA. America's leaders have not yet reached the point where they are willing to forsake intervention in the internal affairs of other countries and let events naturally run their course. There still is a widely held belief in this country that America has the right and the responsibility to become involved in the internal political processes of foreign nations, and while faith in this belief and that of doctrinaire anti-communism may have been somewhat shaken in the last decade

6 LINES DELETED

We will close this chapter at this point. When we pen again we will take up: The CIA and the Intelligence Community.

As you move on into involvement with a world in chaos--please remember an old adage: "We often give our enemies the means for our own destruction." Also it is most wise to always first KNOW THYSELF.

Before you can know your enemy, you must know your self. Try to recognize your own biases, and take them into account when you analyze the cause of your troubles. If you're black, sure, you want to blame racism. If you're male, you may automatically blame women or at the least, the Women's Rights Movements. If you're female then you think for sure that it is that "good ol'boy" network that's responsible for your plight. Sometimes you're right. And often, you're at least partially right. But superficial discrimination is always the easy answer, so culturally inbred that you don't bother to look further for deeper, more fundamental explanations.

Pay attention if you want the real truth. Find out WHO is getting rich, for instance. See

WHO gains POWER. Nine times out of ten, even if they present themselves as "saviors" fighting to save you from disaster, those who profit from injustice and clandestine activities are also a "cause".

Follow the money and power trail. The trail inevitably leads to catalysts and cause of injustice. It is up to YOU to become accurate in discernment if you would change the plight of the nation as she is now directed.

Are my people not frightened at this unveiling of lies that you might find truth? Yes-- however they hold very dearly the words: "Yea, though I walk through the valley of the shadow of death--lo, thou art with me so I shall fear no evil which passes my way. I shall be thusly protected from the slings and arrows of THINE enemy." We are never from thee, chelas. First of all, brothers--you are our FRIENDS and there is no greater honor to place upon another. So be it. Salu.

CHAPTER 8

REC #1 HATONN

SUN., FEBRUARY 9, 1992 9:09 A.M. YEAR 5, DAY 176

SUNDAY FEBRUARY 9 1992

TODAY'S WATCH

Dharma, and precious readers, I would but share with you as you walk through the shadows, disappointments and disillusionments. As ones come through in their own seeking and journeys only to bring intentional pain and while working for self-gain and bringing discredit to those of you who effort to share--even, yea, with them. Lessons, all, are these things and from each encounter you gain knowledge and to tend of everything as in good business so that you are not continually stung and stricken.

The flowers of life are but illusions. How many fade away and leave no trace? How few yield any fruit? And the fruit itself, how rarely does it ripen! Are you angry that others disappoint you? Remember--at times you cannot depend upon yourself and this causes you to be more gentle on self in wallowing in self-pity of perceived offense. ONLY GOD, chelas, fills the void which in your breasts you bear. Yes, disappointment tears the bearable film of life. Just remember, all of you, everyone who got where he is had to begin where he was for all you have is NOW and wishing a thing to be different changes not an iota of it--forever. Only action and discernment can change the potential of any action. I would also note that he who incites to strife is worse, by far, than he who takes part in it.

WE OFFER SPIRITUAL GROWTH

There are ones of borderline contribution--I suggest you look closely within at intent--for **NOTHING IS HIDDEN FROM GOD AND WE PATIENTLY WAIT FOR YOUR FINDING OF THE PATH IN PARTICIPATION--BUT GOD IS OBVIOUSLY NOT THAT WHICH YOU PERCEIVE--FOR THIS MISSION WILL BE DONE WITH OR WITHOUT YOUR PARTICIPATION. YOU WHO JUST WANT TO "JOIN SOMETHING" OR PARTICIPATE BECAUSE IT "MIGHT" BE THE RIGHT "SOMETHING". FORGET IT--I REPEAT--WE ARE NOT A GROUPIE SET UP TO TEND NEW AGE SOUL SEARCHING. WE ARE AVAILABLE TO THOSE WHO NEED TO CHECK US OUT AND THOSE WHO ARE GOING TO MAKE THIS TRANSITION. IF YOU WANT RELIGIOUS INPUT--GO ELSEWHERE. SPIRITUAL TRUTH IS ALL WE HAVE TO OFFER, ALONG WITH HARD, LONG HOURS OF WORK. SO BE IT.**

Dharma, as you are pressed 'neath the burden of the unwritten mountain of things to bring unto our people--be in realization that even after a bad harvest there must again

be the sowing. Moreover, chela--that which another thinks of you and speaks of you is NONE OF YOUR BUSINESS--but only his own. Precious, perseverance is more prevailing than violence and many things which cannot be overcome when they are together yield themselves up when taken little by little. We have our work for the moment--and that is all that is required of thee.

SEE IT AS IT IS

You must be able to see that "beyond" which is thine goal--always and without distraction of the whole. To see things as they are, the eyes must be open; to see things as other than they are, they must open even wider and to see things as even better than they are, they must be open to the full. The man who sees little and only a short distance in personal manner always sees less than there is to see; the man who hears badly and receives in cloudy shrouded selfness always is seeking to hear something more than there is given to hear. Not only, chelas, is there but one way of finally doing and seeing in correctness, but there is ultimately only one way of perceiving them that is given into seeing the whole of them as related unto the magnificent goal. Always it must be remembered that it is not a having and a resting, but always a growing and a becoming, which is the character of perfection and only through gaining in knowledge can culture conceive and become worthy of receiving perfection.

Pretending at righteousness is folly indeed, dear ones, for in the pretense always is buried Truth and uncovering. It is better to openly offend than to enter through the gates of deceit for always it is through that route that the enemy comes--even if he be innocent in perceived intent. The abuse of grace is affectation and pretense, as the abuse of the sublime is absurdity. If ye truly know GOD, you shall also know that God knows all and that God within will always ultimately see to the uncovering of the dark intent--Truth will ultimately always "OUT". So be it.

May the lessons for the one or few be lessons for the many. Salu.

PLANS FOR NEW YORK CITY

It is again time to speak of New York City and the planned devastation of the area. I have spoken of this before and often in passing. As long ago as 1979 you ones were given information regarding the Russian intent of geophysical warfare.

To make my point today I have to go back to what was taking place in 1980 as preparations were stepped up in intention of decimating New York City. Why would this happen? Because the Russians fully intended to get rid of all Bolsheviks and New York is the headquarters of the Bolsheviks in America. Well, quite a few are now infiltrated and integrated into Washington D.C. and other major cities such as Los Angeles--but still a massive impact would be accomplished through a show of strength and power in the area of New York. I do not need speak of new intentions--let us see that which they were setting up back a decade.

At that time the plans extended into a northward direction and well into New England. Note I speak herein about geophysical and not missile warfare. You ones tend to think in terms of weapons of war when they have actually become totally unsuitable in fact.

There is a little known but major fault that runs along the East Coast partly offshore, partly on land, and Russian cobalt bombs for earthquake generation have long been planted in eight areas along this fault in the Northeast--now increased to a much wider area but with full capability of blowing off an extensive area--back a decade.

MAJOR PLANTED BOMB LOCATIONS

So, where are the major locations of these ready-to-detonate bombs? South of Providence, Rhode Island; south of Norwich, Connecticut; several near Hartford, Connecticut; near New Haven, Connecticut; south of Springfield, Massachusetts; and near Northhampton and New Bedford, Massachusetts. But the focus is New York City which will suffer the major devastation by far. The reason is that New York, as I just said above, is the headquarters city of the Bolsheviks in America.

As Russia was setting up this mass of potential destruction there was an equally massive attempt to distract--in the form of the revolution taking place at the time, in Poland. Always beware widespread wars and revolutions--for they ARE ALWAYS precursors to devastation in some form or another. You have the very setting in point--right now.

The same type bombs are placed at every major dam, bridge and all major earth faults such as the New Madrid, San Andreas, Hayward, etc. These insure that full devastation comes with any earth movement and under planned circumstances. My intent is not to "shock" but to inform--there are over a hundred earthquake-producing bombs buried beneath the waters around New York City at this time. All are now capable of being simultaneously triggered by frequency beams.

INCREDIBLE ACTIONS NEAR

Why am I reminding you NOW? Because you are as near to a devastating sequence of events as you have ever been in the history of the planet. The path of gaining power will take new and incredible lines of actions which you have not yet come to believe are possible. Part is to blame Space Brotherhood to continue in the "control" of you-the-people. You will note, at any rate, that you set aside nothing for the rebuilding of your cities and old cities such as New York are in dire need of care. The infrastructure is in near irreversible collapse. In addition, the social order has been deliberately turned into chaotic violation of human dignity or harmonious life-style capability.

Man has structured all these things and so shall it be through the hands of physical Man that it shall come to pass as the prophecies projected--THEY wrote the prophecies according to the plan of acquisition and annihilation of the over-populations. Blaming God will not be of benefit in any measure whatsoever--either in

bringing peace or in transition into infinite experience. Man has accomplished that which you will blame on God. Moreover, it changes not one iota of the facts--upon whomever you cast your blame--it always comes back unto thine own doorstep to roost. You can slay the messengers and all ye have is more on thine conscience in evil projection. It is your privilege to not listen nor see--**IT IS MY MISSION TO TELL YOU AND SHOW YOU. THEN MY MISSION ENDS. SO BE IT.**

CHAPTER 9

REC #2 HATONN

SUN., FEBRUARY 9, 1992 10:51 A.M. YEAR 5, DAY 176

SUNDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 1992

Since one of the most important men in your nation was also once head of the CIA, I think I shall probably increase the length of this particular subject to include integration of the biographical outlay of George Bush. Even if this requires several JOURNALS to adequately cover the material. Life, after all, is a series of totally integrated bits and pieces and must be considered in that light--for all impacts all other. We shall just move along and interrupt where appropriate.

I suggest you break up John's work on Russia into segments suitable to run in series in the *Liberator*. **It is imperative that you have background on Russia and circumstances unfolding there so that you can better discern what, exactly, is taking place and how and why it impacts you so greatly. Then, at some point we are going to have to take an in-depth look into the United Nations Charter and structure to see how and why you have been "had" unknowingly.**

Now, however, let us continue with the CIA subject in point. We shall begin today with:

THE CIA AND THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY

The CIA is big, very big. Officially, it has authorized manpower of 16,500 [1974] and an authorized budget of \$750 million [**H: This, of course, is completely outdated but serves the purpose of explanation of how the system works.**]--and even those figures are jealously guarded, generally made available only to Congress. Yet, regardless of its official size and cost, the agency is far larger and more affluent than these figures indicate.

The CIA itself does not even know how many people work for it. The 16,500 figure does not reflect the tens of thousands who serve under contract (mercenaries, agents, consultants, etc.) or who work for the agency's proprietary companies. Nor does the figure include the guard force which protects the CIA's buildings and installations, the maintenance and char force, or the people who run the agency's cafeterias. The General Services Administration employs most of these personnel. Past efforts to total up the number of foreign agents have never resulted in precise figures because of the inordinate secrecy and compartmentalization practiced by the Clandestine Services. Sloppy record-keeping--often deliberate on the part of the operators "for security purposes"--is also a factor. There are onetime agents hired for specific missions, contract agents who serve for extended periods of time, and career agents who spend their entire working lives secretly employed by the CIA. In some instances, contract

agents are retained long after their usefulness has passed, but usually are known only to the case officers with whom they deal. One of the Watergate burglars, Eugenio Martinez, was in this category. When he was caught inside the Watergate on that day in June 1972, he still was receiving a \$100-a-month stipend from the agency for work apparently unrelated to his covert assignment for the Committee to Re-Elect the President. The CIA claims to have since dropped him from the payroll.

WASTEFUL PAY POLICIES

A good chunk of the agency's annual operational funds, called "project money", is wasted in this fashion. Payments to no-longer-productive agents are justified on several grounds: the need to maintain secrecy about their operations even though these occurred years ago; the vague hope that such agents will again prove to be useful (operators are always reluctant to give up an asset, even a useless one), and the claim that the agency has a commitment to its old allies--a phenomenon known in the CIA as "emotional attachment". It is the last justification that carries the most weight within the agency. Thus, hundreds--perhaps thousands--of former Cuban, East European, and other minor clandestine agents are still on the CIA payroll, at an annual cost to the taxpayers of hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of dollars a year.

All mercenaries and many field-operations officers used in CIA paramilitary activities are also contractees and, therefore, are not reflected in the agency's authorized manpower level. The records kept on these soldiers of fortune are at best only gross approximations. In Laos and Vietnam, for example, the Clandestine Services had a fairly clear idea of how many local tribesmen were in its pay, but the operators were never quite certain of the total number of mercenaries they were financing through the agency's numerous support programs, some of which were fronted for by the Department of Defense, the Agency for International Development, and, of course, the CIA proprietary, Air America.

COMPUTERIZED RECORDS VIEWED AS THREAT

Private individuals under contract to--or in confidential contact with--the agency for a wide variety of tasks other than soldiering or spying are also left out of the personnel totals, and complete records of their employment are not kept in any single place. Attempts to computerize the complete CIA employment list were frustrated and eventually scuttled by Director Helms, who viewed the effort as a potential breach of operational security. In 1967, however, when the CIA's role on American campuses was under close scrutiny because of the embarrassing National Student Association revelations, Helms asked his staff to find out just how many university personnel were under secret contract to the CIA. After a few days of investigation, senior CIA officers reported back that they could not find the answer. Helms immediately ordered a full study of the situation, and after more than a month of searching records all over the agency, a report was handed in to Helms listing hundreds of professors and administrators on over a hundred campuses. But the staff officers who compiled the report knew that their work was incomplete. Within weeks, another campus

connection was exposed in the press. The contact was not on the list that had been compiled for the director.

UNCOUNTABLE: PLANES and PEOPLE

Just as difficult as adding up the number of agency contractees is the task of figuring out how many people work for its proprietaries. CIA headquarters, for instance, has never been able to compute exactly the number of planes flown by the airlines it owns, and personnel figures for the proprietaries are similarly imprecise. An agency holding company, the Pacific Corporation, including Air America and Air Asia, alone accounts for almost 20,000 people, more than the entire workforce of the parent CIA. For years this vast activity was dominated and controlled by one contract agent, George Doole, who later was elevated to the rank of a career officer. Even then his operation was supervised, part time, by only a single senior officer who lamented that he did not know "what the hell was going on".

Well aware that the agency is two or three (or more) times as large as it appears to be, the CIA's leadership has consistently sought to downplay its size. During the directorship of Richard Helms, when the agency had a career-personnel ceiling of 18,000, CIA administrative officers were careful to hold the employee totals to 200 to 300 people below the authorized complement. Even at the height of the Vietnamwar, while most national-security agencies were increasing their number of employees, the CIA handled its increased needs through secret contracts, thus giving a deceptive impression of personnel leanness. Other bureaucratic gambits were in a similar way to keep the agency below the 18,000 ceiling. Senior officers were often rehired on contract immediately after they retired and started to draw government pensions. Overseas, agency wives were often put on contract to perform secretarial duties.

PROPRIETARY COMPANIES OUTGROW CONTROL

Just as the personnel figure is deceptive, so does the budget figure not account for a great part of the CIA's campaign chest. The agency's proprietaries are often money-making enterprises and thus provide "free" services to the parent organization. The prime examples of this phenomenon are the airlines (Air America, Air Asia, and others) organized under the CIA holding company, the Pacific Corporation, which has grown bigger than the CIA itself by conducting as much private business as possible and continually reinvesting the profits. These companies generate revenues in the tens of millions of dollars each year, but the figures are imprecise because detailed accounting of their activities is not normally required by agency bookkeepers. For all practical purposes, the proprietaries conduct their own financial affairs with a minimum of oversight from CIA headquarters. Only when a proprietary is in need of funds for, say, expansion of its fleet of planes does it request agency money. Otherwise, it is free to use its profits in any way it sees fit. In this atmosphere, the proprietaries tend to take on lives of their own, and several have grown too big and too independent to be either controlled from or dissolved by headquarters.

A MULTI-BILLION DOLLAR CONGLOMERATE WITH BUDGETARY BLACK HOLES

Similarly, the CIA's annual budget does not show the Pentagon's annual contribution to the agency, amounting to hundreds of millions of dollars, to fund certain major technical espionage programs and some particularly expensive clandestine activities. For example, the CIA's Science and Technology Directorate has an annual budget of only a little more than \$100 million, but it actually spends well over \$500 million a year. The difference is funded largely by the Air Force, which underwrites the national overhead-reconnaissance effort for the entire U.S. intelligence community. **[H: Note also that under "Black Budget" fundings there is no accounting for money in or out. It simply becomes a black hole and any project which needs more funding in secret--is simply labeled "Black this or that" and funds are shifted or acquired through any resource desirable--as in drugs, hostages, arms dealing, etc.]**

Moreover, the Clandestine Services waged a "secret" war in Laos for more than a decade at an annual cost to the government of approximately \$500 million. Yet, the CIA itself financed less than 10 percent of this amount each year. The bulk of the expense was paid for by other federal agencies, mostly the Defense Department but also the Agency for International Development.

Fully aware of these additional sources of revenue, the CIA's chief of planning and programming reverently observed a few years ago that the director does not operate a mere multimillion-dollar agency but actually runs a multibillion-dollar conglomerate--with virtually no outside oversight.

HIDDEN SURPLUSES

In terms of financial assets, the CIA is not only more affluent than its official annual budget reflects, it is one of the few federal agencies that have no shortage of funds. In fact, the CIA has more money to spend than it needs. Since its creation in 1947, the agency has ended almost every fiscal year with a surplus--which it takes great pains to hide from possible discovery by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) or by the congressional oversight subcommittees. The risk of discovery is not high, however, since both the OMB and the subcommittees are usually friendly and indulgent when dealing with the CIA. Yet, each year the agency's bookkeepers, at the direction of the organization's top leadership, transfer the excess funds to the accounts of the CIA's major components with the understanding that the money will be kept available if requested by the director's office. This practice of squirreling away these extra dollars would seem particularly unnecessary because the agency always has some \$50 to \$100 million on call for unanticipated costs in a special account called the Director's Contingency Fund.

"WITHOUT REGARD TO PROVISIONS OF LAW"

The Director's Contingency Fund was authorized by a piece of legislation which is unique in the American system. Under the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949,

the Director of Central Intelligence (DCI) was granted the privilege of expending funds "without regard to the provisions of law and regulations relating to the expenditure of Government funds; and for objects of confidential, extraordinary, or emergency nature such expenditures to be accounted for solely on the certificate of the Director..." In the past, the Fund

4 LINES DELETED

But there have been times when the fund has been used for the highly questionable purpose of paying expenses incurred by other agencies of the government. [H: Are all of you feeling wonderfully calm and secure knowing that Gates is running around in the Middle East making deals and threats in your behalf--right NOW? WITH UNLIVVITED FUNDS—YOURS!!!]

CIA DID WHAT DEFENSE SECRETARY COULDN'T DO

In 1967 Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara promised Norwegian officials that the U.S. government would provide them with some new air-defense equipment costing several million dollars. McNamara subsequently learned the equipment was not available to the Pentagon's inventories and would have to be specially purchased for delivery to Norway. He was also informed that, because of the high cost of the Vietnam war (for which the Defense Department was then seeking a supplemental appropriation from Congress), funds to procure the air-defense equipment were not immediately at hand. Further complications arose from the fact that the Secretary was then engaged in a disagreement with some members of Congress over the issue of foreign military aid. It was therefore decided not to openly request the funds for the small but potentially sticky commitment to the Norwegians. Instead the Pentagon asked the CIA (with White House approval) to supply the money needed for the purchase of air-defense equipment. The funds were secretly transferred to the Defense

5 ½ LINES DELETED

PICKING UP LBJ'S TAB ON THE SLY

That same year President Johnson traveled to Punta del Este, a posh resort in Uruguay, for a meeting of the Organization of American States. He entertained the attending foreign leaders in a lavish manner which he apparently thought befitted the President of the United States, and he freely dispensed expensive gifts and souvenirs. In the process, LBJ greatly exceeded the representational allowance that the State Department had set aside for the conference. When the department found itself in the embarrassing position of being unable to cover the President's bills because of its tight budget (due in part to the economies LBJ had been demanding of the federal bureaucracy to help pay for the war in Vietnam), it was reluctant to seek additional funds from Congress. Representative John Rooney of Brooklyn, who almost singlehandedly controlled State's appropriations, had for years been a strong critic of

representational funds (called the "booze allowance") for America's diplomats. Rather than face Rooney's wrath, State turned to the CIA, and the Director's Contingency Fund was used to pay for the President's fling at Punta del Este. **[H: Still think that Johnson, Bush and cohorts didn't have anything to do with the death of JFK? People, you are going to wake up or you are going down fast in the plans they have set for you now that they got away with everything so far.]**

For some reason--perhaps because of the general view in the CIA that its operations are above the law--the agency has tended to play fiscal games that other government departments would not dare engage in. One example concerns the agency's use of its employee retirement fund, certain agent and contract-personnel escrow accounts, and the CIA credit union's capital, to play the stock market. With the approval of the top CIA leadership, a small group of senior agency officers has for years secretly supervised the management of these funds and invested them in stocks, hoping to turn a greater profit than normally would be earned through the Treasury Department's traditionally low-interest but safe bank deposits and bond issues. Originally, the investment group, consisting of CIA economists and lawyers, dealt with an established Boston brokerage house which made the final investment decisions. But several years ago the Boston brokers proved too conservative to suit the agency investors, some of whom were making fatter profits with their personal portfolios. The CIA group decided it could do much better by picking its own stocks, so the brokerage house was reduced to doing only the actual stock trading (still with a handsome commission, of course). Within a matter of months the agency investors were earning bigger profits than ever before. Presumably, the gains were plowed back into the retirement, escrow, and credit-union funds. **[H: This makes Keating look like small potatoes! Just think, most of the ones in the plans in the first place are assumed names, unlisted and what recourse would there ever be for any kind of recovery of retirement funds if the ones in charge either changed their minds about paying or, in fact, lost every last cent. There, further, was no way to check on the amount of private pocketing of any funds.]** The investment practices of the CIA group in companies with overseas holdings open up some interesting questions about "insider" information. Would the CIA group have sold Anaconda Copper short in 1970 when the agency realized that its covert efforts to prevent Salvador Allende from assuming the Presidency of Chile had failed? Or in 1973, when Director James Schlesinger decided to allow William Broe, the former chief of the Clandestine Services' Western Hemisphere Division, to testify before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee and describe ITT's role in trying to provoke CIA action against Allende, might the investment group not have been tempted to dump its ITT stock (if it had any)?

It is time for a rest break for lunch. Thank you. We will continue with the subject at this point when we again pen.

CHAPTER 10

REC #3 HATONN

SUN., FEBRUARY 9, 1992 3:49 P.M. YEAR 5, DAY 176

SUNDAY FEBRUARY 9 1992

Please continue to keep in mind that the original document from which the text herein is utilized, was brought to the public in 1974. The names will be familiar to most of you as recent disclosures come forth, i.e., "JFK" and other of our own writings of disclosure. However, at this time I do not wish to take time to bring each player or counterpart into your attention. I have done so already in many of the prior JOURNALS and LIBERATORS so please be patient and we will get it all updated after we have laid the foundation in sequence, more easily making sense of the whole. Thank you.

CONT' D: CIA AND INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY

INVESTIGATION OF CIA FINANCES (ALMOST),

In 1968, Senator Richard Russell of Georgia, then the Chairman of the Senate Joint Subcommittee for overseeing the CIA's activities, privately informed Director Helms that because of increasing skepticism among certain Senators about the agency operations, it probably would be a good idea for the CIA to arrange to have its financial procedures reviewed by an independent authority. Thus, in Russell's view, potential Senate critics who might be considering making an issue of the agency's special fiscal privileges would be undercut in advance. Senator Russell suggested the names of a few private individuals who might be willing to undertake such a task on behalf of the CIA. After conferring with his senior officers, Helms chose to ask Wilfred McNeil, at that time the president of Grace Shipping Lines.

3 LINES DELETED

to serve as the confidential reviewer of the agency's budgetary practices. McNeil, a former admiral and once comptroller for the Defense Department, was thought by Helms to be ideally suited, politically and otherwise, for the assignment.

McNeil accepted the task and soon came to CIA headquarters for a full briefing on the agency's most sensitive financial procedures--including an account of the methods used for purchasing and laundering currency on the international black market. He was told of the CIA's new planning, programming, and budgeting system, modeled after the innovations Robert McNamara had introduced at the Defense Department. Agency experts explained to McNeil how funds for new operations were authorized within the agency. He learned that the agency maintained a sliding-scale system for the approval of new projects or the periodic renewal of ongoing ones; that espionage operations

costing up to \$10,000 could be okayed by operators in the field, and that progressively more expensive operations necessitated branch, division, and Clandestine Services chief approval until, finally, operations costing over \$100,000 were authorized personally by the Director. McNeil also was briefed on the agency's internal auditing system to prevent field operatives from misusing secret funds.

McNeil's reaction to his long and detailed briefing was to express surprise at the scope of the CIA's financial system and to praise the accounting practices used. When asked where and when he would like to begin his work in depth, he politely demurred and departed--never to return. A month or so later a CIA officer working in the Director's office learned that McNeil had had certain misgivings about the project and had sought the advice of former agency Director William Raborn, who had his own doubts about the reliability of the CIA's top career officers. Raborn had apparently discouraged McNeil from becoming involved in such a review. But as far as the CIA was concerned, Senator Russell's request for an independent audit had been carried out, since the agency's fiscal practices had been looked over by a qualified outsider and found to be in no need of improvement. The whole matter was then dropped.

THE FOUR FINANCIAL DIRECTORATES OF CIA

The CIA is neatly organized into five district parts, a relatively small office of the Director and four functional directorates, the largest of which is the Directorate of Operations (known inside the agency as the Clandestine Services). The executive suite houses the CIA's only two political appointees, the Director of Central Intelligence (DCI) and the Deputy Director (DDCI), and their immediate staffs. Included organizationally, but not physically, in the Office of the Director are two components that assist the DCI in his role as head of the U.S. intelligence community. One is a small group of senior analysts, drawn from the CIA and the other agencies of the community, which prepares the "blue books", or National Intelligence Estimates, on such subjects as Soviet strategic defense capabilities, Chinese long-range missile developments, and political outlook for Chile. These senior analysts are called National Intelligence Officers (and sometimes "the Wise Men" by their colleagues within the community). The group has replaced the Board of National Estimates, which was a larger and more formalized body of senior officers who oversaw the preparation of national estimates. The other is the Intelligence Resources Advisory Committee, a group created in 1971, which provides staff assistance to the Director in his efforts to manage and streamline the \$6-billion intelligence community. **[H: I believe it can now become more apparent to you that it will be all but impossible to dismantle the CIA in the manner suggested in recent political circles--the myriads of finger operations precludes even locating them all. It also must become apparent how easy it would have been to find ones to commit an assassination against one who was going to "scatter the CIA to the winds" as promised by Kennedy. When the jackal's tail wags the beast it is difficult to regain control.]**

The Intelligence Resources Advisory Committee, long a dream of those officers who

believe the U.S. intelligence community to be too big and inefficient, has thus far proven to be something of a nightmare. Instead of eliminating wasteful and redundant activities within U.S. intelligence, it has been turned into a vehicle for the military intelligence agencies to justify and expand their already overly ambitious collection programs. Likewise, the recent revamping of the Board of National Estimates, under present Director William Colby, has been characterized by some experienced hands as "a sellout" to Pentagon power, caused in part by the political pressures of **HENRY KISSINGER**'s National Security Council staff. Under Colby, the board has been greatly reduced in both prestige and independence, and has been brought under the stifling influence of military men whose first allegiance is to their parent services rather than to the production of objective, balanced intelligence assessments for the policy-makers.

INTERNAL SECURITY

The other components of the Office of the Director include those traditionally found in governmental bureaucracies: press officers, congressional liaison, legal counsel, and so on. Only two merit special note: the Cable Secretariat and the Historical Staff. The former was established in 1950 at the insistence of the Director, General Walter Bedell Smith. When Smith, an experienced military staff officer, learned that agency communications, especially those between headquarters and the covert field stations and bases, were controlled by the Clandestine Services, he immediately demanded a change in the system. "The operators are not going to decide what secret information I will see or not see," he is reported to have said. Thus, the Cable Secretariat, or message center, was put under the Director's immediate authority. Since then, however, the operators have found other ways, when it is thought necessary, of keeping their most sensitive communications from going outside the Clandestine Services.

"SUBTLE" CENSORSHIP OF RETIREES

The Historical Staff represents one of the CIA's more clever attempts to maintain the secrecy on which the organization thrives. Several years ago the agency began to invite retiring officers to spend an additional year or two with the agency--on contract, at regular pay--writing their official memoirs. The product of their effort is, of course, highly classified and tightly restricted. In the agency's eyes, this is far better than having former officers openly publish what really happened during their careers with the CIA.

* * *

THE CLANDESTINE SERVICES

The largest of the agency's four directorates in the Directorate of Operations, or the Clandestine Services, which has about 6,000 professionals and clericals. The ratio between professionals, mostly operations officers, and clericals, largely secretaries, is

roughly two to one. Approximately 45 percent of the Clandestine Services personnel is stationed overseas, the vast majority using official cover--i.e., posing as representatives of the State or Defense Department. About two out of three of the people in the Clandestine Services are engaged in general intelligence activities--liaison, espionage, and counterespionage--the remainder concentrating on various forms of covert action. Yet despite the smaller number of personnel working on covert action, these interventions in the internal affairs of other countries cost about half again as much as spying and counterspying (\$260 million v. \$180 million annually). **[H: This of course, has rocketed upward since this writing. I'm sure you ones had no idea of that which you pay for. Actually you don't pay for it directly--money is borrowed from the Elite banks and you pay for the privilege of being in debt for all generations to come. The military becomes only a backup "gun fodder" group to do the bidding of these scoundrels working their horror in secret places--again, at your expense in every way imagined. For every action which makes it to the attention of the public in any measure at all--there are hundreds of others of equal degradation and danger--under way. The point is to make sure you never find out about them. It becomes easy as patriotism and yellow ribbons replace any ability to think for selves. For instance--what in all the world could one, Saddam Hussein, possibly do in a whole lifetime that had any impact on you in America in any way what-so-ever? As with all things, you fight another's battles, forfeit your children and pay for the whole ball of wax. When are you going to get enraged enough to clean up this mess of vipers?]** The greater expense for covert action is explained by the high costs of paying for paramilitary operations and subsidizing political parties, labor unions, and other international groups.

The Clandestine Services is broken down into fifteen separate components, but its actual operating patterns do not follow the neat lines of an organizational chart. Exceptions are the rule. Certain clandestine activities which would seem to an outsider to be logically the responsibility of one component are often carried out by another--because of political sensitivity, because of an assumed need for even greater secrecy than usual, because of bureaucratic compartmentalization, or simply because things have always been done that way.

The bulk of the Clandestine Services' personnel, about 4,800 people, work in the so-called area divisions, both at headquarters and overseas. These divisions correspond roughly to the State Department's geographic bureaus--a logical breakdown, since most CIA operators in foreign countries work under State cover. The largest area division is the Far East (with about 1,500 people), followed in order of descending size by Europe (Western Europe only), Western Hemisphere (Latin America plus Canada), Near East, Soviet bloc (Eastern Europe), and Africa (with only 300 staff). The chain of command goes from the head of the Clandestine Services to the chiefs of the area divisions, then overseas to the chiefs of stations (COS) and their chiefs of bases (COB).

The CIA's stations and bases around the world serve as the principal headquarters of covert activity in the country in which each is located. The station is usually housed in the U.S. Embassy in the capital city, while bases are in other major cities or sometimes

on American or foreign military bases. For example, in West Germany, the CIA's largest site for operations, the station is located in Bonn; the chief of stations is on the staff of the American ambassador. There are subordinate bases in (**DELETED**) and a few other cities, along with several bases under American military cover scattered throughout the German countryside.

DOMESTIC U.S. OPERATIONS "MYSTERIOUS"

The Domestic Operations Division of Clandestine Services is, in essence, an area division, but it conducts its mysterious clandestine activities in the United States, not overseas. Its chief--like the other area-division chiefs, the civilian equivalent of a two or three-star general--works out of an office in downtown Washington, within two blocks of the White House. Under the Washington station are bases located in other major American cities.

Also in the Clandestine Services are three staffs, Foreign Intelligence (espionage), Counterintelligence (counterespionage), and Covert Action, which oversee operational policy in their respective specialties and provide assistance to the area divisions and the field elements. For instance, in an operation to plant a slanted news story in a Chilean newspaper, propaganda experts on the Covert Action Staff might devise an article in cooperation with the Chilean desk of the Western Hemisphere Division. **A CIA proprietary**, like (**DELETED**) might be used to write and transmit the story to Chile so it would not be directly attributable to the agency, and then a clandestine operator working out of the American Embassy in Santiago might work through one of his penetration agents in the local press to ensure that the article is reprinted. While most CIA operations abroad are carried out through the area division, the operational staffs, particularly the Covert Action Staff, also conduct independent activities.

The Special Operations Division is something of a hybrid between the area divisions and the operational staffs. Its main function is to provide the assets for paramilitary operations, largely the contracted manpower (mercenaries or military men on loan), the materiel, and the expertise to get the job done. Its operations, however, are organizationally under the station chief in the country where they are located.

TECH SERVICES: JUST LIKE 007

The remaining three components of the Clandestine Services provide technical assistance to the operational components. These three are: the Missions and Programs Staff, which does much of the bureaucratic planning and budgeting for the Clandestine Services and which writes up the justification for covert operations submitted for approval to the 40 committee; the Operational Services Division, which among other things sets up cover arrangements for clandestine officers; and the Technical Services Division, which produces in its own laboratories the gimmicks of the spy trade--the disguises, miniature cameras, tape recorders, secret writing kits, and the like. **[H: How many operations ever get finished do you suppose? Can there possibly be any goal important enough to end an individual job or adventure? Come now, chelas,**

would YOU work yourself out of an exciting and wondrously paid job without supervision? This is James Bond superliving! Can you imagine that any "PEACE" would be worthy of giving up a single operative officer or operation?]

* * *

RENAMING BRANCHES—SOUND FAMILIAR?

The Directorate of Management and Services (formerly the Directorate of Support) [H: Note the frequent and regular renaming of branches and operations. They are being changed even more rapidly today than even a decade ago so many of the branches of which we speak herein will have since been relabeled some four or five times depending on level of importance and power.] is the CIA's administrative and housekeeping part. However, most of its budget and personnel is devoted to assisting the Clandestine Services in carrying out covert operations. (This directorate is sometimes referred to within the agency as the Clandestine Services' "slave" directorate.) Various forms of support are also provided to the Directorate of Intelligence and the Directorate of Science and Technology, but the needs of these two components for anything beyond routine administrative tasks are generally minimal. Covert operations, however, require a large support effort, and the M&S Directorate, in addition to providing normal administrative assistance, contributes in such areas as communications, logistics, and training.

BLACK MARKET MONEY DEALS

The M&S Directorate's Office of Finance, for example, maintains field units in Hong Kong, Beirut, Buenos Aires, and Geneva with easy access to the international money markets. The Office of Finance tries to keep a ready inventory of the world's currencies on hand for future clandestine operations. Many of the purchases are made in illegal black markets where certain currencies are available at bargain rates. In some instances, most notably in the case of the South Vietnamese piaster, black-market purchases of a single currency amount to millions of dollars a year.

SHADES OF KOL NIDRE

The Office of Security provides physical protection for clandestine installations at home and abroad and conducts polygraph (lie detector) tests for all CIA employees and contract personnel and most foreign agents. [H: I want it herein noted before we go further--that CIA personnel and especially agents in Covert Operations such as Oliver North, are required to be able to pass any type of lie detector testing device. In other words, the major training of CIA personnel is to LIE. They become most proficient at it as you can see from ones such as Gordon Liddy who is able to become totally "blank" in all situations and North who looks like an Angel on a mission of mercy, touched only by love and compassion. This is lying at its epitome of perfection and use. The more proficient "players" actually have convinced themselves that they represent that which they tout.] The Office of

Medical Services heals the sicknesses and illnesses (both mental and physical) of CIA personnel by providing "cleared" psychiatrists and physicians to treat agency officers; analyzes prospective and already recruited agents; and prepares "psychological profiles" of foreign leaders (and once, in 1971, at the request of the Watergate "plumbers", did a profile of Daniel Ellsberg). The Office of Logistics operates the agency's weapons and other warehouses in the United States and overseas, supplies normal office equipment and household furniture, as well as the more esoteric clandestine materiel to foreign stations and bases, and performs other housekeeping chores. The Office of Communications, employing over 40 percent of the Directorate of Management and Service's more than 5,000 career employees, maintains facilities for secret communications between CIA headquarters and the hundreds of stations and bases overseas. It also provides the same services, on a reimbursable basis, for the State Department and most of its embassies and consulates. The Office of Training operates the agency's training facilities at many locations around the United States, and a few overseas. (The Office of Communications, however, runs

2 LINES DELETED

The Office of Personnel handles the recruitment and record-keeping for the CIA's career personnel.

Support functions are often vital for successful conduct of covert operations, and a good support officer, like a good supply sergeant in an army, is indispensable to a CIA station or base. Once a station chief has found the right support officer, one who can provide everything from housekeeping to operational support, the two will often form a professional alliance and stay together as they move from post to post during their careers. In some instances the senior support officer may even serve as the *de facto* second-in-command because of this close relationship with the chief.

KEY PERSONNEL NOT AS PRESENTED PUBLICLY

Together, the Clandestine Services and the Directorate for Management and Services constitute an agency within an agency. These two components, like the largest and most dangerous part of an iceberg, float along virtually unseen. Their missions, methods, and personnel are quite different from those of the CIA's other two directorates which account for only less than a third of the agency's budget and manpower. Yet the CIA--and particularly former Director Richard Helms--has tried to convince the American public that the analysts and technicians of the Directorates for Intelligence and Science and Technology, the clean white tip of the CIA iceberg, are the agency's key personnel.

* * *

Dharma, thank you for the extra hours of writing today. It is necessary for we have so little time to get this forth into the public hands. With this type of police force at the beck and call of the President--and the Congress in the total control of the Zionists--

you ones of the citizenry are at great disadvantage.

I suggest as reading material to accompany this outlay of information, are Stockwell's IN SEARCH OF ENEMIES and Ostrovsky's BY WAY OF DECEPTION. You must understand that you are no longer (and haven't for a very long time) dealing with a nice bunch of American kids off playing James Bond. You are now within a functioning international intelligence terrorist organization which will function in service to the United Nations World Order Government which in turn is, and will be, run by the Committee of 300 World Elite.

I suggest we close now, Dharma, so that you are not late for your appointment. I bid you good evening and blessings rest upon you all, of our beloved brotherhood in Lighted Service. I realize it is as difficult for you of our workers to face this information as for any reader at random choosing. It is necessary for all of you to see and know.

Salu, Hatonn to clear.

CHAPTER 11

REC #1 HATONN

MON., FEBRUARY 10, 1992 8:58 A.M. YEAR 5, DAY 177

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1992

TODAY'S WATCH

The rain and sun both fall on those who are just and upon those who are unjust. Each shall feel the tooth of the viper's strike for long since has man learned to manipulate that which was once the Mother's to cycle. **However, I, Hatonn, am here to remind you that in the fields two might stand or work beside the other--one shall be taken and the other shall be left. Will YOU be left? So be it.**

You are most capable of seeing that which lies 'neath the news of the day. The "Big One" of the season is "The Unified" and "The Unification". Now what ever might that mean? I believe as you watch the term in its application (especially in the Olympic Games broadcasts), it represents this new Soviet Commonwealth bearing a "proper" name without any meaning whatsoever. The term is a verb which means "in unison". It can mean nothing because it is NOT a noun and neither is there any unification among the states involved. However, to get assistance the people must relinquish all rights to choice--even as the youngsters in France competing for winter games. The plans are as subtle as the sneaking scorpion which waits beneath the rocks for the unsuspecting victim.

GLOBALISM

With each writing we are drawn back to the point in focus as the evil planners bring down the shroud. It is called "Globalism" but it is as deadly as the viper's bite.

To solve a problem, however, it must be understood clearly. And to understand it, you must open your eyes and ears and hearts to the fact that the problem exists, and that it is gnawing at all you hold precious. As I write of the CIA, for instance, I see the thrust from you ones who say--"...get on with the problem, we don't want to hear about the CIA." The CIA is a part of the octopus which will orchestrate the integration of itself and all police enforcement groups within the Global monster--headed ultimately by the Elite Committee of 300. Without this information you miss the most important branch of terror which will come down on you. Knowledge is all that can give you assets enough to know where to stand.

I am left alone in my work more and more these days, **because the Planners perceive that you are now trapped and it becomes easier if you KNOW what you are going to do. It never occurs to them longer that you CAN DO SOMETHING ABOUT YOUR PLIGHT. So be it.**

Are YOU a part of the problem--or a part of the solution? We shall see. Are you willing to become a part of that instruction mandate of James 1:22, and become doers of the word, and not hearers only? Do you accept the admonition of James 2:26 that faith without works is dead? This is from that wondrous book you continue to throw in my face. I never was among the ones who misunderstood the book.

We do not speak of some abstract contrivance of rhetoric. This is real, and it is rapidly digesting and expanding under the mesmerizing auspices and direction of politicians and religionists. Well, chelas, both this Globalism and humanism can, and must, be countered by a genuine return to what were once called "American patriotism and principles", and by the joining together (unification) of one nation, UNDER GOD, in a moral revival across your land and thence around the world.

WHY PUSH ON?

But why does Hatonn continue to push and pour forth information when so many discount and deny? Because as long as there is ONE who seeks--so shall he be given into the finding. God sends us with this dictum: "***My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge.***" And you have had that input for generations upon generations--since Hosea 4:6.

Surprisingly enough, most ones who act as globalists are totally convinced that what they are doing is for the eventual salvation of mankind and the planet Earth. The great strength they possess is that the general public in your land does not even know that they exist. And because of the mammoth level of deceit and subterfuge the ordinary person fails to comprehend the ability to deceive to this extent.

THE GOAL: GLOBAL CONTROL

The objective, simply put, is *control*. Although the desire to rule the world is not a new ambition, the control sought by this present generation of global imperialists is more than government, wealth and resources. They control these already. What the globalists want in order to complete their plan is control of population. They fully intend to simply remove the overpopulation to suit themselves and their own needs.

Their objective of global control is most easy to discern, but its implementation is complex and convoluted. The CIA structure is a very good example of the hidden but unlimited resources available to them through you. It is mind-boggling for ordinary "Man" when he attempts to unravel it--truly a "tangled web" of deception. Their control of population is in their ability to manage the very thoughts and behavior of the masses: the key being consensus; the motivation, survival.

If the struggle were simply a physical one, you could identify your enemy more readily and recognize the dangers coming against you. Like the serpent in the garden,

the sophistication and refinement of the **psychological weaponry** are so subtle that you are now in the final stages of the conflict with only a very few awakening to the conflict's existence. Most of these still too sleepy to comprehend the danger already upon and devouring you.

As a measure of the effectiveness of these new techniques you need only recall the unfenced concentration camps during the Korean and Vietnam conflicts. Due to psychological intimidation the captured American military did not try to escape. Are you even aware of this, America? Where would these prisoners go? Even your own United States military and/or government would not come to rescue them--2,600 **KNOWN PRISONERS** still wait rotting in the prisons while the U.S. carries on its drug business without interruption. The same behavioral control exists today, but everyone is a prisoner, the earth is the concentration camp and the globalists are the stalag commandants.

CONCENTRATION CAMP EARTH

DID YOU HEAR ME? You are on Earth--trapped in their concentration camp. WE are your only way off the place and they effort to see to it that you are too frightened of God Himself to allow possibilities to enter into your thoughts. **WE ARE YOUR ONLY WAY OFF THE PLANET IN SAFETY OF TRANSFER.**

You see, your struggle is not against flesh and blood... (Ephesians 6:12 for you who read your "book".) The evil deceivers have captured, through spiritual methods, that which is **ONLY** of flesh and blood. Worse, you have helped him at every turn by getting rid of all messengers who bring Truth and otherwise effort to show you the facts of it.

In the past you ones "Under God" have always been too late with too little. You cannot afford that mistake this time. You are told all sorts of lies about God and you fail to longer **KNOW GOD**. You are incensed when I suggest that possibly He is angry at your foolishness. Well, I can promise you that God will patiently await some sign of intelligent life from you--each. If you ones want to preserve the right to live and worship freely and Americans want to retain a national identity, you must stop indulging yourselves in the luxury of fighting each other and direct your energies against the proven enemy.

There is much to be done and very little time in which to do it. If your nation of the United States (and America as a whole) falls, so falls the world for you are the only one left with a Constitution in enough purity to attend the Laws necessary to re-structure and grow, thus allowing the world to follow--for strength compounds when in service under God. If your nations fall, and the end is very, very near, there is no place else to go as you perceive it. You are bound to Earth as surely as within any prison of your choosing to example.

In-fighting has dissipated your energies and brought you to your present calamitous

condition--that, and simply lack of "giving a damn"--it is far easier to wave yellow flags of cowardice. You are in total chaos and still the dissension continues and worsens. It is PLANNED that way! You are at a crossroads, people-of-the-world.

YOUR WAY: GOD'S WAY

YOU ARE GOING TO BE ON THE LORD'S SIDE OR CONTINUE DOING IT YOUR WAY--WHICH IS AND WILL LEAD TO ULTIMATE DISASTER.

So, we shall move right on along our path of giving information--WHERE YOU CAN SEE IT IN ACTION. At this point we are informing you about that wondrously exciting group called the CIA--which has shackled your nation--and now integrates completely into the Global Elite's arsenal for wrapping you in the tangled web of the worst enemy the physical world can ever know--an evil adversary DEVOID of GOD! Call it by any cute name you wish--IT IS DEFINED AS HELL.

Let us take more time in outlaying the story in point so we can I move on, afterward, into solutions.

CIA AND THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY--CONTINUED

Dharma, no, we aren't going to use any explanation. It is too time consuming and it is assumed if ones wish to be informed they will have done some of their own homework. Just start writing, please, as I dictate. Thank you.

THE DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

The Directorate of Intelligence, with some 3,500 employees, engages in two basic activities: first, the production of finished intelligence reports from the analysis of information (both classified and unclassified); and second, the performance of certain services of common concern for the benefit of the whole intelligence community. Included in the latter category are the agency's various reference services (e.g., a huge computerized biographical library of foreign personalities, another on foreign factories, and so on); the Foreign Broadcasting Information Service (a worldwide radio and television monitoring system); and the National Photographic Interpretation Center (an organization, run in close cooperation with the Pentagon, which analyzes photographs taken from satellites and spy planes). About two thirds of the Intelligence Directorate's \$70 million annual budget is devoted to carrying out these services of common concern for the government's entire national-security bureaucracy. Thus, the State and Defense Departments are spared the expense of maintaining duplicate facilities, receiving from the CIA finished intelligence in areas of interest to them. For example, when there is a shift in the Soviet leadership, or a new Chinese diplomat is posted to Washington, the Intelligence Directorate routinely sends biographical information (usually classified "secret") on the personalities involved to the other government agencies. Similarly, the various State Department bureaus (along with

selected American academicians and newspapers) regularly receive the agency's unclassified transcripts of foreign radio and television broadcasts.

Most of the rest of the Intelligence Directorate's assets are focused on political, economic, and strategic military research. The agency's specialists produce both current intelligence--reports and explanations on a daily basis of the world's breaking events--and long-range analysis of trends, potential crisis areas, and other matters of interest to the government's policy-makers. Turning out current intelligence reports is akin to publishing a newspaper, and in fact, the Intelligence Directorate puts out daily and weekly publications which, except for their high security classifications, are similar to work done by the American press. These regular intelligence reports, along with special ones on topics like corruption in South Vietnam or the prospect for the Soviet wheat crop, are sent to hundreds of "consumers" in the federal government. The primary consumer, however, is the President, and he receives every morning a special publication called the President's Daily Brief. In the Johnson Administration these reports frequently contained, in addition to the normal intelligence fare, rather scandalous descriptions of the private lives of certain world leaders, always avidly read by the President. President Johnson's taste in intelligence was far from conventional. A former high State Department official tells of attending a meeting at the White House and then staying on for a talk with the President afterward. LBJ proceeded to play for him a tape recording (one of those presumably made by the FBI) of Martin Luther King in a most compromising situation.

The agency found, however, that in the Nixon Administration such items were not appreciated, and the tone of the daily report was changed. Even so, President Nixon and HENRY KISSINGER soon lost interest in reading the publication; the task was relegated to lower-ranking officials on the National Security Council staff. **[AT this time, Eagleburger's office and that of Scowcroft, the Mormon representative to the One World Government Order.]**

* * *

THE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DIRECTORATE

The fourth and newest of the CIA's directorates, Science and Technology, also employs the smallest number of personnel, about 1,300 people. It carries out functions such as basic research and development, the operation of spy satellites, and intelligence analysis in highly technical fields. In addition to these activities, it also handles the bulk of the agency's electronic data-processing (computer) work. While the S&T Directorate keeps abreast of and does research work in a wide variety of scientific fields, its most important successes have come in developing technical espionage systems. The precursor of this directorate was instrumental in the development of the U-2 and **SR-71** spy planes. The S&T experts have also made several brilliant breakthroughs in the intelligence-satellite field. In the late 1950's, when Clandestine Services chief Richard Bissell encouraged the technicians in their development of America's first photo-reconnaissance satellite, they produced a model

which was still in use as late as 1971. And agency technicians have continued to make remarkable advances in the "state of the art". Today spy satellites, capable of producing photographs from space with less than (**DELETED**) resolution, lead all other collection means as a source of intelligence. The S&T Directorate has also been a leader in developing other technical espionage techniques, such as over-the-horizon radars, "stationary" satellites, and various other electronic information-gathering devices. **[H: They also become most involved in placement of robotic entities--in coalition with the services which research biological life creation. Hold ever in your hearts that technology was fully available in 1974 at the writing of this dissertation--how much more sophisticated might it be this day?]**

The normal procedure has been for the S&T Directorate, using both CIA and Pentagon funds, to work on a collection system through the research-and-development stage. Then, once the system is perfected, it is turned over to the Defense Department. In the case of a few particularly esoteric systems, the CIA has kept operational control, but the agency's S&T budget of about \$120 million per year is simply not large enough to support many independent technical collection systems.

CIA technicians, for example, worked with Lockheed Aircraft at a secret site in Nevada to develop the A-11, probably the most potent airborne collection system ever to fly. In February 1964, before the plane became operational, President Johnson revealed its existence to the news media, describing it as a long-range Air Force interceptor. Five months later, at another news conference, the President disclosed that there was a second version of the aircraft, which he described as "an advanced strategic reconnaissance plane for military use, capable of worldwide reconnaissance". Three years after that, when the A-11, now the **SR-71**, was flying regularly, the program was turned over to the Air Force.

12 LINES DELETED

TOO MANY PEOPLE

Any reasonable reviewer of the CIA, after surveying the deployment of agency funds and personnel and weighing these against the intelligence gains produced by the various directorates, would probably come to the same conclusion as did Richard Helms' temporary replacement as Director, James Schlesinger. On April 5, 1973, Schlesinger admitted to the Senate Armed Forces Committee that "We have a problem...we just have too many people. It turns out to be too many people in the operational areas. These are the people who in the past served overseas.... Increasing emphasis is being placed on science and technology, and on intelligence judgments."

Schlesinger's words--and the fact that he was not a "house man" from the Clandestine Services--were auguries of hope to those many critics of the CIA who believe that it is overly preoccupied with the covert side of intelligence. But Schlesinger lasted only four months at the agency before he was named Secretary of Defense, and the changes he effected were generally confined to a 6-percent staff cut and an early-retirement

program for certain superannuated employees. Schlesinger has been succeeded by William Colby--a man who had a highly successful career as a clandestine operator specializing in "dirty tricks", and who can only be expected to maintain the Dulles-Helms policy of concentration on covert action.

At present (1974) the agency uses about two-thirds of its funds and its manpower for covert operations and their support--proportions that have been held relatively constant for more than ten years. Thus, out of the agency's career workforce of roughly 16,500 people and yearly budget of about \$750 million, 11,000 personnel and roughly \$550 million are earmarked for the Clandestine Services and those activities of the Directorate of Management and Services (formerly the Directorate of Support), such as communications, logistics, and training, which contribute to covert activities. Only about 20 percent of the CIA's career employees (spending less than 10% of the budget) work on intelligence analysis and information processing. There is little reason, at present, to expect that things will change.

* * *

IMPORTANCE OF KNOWLEDGE RE: CIA

Let us pause at this point for some further explanation regarding our presentation of this material. Our early intent in the writing is to give you a working picture of the operations system, hierarchy and general "governmental" pecking order and who does what and how the budgets work. You will certainly find the figures outdated--but not the general organization charts. You will be aware--if you keep up with even the non-news--that much is voted in and out and covert/overt operations are fiddled with and then given open range to continue with more budget in ever more secrecy--having to answer to no one. We are talking here, about a multi-billion dollar expenditure annually. You see, for every project such as the **SR-71 in Nevada--THERE ARE MORE AND BIGGER INSTALLATIONS AND GOINGS-ON AT PLACES SUCH AS IN CALIFORNIA AS WE HAVE SHOWN YOU--WITH MASSIVE UNDERGROUND FACILITIES--ALSO RUN BY LOCKHEED.**

What you must note, however, is the massive cutbacks in those industries such as Lockheed and Northrop, etc. What can that mean? It means that the Plans have been orchestrated and the symphony ready to begin and you need set-builders no longer.

OKLAHOMA INCIDENT

Dharma, we need to attend the latest plea for help from Oklahoma--but we will attend it properly.

You ones must understand that WE WRITE AND PUBLISH. All legal matters must be investigated fully--no one goes off in scattered directions. I can guarantee and

promise that the situation is more complicated than the simple arrest and confiscation of *LIBERATORS* AS IS PRESENTED TO THE OFFICE AT AMERICA WEST.

We are NOT the Constitutional Law Center and now I believe you can see WHY! Decisions of legality of actions must be left to the legal department who understands and knows the Constitutional LAWS. **THERE IS MUCH, MUCH MORE INVOLVED IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE THAN IS MEETING THE EYES-- AND YOU WILL NOT JEOPARDIZE OUR WORK AND THE SERVICE UNTO THE MILLIONS OF READERS--BY DOING FOOLISH THINGS CONCERNING THE ONE WHO HAS MANY OTHER PROBLEMS AS WELL.**

The citizens in point have done the proper thing in making contact--however, we don't jump into the fire if the people themselves built the bonfire. What else have these ones been doing? If lawbreaking and violence is a part of their habitual exercises, such as KKK, White Supremacy, Black Unity--or ADL in violation of laws--then we have a real problem and God does not accept such counter-actions against that which is given in our work.

ALWAYS STRICTLY PROPER ACTIONS

OUR ONLY ADVOCATION OF ACTION IS WITHIN THE LAW AND WITHOUT VIOLENCE--ALWAYS WITHOUT VIOLENCE AND ALWAYS WITHIN THE LAWS! THE POINT OF THE RIGHTS UNDER THE CONSTITUTION ARE THE PROTECTION OF UNALIENABLE (INALIENABLE) RIGHTS OF CITIZENS UNDER THAT CONSTITUTION--HOWEVER, RIGHTS OF ALL OTHER CITIZENS MUST ALSO BE PROTECTED AS WELL. IF YOU SET YOUR RIGHTS ABOVE ANOTHER AND TAKE ACTIONS WHICH DAMAGE ANOTHER IN HIS RIGHTS--YOU ARE WRONG! WE DO NOT ADVOCATE VIOLENCE NOR EVEN DEMONSTRATIONS--WE ADVOCATE GETTING INFORMED AND CHANGING YOUR SYSTEM WITHIN THE LAWS OF THE CONSTITUTION--BY BALLOT NOT BULLETS NOR SANDBAGS. OURS IS NOT TO BE HERE TO SERVE HIDDEN AGENDAS OF MALCONTENTS, OVEREAGER WARRIORS WHO BREAK LAWS AND THOSE WHO WOULD CAUSE OUR TRUTH TO BE STOPPED BY GROSSLY ILL-BEHAVIOR. IF INDEED, THE FAULT IS ABSENT AND CAUSE FOR ACTION SOLELY ON THE BASIS OF INABILITY TO HAVE MATERIAL WHICH REPRESENTS FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND PRESS--THEN THE ADVERSARY SHALL SORELY WISH HE HAD NOT INTERFERED. IF THE ACTIONS OF THE ONES WHO ARE NOW REPRESENTATIVE OF THE "VICTIMS" ARE IN PURE INTENT OF SERVICES TO GOD WITHIN THE LAWS--SO SHALL GOD ATTEND THE PLIGHT. IF YOU ARE GIVEN TO ACT WITHOUT KNOWLEDGE OF ALL FACTS--YOU ARE NO BETTER THAN YOUR ENEMY.

Thank you for your attention. We shall return with more discussion on the CIA: The

Intelligence Community (as a whole).

Hatonn to stand-by so that I might do some investigations. Please attend my summons, Dharma.

Good morning.

CHAPTER 12

REC #2 HATONN

MON., FEB. 10, 1992 12:50 P.M. YEAR 5, DAY 177

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1992

As we again sit to pen, I would speak of the legal case brought into our attention just prior to lunch break this morning. I make no comment on validity of feasible involvement by the Law Center--I just want it to be pointed out that the case is far different than at first presented.

THE OKLAHOMA INCIDENT UPDATE

Among the facts somehow left out of the story in contact with me, was the situation (as now told to us, so still facts are not present) that the people were arrested by MANY officers who ransacked their dwelling and confiscated several things among which were *LIBERATORS* and/or PHOENIX JOURNALS. You will need no defense against the *LIBERATORS* and/or PHOENIX JOURNALS in anyone's possession. You still have the right to have publications received in your home. The best defense for having *LIBERATORS* is that they speak for themselves. Believe me, chelas, if there were legal grounds to silence us--it would have been done prior to now and would not be done in a raid which could only present papers, books and newsletters. Already, facts seep out in this particular instance--for the story now goes that there was a third party who stayed with this couple and that person had less than an ounce of marijuana and that had been tattled by some other person. I make no judgment or statement regarding the circumstance except that if there are broken laws, even good cases are jeopardized.

This is exactly WHY, however, this property is monitored constantly for possible set-ups. We have had to limit overnight guests and family members who do come, along with persons who frequent the dwelling--do not even bring beer or wine onto the premises. I do hope this will be insight to any who still feel it fine to tamper and mess around with illegal substances, by smoking or any other means--to NEVER come to our homes or businesses with ANY such substance on or near your person. Remember that even old clothing, coats, etc., having once carried the substances in point are still tattle-tales with electronic sniffers and dogs.

I REPEAT: WE ARE NOT EVEN IN CONSIDERATION FOR BREAKING OF THE LAWS FOR THE SURVEILLANCE TEAMS KNOW WE ARE NOT SUBVERSIVE NOR DO WE EVER SUGGEST ANYTHING ILLEGAL OR VIOLENT, AS I CAREFULLY COVERED PRIOR TO NOW. IT IS A NICE UNDERSTANDING WE HAVE WITH OUR ENEMY SO ANY WHO WOULD SET US UP MIGHT WELL FIND THEMSELVES THE TARGET OF THEIR OWN SO-CALLED ALLIES. BELIEVE ME, FRIENDS, THE ADVERSARY

DOES NOT WISH TO UPSET THE HOSTS BY BREAKING SOME OF THOSE UNDERSTOOD TREATIES. IF ANY OF YOU BREAK LAWS--IT IS NOT OF OUR SUGGESTION. THE LAW CENTER ATTORNEYS ARE IN TOUCH WITH THE LAWYER OF THE PEOPLE IN POINT TO SEE WHAT IS WORTHY OF INVOLVEMENT. IF FRAME-UP WAS PERPETRATED--THERE IS WHERE THE CASE LIES--NOT IN LIBERATORS or *NORTHPOINT* newsletters.

Let us continue right along with the CIA, please.

THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY

Taken as a whole, U.S. intelligence is no longer made up of a small glamorous fraternity of adventurous bluebloods--men motivated by a sense of noblesse oblige who carry out daring undercover missions. That is the romantic myth without which there would be few spy novels, but it is not the substance of the modern intelligence profession. Today the vast majority of those in the spy business are faceless, desk-bound bureaucrats, far removed from the world of the secret agent. To be sure, the CIA still strives to keep alive such techniques as classical espionage and covert action, but its efforts have been dwarfed by the huge technical collection programs of other government intelligence organizations--chiefly military agencies.

TEN SEPARATE COMPONENTS

In all, there are ten different components of the federal government which concern themselves with the collection and/or analysis of foreign intelligence. These ten agencies, complete with their hundreds of subordinate commands, offices, and staffs, are commonly referred to as the "intelligence community". Operating silently in the shadows of the federal government, carefully obscured from public view and virtually immune to congressional oversight, the intelligence community every year spends over \$6 billion and has a full-time workforce of more than 150,000 people. The bulk of this money and manpower is devoted to the collection of information through technical means and the processing and analysis of that information. The intelligence community amasses data on all the world's countries, but the primary targets are the communist nations, especially the Soviet Union and China, and the most sought-after information concerns their military capabilities and intentions.

[H: Let us just list the departments, half of which fall under the Department of Defense. The numbers are outdated in the chart so let it suffice to simply say that the major funding goes to the various Dept. of Defense agency departments beginning with the National Security Agency, then Air Force Intelligence, Army and Navy Intelligence, and then the Defense Intelligence Agency. I would point out, however, that it is through the Naval Intelligence Service that you have your most powerful players--i.e., the gold from Ft. Knox, among other places, was handled under the directions of Naval Intelligence. The Air Force Intelligence Service includes the national Reconnaissance Office which gives the appearance

of a more sizable budget.]

CIA HEAD "BIG CHIEF" OF ALL U. S. INTELLIGENCE

The intelligence community's best-known member, the CIA, accounts for less than 15 percent of its total funds and personnel. Despite the agency's comparatively small size, however, the head of the CIA is not only the number-one man in his own agency but, as a result of the National Security Act of 1947, is also the Director of Central Intelligence (DCI)--the titular chief of the entire intelligence community. **[H: sic, sic--and you thought Bush was a wimp!]** However, the community which the DCI supposedly oversees is made up of fiercely independent bureaucratic entities with little desire for outside supervision. All the members except the CIA are parts of much larger governmental departments, and they look to their parent agencies for guidance. **[H: Now you can see why it became imperative to have Bush become President because most of these branches only answer to the President--AND THE PRESIDENT ANSWERS TO HENRY KISSINGER AND SO ON UP TO THE HEAD OF THE COMMITTEE OF 300.]** While all participants share the same profession and general aim of protecting the national security, the intelligence community has developed into an interlocking, overlapping maze of organizations, each with its own goals. In the words of Admiral Rufus Taylor, former head of Naval Intelligence and former Deputy Director of the CIA, it most closely resembles a "tribal federation".

BRITISH INTELLIGENCE REAL HEAD OF U.S. INTELLIGENCE

The Director of Central Intelligence heads up several interagency groups which were created to aid him in the management and operation of the intelligence community. The DCI's two principal tools for managing intelligence are the Intelligence Resources Advisory Committee (IRAC) and the United States Intelligence Board (USIB). The IRAC's members include representatives from the State Department, Defense, the Office of Management and Budget, and the CIA itself. (Since the agency's Director chairs the group in his role as DCI, or head of the intelligence community, the CIA is also given a seat.) **[H: I realize that you ones who read diligently and regularly are breathlessly waiting to see how the CIA, Mossad, KGB, etc., all hook in with Big Mommy--British Intelligence. I do not wish to get sidetracked herein but be assured--THEY DO SO. And--we shall get there.]** IRAC was formed in November 1971, and it is supposed to prepare a consolidated budget for the whole community and generally assure that intelligence resources are used as efficiently as possible. However, it has not been in existence long enough for it to be judged at the writing of this book, especially since three different DCI's have already headed it. (By 1974)

The USIB's main tasks are the issuance of National Intelligence Estimates and the setting of collection requirements and priorities. Under it are fifteen permanent interagency committees and a variety of ad hoc groups for special problems. Working through these committees and groups, the USIB, among other things, lists the targets

for American intelligence and the priority attached to each one*, coordinates within the intelligence community the estimates of future events and enemy strengths, controls the classification and security systems for most of the U.S. government, directs research in the various fields of technical intelligence, and decides what classified information will be passed on to foreign friends and allies. **

* Although in a crisis situation, like the implementation of the Arab-Israeli cease-fire in 1970, HENRY KISSINGER or occasionally the President himself may set the standards. In the 1970 case

3 1/2 LINES DELETED

INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS SECRET
FROM CONGRESS

**Intelligence reports are routinely provided to certain foreign countries, especially the English-speaking ones, on the basis of so-called intelligence agreements entered into by the DCI and his foreign equivalents. Although these agreements commit the United States government to a specified course of action enforceable under international law, they are never submitted as treaties to the U.S. Senate. In fact, they are negotiated and put into force in complete secrecy, and no member of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee has ever seen one, even for informational purposes.

The USIB meets every Thursday morning in a conference room on the seventh floor of CIA headquarters. At a typical meeting there are three or four subjects on the agenda, itself a classified document which the USIB secretariat circulates to each member a few days before the meeting. The first item of business is always the approval of the minutes of the last session; in the interest of security, the minutes are purposely made incomplete. Then the USIB turns to the Watch Report, which has been prepared earlier in the week by an inter-agency USIB committee responsible for keeping an eye out for any indication that armed conflict, particularly one which might threaten the United States or any of its allies, may break out anywhere in the world. A typical Watch Report might, in effect, say something like: War between the United States and the Soviet Union does not seem imminent this week, but the Soviets are going ahead with the development of their latest missile and have moved two new divisions into position along the Chinese border; North Vietnamese infiltration along the Ho Chi Minh Trail (as monitored by sensors and radio intercepts) indicates that the level of violence will probably rise in the northern half of South Vietnam; and satellite photos of the Suez Canal (**DELETED**) point to a higher level of tension between Israel and Egypt.

Once the USIB gives its routine assent, the Watch Report is forwarded to the nation's top policy-makers, who normally do not even glance at it, since they know that everything in it of any consequence has already been distributed to them in other intelligence reports. If some apocalyptic sign that war might break out were ever picked up by any agency of the community, the President and his top aides would be

notified immediately, and the USIB would not be consulted; but as long as nothing of particular note is occurring, every Thursday morning the USIB spends an average of about thirty seconds discussing the Watch Report (which actually takes several man-weeks to prepare) before it is forwarded to the White House.

Next on the USIB agenda is the consideration and, almost always, the approval of the one or two National Intelligence Estimates which have been completed that week. These estimates of enemy capabilities and future events are drafted in advance by the CIA's National Intelligence Officers and then coordinated at the staff level with the various USIB-member agencies. By the time the estimates come before the USIB itself, all differences have normally been compromised in the inter-agency coordination meetings, or, failing in that accommodation, a dissenting member has already prepared a footnote stating his agency's disagreement with the conclusions or text of the NIE.

INTERACTIONS REVEALING

Once the USIB has approved the estimates before it (now certified as the best judgments of the intelligence community on the particular subject), the board turns to any special items which all the members have the prerogative of placing on the agenda. One Thursday in 1969 the Chief of Naval Intelligence asked the USIB to reconsider a proposal, which had earlier been turned down at the USIB subcommittee level, to furnish the **Brazilian navy** with relatively advanced American cryptological equipment. Because of the sensitivity of U.S. codes and encrypting devices, exports--even to friendly countries--need the USIB's approval; the board turned down this particular request. At another meeting in 1970 the special discussion was on whether or not a very sophisticated satellite should be target against the (**DELETED**) part of the (**DELETED**) instead of (**DELETED**). The Air Force's request to (**DELETED**) its satellite came to the USIB under its responsibility for setting intelligence-collection priorities; citing the great cost of the satellite and the possibility that the (**DELETED**) might lead to a malfunction, the USIB said no to the (**DELETED**). In another 1970 meeting the USIB considered a Pentagon proposal to lower the U.S. government research goals for the detection of underground nuclear explosions. Again the USIB said no. The Pentagon claimed that there was not enough money available in its budget to attain the level of detection on the Richter scale set forth in the USIB guidelines, and that relaxing the standard reflected this financial reality. The State Department argued that a changed goal might open the intelligence community to criticism on grounds that it had not done everything possible to achieve a comprehensive nuclear test ban--which would ultimately be dependent on both sides, being confident that cheating by the other party could be detected. DCI Helms sided with State. But the civilian victory was a hollow one, since there was no way the DCI could ensure that the Pentagon would indeed spend more money on seismic research in order to be able to meet the level of detection fixed by the USIB.

On occasion, when extremely sensitive matters are to be discussed, the USIB goes into executive session--the practical effect of which is that all staff members leave the

room and no minutes at all are kept. The USIB operated in this atmosphere of total privacy, for instance, for a 1969 discussion of the Green Beret murder case and again in 1970 for a briefing of the Fitzhugh panel's recommendations on the reorganization of Pentagon intelligence. [**H: We shall speak of this again in a minute.**]

Under DCI Helms, most USIB meetings were finished within forty-five minutes. Since almost all of the substantive work had been taken care of in preparatory sessions at the staff level, the USIB rarely did anything more than ratify already determined decisions, and thus the board, the highest-level substantive committee of the U.S. intelligence community, had very little work to do on its own.

* * *

Dharma, our assistance is required on another matter which may require several pages so we will plan to take up here at our next writing. Hatonn to standby while you open another document. Thank you.

CHAPTER 13

REC #1 HATONN

FRI., FEBRUARY 14, 1992 8:42 A.M. YEAR 5, DAY 181

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 1992

TODAY'S WATCH

WATCH ALGERIA!!! Why? Because this is one of the first visible showings of the adversary efforting to take out Islamic population. The intent is to wipe out Islam. Things are happening so quickly now that you are going to have to pay attention--don't wait for my input.

BO GRITZ/DAVID DUKE AND CONTROVERSY

I want to make some things clearly understood before we move one iota further. I do not make pronouncement of that which is "perfect" for, especially at this time, there is **NO PERFECTION--ONLY "BETTER" WAYS TO ACT.**

I am swamped with criticisms that I would recommend that any ONE be lifted to "President". Why does that bother you citizens? Because it perhaps differs from your opinion? I honor your opinion and also--I only point out possibilities which may work and work within the Constitution--UNDER GOD.

As I look through the ones running for office and seek to see signs of capability of bringing about change--I find "Bo" to be the only one in the running which may make impact. I remind you--I don't necessarily even SEE an election process. Bush is running for head of the Global Government New World Order. "I" refer to your Constitutional salvation--not perfection.

CAUTION

In view of some of the complaints regarding Gritz' misrepresentation in *Spotlight*, I do have a thing or two to point out and also some caution to Commander Gritz. EVERYTHING that a candidate utters is used against him by some and in favor by others--just as "Christians" read the Bible and "Jews" read the Talmud.

Gritz is quoted as saying: "I don't like David Duke, and I don't like what he stands for. Anyone who is a racist, anyone who is a bigot... I don't want their support" and, "David Duke is a loser. Paint him red-white-blue, make him look like Uncle Sam, give him flags to wave, have him sing God Bless America, and fire a 21-gun salute. Still he is a loser. He has done more harm to the Populist Party and third party movement than Hitler would have. Why do you continue to ride a dead horse? Why support a young man who has never worn the uniform of the United States, but chosen to sport a Nazi

emblem that Americans died (including my dad) fighting against?"

JUDGE NOT WHAT WAS; ONLY WHAT IS

Now, let us, "Bo", look at the other side of that coin and see what they might well say about YOU!: "Why vote for someone trained as a killer and has killed umpteen men, women and children under the guise of service to his nation--he is a killer! Worse, he now claims to be a 'Christian' in order to make it look 'right'. He can wrap himself in the Holy Bible, recite verses and wear spotless robes and 'still he is a loser'.

The point of this is to show you what JUDGMENT means. God judges the Man--NOW. Moreover, HE judges not the MAN in point but the actions of the Man. He cares not that which a man WAS but what a MAN IS.

If you reduce this campaign to personal blasts against another Man--then I personally withdraw all support. I want no speech writer-speeches.

"Bo" says he wants no support from David Duke? Well, I suggest that you get support from anywhere you can if you actually are for ALL THE PEOPLE AND DESIRE A SAVING OF YOUR CONSTITUTION AND NATION UNDER GOD. You will stop the dividing or you will be conquered.

It was intentional that the Populist Party be destroyed and, moreover, by its front organization so that a candidate in that party would NEVER have an opportunity to WIN.

JUDGE THE IMPACT

I repeat, so please listen and hear: I do not judge candidates--I DO see this man as the only one RUNNING who can make enough impact to cause changes. NO MORE AND NO LESS. If we see the candidate slipping into political manners, then I have nothing more to offer you. Further, I would support Gritz--NOT HIS CAMPAIGN PARTNERS. (This may, in essence, seem to be the SAME thing--IT IS NOT!). The whole concept of agents and managers smacks of incestuous business relationships no matter how pretty the picture may be painted. If ethical politics is not possible within your Constitutional laws--then don't do it. Just because "others do it" is no excuse and is unacceptable.

Then why run? You may find it totally unsuitable to do so. You aren't going to have a reversal of the government one way or another until you clean the house (and senate). So, what are WE doing? Preparing you for the new beginning after the nations are destroyed by the Elite and YOU need to begin again. Hard to accept? I am sorry for this is the way it is.

Now, one last caution to you who tear at each other and yet have served country in various ways and have seen Truth. You are going to have to come together, put aside

differences and MOVE! It will not help to run a dozen different men for the role--the dozen men must make SURE the ONE in point is elected and does what he says he will do! I most certainly am NOT going to bog in your politics which I find despicable and abhorrent if nothing else.

There are many who have served well and continue to do so in a most daring way in every day gifted unto you for service. I cannot list them all but there are several who would be strong and worthy and have stood to the beast and done battle. Victor Marchetti is one such. Is he Godly? What mean thee? If ye are within the laws of the Constitution you will find yourselves moving within the Laws of God for it is upon those primacies that the Constitution was conceived.

WHY HATONN IS SELECTIVE

I have ones badgering my writers constantly about my having given credit to ones for a "thing" or "writing" and they are not worthy. True. But--they ARE worthy of that which I acknowledge. You will always find much in the area between perfection and evil. Advice can be in truth and most worthy but other facets of action may be most unworthy. This is WHY I do not like to even acknowledge "books", for readers "assume" I sanction all the book when in fact, I may only find one sentence worthy of Truth in whole. If YOU cannot discern the wheat from the chaff--what will you do in the field when thine life depends upon that discernment?

I believe you will find that I have utilized statements from ones such as Schultz, Abraham, Sutton, etc. Well, I believe you will find that they actually have been involved in some pretty raunchy dealings where a lot of people LOST. Did they intentionally misuse and misguide--yes, to some extent and yet they bore the dream in the beginning of their project. Unfortunately, the first cause was to self and getting on their own feet financially--and rest never materialized. **I THINK ALL SHOULD LOOK MOST CLOSELY AND SEE TO WHAT EXTENT GOD ALLOWS AND SEE THAT THAT WHICH GOES (IS SENT) FORTH COMES BACK TO STRIKE THE SENDER.**

You must all look most closely at the things you do. It can be seen through the works--that which is intent in goodness and that which is selfish and single of purpose in a physical setting. It is when you reach beyond the physical and into the intent that. Man is finally judged for his actions. So be it. I hope and trust I have made myself quite succinctly clear in the political matter.

MARIO CUOMO

Let us look at possibilities herein displayed. If you LISTEN to what Cuomo says--you will find truth and intent of honesty and concern for your nation and your Constitutional system of government. But he can't run for office for he is obligated to finish that which he accepted as his job in the State of New York.

HOWEVER, I herein ask that, since he can actually find no one to support in any party at present, I ask that you, the readers, write in mass--EACH OF YOU from anywhere you are--and ASK HIM TO CONSIDER CREDENTIALS AND INTENT OF GRITZ WITH AN EYE TO SUPPORTING THE CONSTITUTION AND CHANGE WITH HOUSECLEANING INTENTION. IF THE CAMPAIGN IS BUILT SOLELY ON THE CONSTITUTION AND RIGHTS OF THE CITIZENS AS SOVEREIGNS, THEN YOU SHALL NOT ERR. MAKE IT POLITICAL AND YOU TURN THE PLATFORM INTO A GARBAGE DUMP.

I ask that the Publisher please locate the information for contact of Cuomo and what have you to lose? (Mario Cuomo, #2 World Trade Center 5700, New York, NY 10047) He is a most sincere person and has much support which he cannot use but he could do unlimited good by offering support outside the normal accepted channels of politics. How do you get him to back a man? YOU ask him to do so! I remind you that I said "consider". I did that so that YOU urge him to find out all he can about the man, Gritz, and then ask that they commune and only then, decide. Great men must come together to do such great work and ALL need not do the same job. If ALL write--who shall spread the writings? No job is greater than another--ONLY DIFFERENT.

TO E.M.S.

Thank you, chela, for your input regarding my writing. I knew you would pick up my message. Please see what you can do about the remainder of my request. The point is in integrity of all actions which leave the persons in point in total security. You will be given into inner knowing of that which you need do. I have to take this manner of contact and I am sure you understand. Thank you.

LAW CENTER

As with candidates in my attention, please understand that I shall also ask help for ones whom you will find most controversial in a court of law. We are not here to JUDGE--we are here to discern whether or not a citizen is given Constitutional Rights and fair hearing. If you don't clean up the Judicial system of "injustice" you shall have no way to clean your government. Will all men who claim Godness work in total harmony with that intent? No--but you work with that which is available to you for WAITING for perfection to fall on you will simply destroy that wondrous creation of Earth which was given into your hands to caretake. Your actions indicate you have failed miserably--that does not mean that YOU ARE NOT CAPABLE OF CHANGING THOSE ACTIONS. JUDGE THE ACTION--NOT THE MAN.

JOHN HOOPER/VAN NUYS, CA

Dear Mr. Hooper, once again you have taken the time to pen your opinion to me. I appreciate your interest but the readers do not appreciate your taking time and space in the *LIBERATOR* to fill your need for attention.

I will print this letter just received yesterday and refer you to the response in the last paper. I welcome your correspondence but I shall not use this forum for your continued self-interest. You say you continue to read the documents so I would hope that you reach a bit beyond the bigotry and into that which might hold value for you. If you find nothing then I suggest you waste no more of your precious and limited time. I force nothing upon you and if you wish to back up your accusations with fact and diligent research bearing proof--not hearsay nor 'bias—then, I shall be delighted to ask for international hearing for you. Personal insult does not fit that category, sir.

QUOTE:

Rabbi Hatonn, c/o The Phoenix Liberator

Dear Commander (Hate)on,

It has been one week since I have written you, and I continue to read that Nazi rag that you claim is non anti-semitic. At first I felt sorry for you and your sick minded people who continue to spew forth the trash that you write. The scary part of the whole thing is that ridiculous story you try to get people to believe about contact with another planet. You must sit home at night and laugh at the people who follow you, because you know your story is B.S. I can no longer feel sorry for you and your people because of the hate and bigotry that you teach. People are people, and no matter what religion someone is, or what culture one comes from, we are all equal under God. Obviotaly you walk hand and hand with the devil, because no person who truly believes in God, can write the trash you do. Well, that about it for now. I'm sure you'll spread your hate in the name of Pleiades. Yeah pal, I was born yesterday, I believe you communicate with E. T. 's. By the way give my regards to your Boss, Tom Metzger.

Sincerely, John Hooper

P. S. I would like your written response in your newspaper.

END QUOTING.

Since it is attention that Mr. Hooper desires, please feel free to write to him for I will take no more of your time as readers. Have compassion in your expression for this is the preponderance of insight. I can only offer the lifeboat, I cannot nor will I effort--to drag any aboard.

*****To George: please ask someone to look up this man's address from your mailing list--if there is one available and enter it right here. Thank you. (EDITOR, WE HAVE NO ADDRESSSS) You will most often find these kibitzers using another's copy of the paper--being too cheap to obtain their own. I will waste no more time with the matter. Actions present a perfect picture of terror and arrogance which cover total insecurity. This is the same as standing in the street and daring God. It's fine, I just can't allow more space to such when there**

is so much to be done for the "whole". Individuals are going to have to seek assistance elsewhere because of Dharma's restrictions of physical ability.****

BACK TO THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY

Now, we can get back to the subject in point--the "intelligence" community. (Mutually exclusive terms, I would point out.)

Why? Because of the integration and changes coming about and yet, what is officially advocated is interesting also. While we are waiting to take up the subject of the CIA let me point out another thing which I wonder how many caught on the yesterday: *Did you notice the nice new position given Thornburg (former Attorney General of the U.S.) yesterday. He was appointed to a strategic slot in the United Nations--in fact, one of the most important of all divisions. If you cannot see it coming--how can you get out of the way? So be it.*

CIA OVERHAUL

Prior to moving into the subject via IMA's book of disclosure regarding the CIA, allow us to reprint a major article from the Daily News, Feb. 6, 1992 under NATION/WORLD.

INTELLIGENCE-NETWORK OVERHAUL URGED. DIRECTOR WOULD RUN 3-PART AGENCY TO CUT WASTE, TACKLE NEW WORLD ORDER. By Tim Weiner, Knight-Rider Newspapers

WASHINGTON--The chairmen of the Senate and House intelligence committees Wednesday proposed a massive overhaul of the way in which the United States spies on the world.

Calling for the most sweeping changes in U.S. intelligence since the CIA was created in 1947, the chairmen said the present jumble of 12 intelligence services should be revamped into ONE three-pronged, 21st-century organization including:

- * A global eavesdropping enterprise gathering data with spy satellites and electronic devices.
- * "A world-class think tank" for analyzing intelligence;
- * A small den of spies--the remnants of Central Intelligence Agency stripped of its analytical and managerial responsibilities.

An "intelligence czar" called the director of national intelligence would preside over this troika, said Senate intelligence committee chairman David Boren and House

intelligence committee chairman Dave McCurdy, both Oklahoma Democrats. He would be "a czar with teeth, a czar with muscle, a czar with troops and force and budget", McCurdy said.

The chairman said the overhaul could solve problems such as confused priorities, internal warfare, rampant waste and duplication in the sprawling intelligence services. A slimmed-down, reorganized intelligence service would deal more readily with problems of the new world order, such as ethnic conflicts and environmental threats, Boren said.

He said the intelligence reform bills introduced in the House and the Senate on Wednesday were only the beginning of a years-long effort. But, he added, "The world has changed, and the intelligence community must change with it."

In the proposed post-Cold War intelligence organization, the CIA would be reduced to its clandestine spy service. Two far bigger, far more powerful agencies would dominate. One would be responsible for collecting all intelligence by spy satellites, electronic eavesdropping and other technological wizardry. Another would be responsible for analyzing all intelligence gathered from secret and open sources.

All three would report to the intelligence "czar".

INTELLIGENCE CZAR

If the proposal is enacted, the czar [**H: I can't even go on and repeat that word again without comment--"czar"!!! Chelas, what does that mean and WHERE DOES IT COME FROM? WHAT HAS CZARS? OPEN YOUR EYES AND EARS AND LOOK AND SEE--LISTEN AND HEAR!**] likely would be the current director of central intelligence, Robert M. Gates. In theory, Gates *already has many of the powers that the czar would have--but not in practice.*

The U.S. intelligence community has expanded beyond imagining since the creation of a tiny CIA at the dawn of the Cold War. Today it includes the CIA; the National Security Agency, which conducts electronic eavesdropping; the National Reconnaissance Office, which operates spy satellites; the Defense Intelligence Agency, which coordinates military espionage, and seven smaller agencies. Together they consume a secret **\$30 billion** budget.

The Defense Department spends about 85 percent of that budget. It controls intelligence empires--the NSA, NRO and DIA--each of which is bigger than the CIA. They are run by generals and admirals who think "it literally doesn't make any sense to take orders" from the CIA, retired Air Force Gen. Daniel Graham, a former DIA chief and CIA deputy director, said in a recent interview.

Endless battles over money and power between the CIA and the Defense Department result. "The main problem is that (Gates) is allegedly to coordinate all of the

intelligence agencies," but he cannot under the present arrangement, Graham said.

END OF QUOTING.

What more can I say in response to "WHY?" My JOB is to awaken you to that which already IS--not bring you magic and mystical unreported nonsense from the ethers. I'm sorry, to you who look for the mystical and grand orders: GOD IS NOT MYSTICAL--GOD IS OPEN AND SHARES ALL THERE IS IN THE UNIVERSE IF YOU WOULD BUT ACCEPT IT. THERE ARE NO "GRAND ORDERS" OR SECRET ORGANIZATIONS IN THE PLACES OF HOLY LIGHTED GOD! NONE--SO IF YOU WONDER ABOUT THIS "ORDER" OR "THAT ORDER"--YOU WILL NOT FIND SUCH IN THE KINGDOM OF GOD EXCEPT THE KINGDOM WHICH YOU AS HUMANS HAVE DESICCATED AND DEGRADED UNTO DESTRUCTION. THESE THINGS ARE OF PHYSICAL MANIFESTATION TO SUIT PHYSICAL MAN--IN GOD'S KINGDOM IN THE COSMOS--THERE IS NO "PECKING ORDER"--ONLY "ORDER".

CIA AND THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY--CONTINUED

DEPARTMENTAL INTELLIGENCE

The USIB and its fifteen committees deal exclusively with what is called national intelligence--intelligence needed, in theory, by the country's policy-makers. But there is a second kind of intelligence--"departmental"--which is, again in theory, solely for the use of a particular agency or military service. The Army, Navy, and Air Force collect great amounts of departmental intelligence to support their tactical missions. For example, an American commander in Germany may desire data on the enemy forces that would oppose his troops if hostilities broke out, but the day-to-day movements of Soviet troops along the East German border are of little interest to high officials back in Washington (unless, of course, the Soviets are massing for an invasion, in which case the information would be upgraded to national intelligence). The dividing line between national and departmental intelligence, however, is often quite faint, and the military have frequently branded as departmental a number of wasteful collection programs that they know would not be approved on the national level.

Although the CIA has had since its creation exclusive responsibility for carrying out overseas espionage operations for the collection of national intelligence, the various military agencies and the intelligence units of American forces stationed abroad have retained the right to seek out tactical information for their own departmental requirements. During the Korean and Vietnamese wars, field commanders understandably needed date of enemy troop movements, and one way of obtaining it was through the hiring of foreign agents. But even in peacetime, with U.S. forces permanently stationed in countries like England, Germany, Italy, Morocco, Turkey, Panama, Japan, and Australia, the military intelligence services have consistently

sought to acquire information through their own secret agents--the justification, of course, always being the need for departmental or tactical intelligence. To avoid duplication and proliferation of agents, all of these espionage missions are supposed to be coordinated with the CIA. But the military often fail to do this because they know the CIA would not give its approval, or because an arrangement has been previously worked out to the effect that as long as the military stay out of CIA's areas of interest, they can operate on their own. Every military unit has an intelligence section, and few commanders wish to see their personnel remain idle. Therefore, if for no other reasons than to keep their soldiers occupied, American military intelligence units overseas are usually involved in the espionage game.

For example, a military intelligence unit assigned to Bangkok, Thailand, as late as 1971 was trying to entrap Soviet KGB officers, recruit local spies, and even was attempting to run its own agents into China through Hong Kong. Little or none of this activity was being cleared with the CIA. Similarly, in

3 LINES DELETED

at virtually every level.

The tribalism that plagues the intelligence community is at its worst in the military intelligence agencies, and most of the personnel working for these organizations feel their first loyalty is to their parent service. The men who run military intelligence are almost all career officers who look to the Army, Navy, and Air Force for promotion and other advancement. They serve only a tour or two in intelligence before they return to conventional military life. Very few are willing to do anything in their intelligence assignments which will damage their careers, and they know all too well that analysis on their part which contradicts the views or the policies of the leadership of their parent service will not be well received. Thus, their intelligence judgments tend to be clouded by the prejudices and budgetary needs of the military service whose uniform they wear.

The Army, the Navy, and the Air Force traditionally maintained their own independent intelligence agencies--ostensibly to support their tactical responsibilities and to maintain an enemy "order of battle". Each service collected its own information and quite often was less than forthcoming to the others. The result was a large amount of duplication and an extremely parochial approach in each service's analysis of enemy capabilities.

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

This self-serving approach of the military services toward intelligence led to the formation in 1961 of the Defense Intelligence Agency, which was supposed to coordinate and consolidate the views and, to some extent, the functions of the three service agencies. It was planned that the DIA would replace the Army, Navy, and Air Force at the USIB meetings, but Allen Dulles and successive DCI's have balked at

leaving total responsibility for representing the Pentagon to the DIA, which has subsequently developed its own brand of parochialism as the intelligence arm of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. Thus, while only the DIA is an official USIB member, the heads of the three service agencies remain at the table for the weekly sessions, push their pet theories, and demand that footnotes be included in intelligence estimates that run contrary to their views of their service.

Aside from operating the overt system of military attaches working out of American embassies overseas, the DIA does little information collection on its own. It is largely dependent on the service intelligence agencies for its raw data, and its 5,000 employees process and analyze this material and turn it into finished intelligence reports which are circulated within the Pentagon and to the rest of the intelligence community. The DIA also prepares daily and weekly intelligence digests that are similar in form and content to the CIA publications, and makes up its own estimates of enemy capabilities. This latter function did not take on much significance in the DIA until November 1970, when the agency was reorganized and Major General Daniel Graham was given a mandate by DIA chief Lieutenant General Donald Bennett to improve the agency's estimating capability. Graham had served two earlier tours of duty in CIA's Office and National Estimates, and he quickly established the DIA office as a serious rival to the agency's estimative function. As a colonel in the late 1960's, Graham nearly resigned from the Army to accept an offer of permanent employment with the CIA. In early 1973 DCI James Schlesinger brought him back to the agency, still in uniform, to work on military estimates. Graham was widely known in the corridors of the CIA as the funny little military officer who hung a drawing of a bayonet over his desk with a caption describing it as "The weapon of the future".

AIR FORCE INTELLIGENCE

Although the DIA was originally intended to take over many of their functions, the service intelligence agencies have continued to grow and flourish since its founding. Indeed, each of the three is larger than the DIA, and Air Force intelligence is the biggest spy organization in the whole intelligence community, with 56,000 employees and an annual budget of about \$2.7 billion. Most of this latter figure goes to pay for the extremely costly reconnaissance satellites and the rockets necessary to put them in orbit. A separate part of Air Force intelligence, the National Reconnaissance Office, operates these satellite programs for the entire community, and the NRO's budget alone is more than \$1.5 billion a year. The NRO works in such intense secrecy that its very existence is classified. Its director for many years was a mysterious Air Force colonel (and later brigadier general) named Ralph Steakley, who retired in the early 1970's to take employment with Westinghouse, a defense contractor which sells considerable equipment to the NRO.

OFFICE OF NAVAL INTELLIGENCE

The Office of Naval Intelligence, with about 15,000 employees and a \$600 million annual budget, is perhaps the fastest-growing member of the intelligence community.

At the same time submarine-missile (Polaris and Poseidon) programs have in recent years received larger and larger budgets (** DELETED **) have similarly captured the imagination of the military planners. Naval Intelligence operates ** DELETED **) crammed with the most modern sensors, radars, cameras, and other listening devices which

3 1/2 LINES DELETED

The Navy formerly sent surface ships, like the Liberty and the Pueblo. on similar missions, but since the attack on the former and the capture of the latter, these missions have largely been discontinued.

ARMY INTELLIGENCE

Army Intelligence is the least mechanized of the three service agencies. Its mission is largely to acquire tactical intelligence in support of its field forces. Yet, due to the great size of the Army and the proliferation of G@-type units, the Army still manages to spend about \$700 million annually and employ 35,000 people in intelligence.

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY

The remaining large component of military intelligence is the National Security Agency. The NSA, the most secretive member of the intelligence community, breaks foreign codes and ciphers and develops secure communications for the U.S. government--at a cost to the taxpayer of about \$1.2 billion every year. Founded in 1952 by a classified presidential order, the NSA employs about 24,000 people. Its headquarters is at Fort Meade, Maryland, and its hundreds of listening posts around the world eavesdrop on the communications of most of the world's countries--enemy and friend alike. Most of the NSA's intercept stations are operated by special cryptological units from the armed forces, which are subordinate to the head of the NSA.

* * *

This writing is getting too lengthy so we might just shut this segment at this break-point.

SPACECRAFT ON AIRCRAFT CARRIER

As we leave I wish to thank you for all of your inquiries regarding the "starship" which supposedly "landed on aircraft carrier". Whether or not such an incident occurred is one thing--what is said about it cannot be truth. For instance, the headline reads: "Planes from US forced UFO down!" In the first instance--no US aircraft could force any starship DOWN. REMEMBER--"THEY" ARE GETTING READY TO INTRODUCE FANTASTIC FINDINGS AND EVEN PRODUCE "...ALIEN BEINGS ARE NOT HUMANOID IN ANY MENTAL OR PHYSICAL WAY, BUT APPEAR TO EXIST IN CLEAR GLASS CAPSULES THREE FEET IN DIAMETER AND

FILLED WITH LIQUID, " SAID A CIA SOURCE.

Isn't that a kick? Capable of getting to Earth easily and land on a carrier deck and yet incapable of surviving out of a liquid bubble or match mental or physical mannerisms at all? This cited by the CIA?

I especially like the next: "The pilots had orders to shoot it down if it refused to land on the flight deck as ordered. Fortunately, the UFO was escorted back to the carrier where it sent electrical charges to the flight deck before landing."

"Heavily armed marines surrounded the craft, which was described as almost half as long as a football field and 40 feet high. No one knew what to expect. There had been absolutely no radio contact with the spaceship from the first moment it appeared on the radar screen, the sources said."

Well, it wasn't God's fleet. Very first thing we do is identify and communicate nor do we suck blood or live in glass bubbles of liquid. I suggest you have been hoodwinked again. Probably the only real game in town is the part about the "TOP SECRET-- NEED TO KNOW ONLY, U.S. NAV 08391-1324". However, don't count on it because you may find that that number security identification is so "top secret" even its director is not known!!! (See above). Thank you for including me in your loop of information. Enjoy and BEWARE! I don't wish to "burst your bubble" but let us see what might be afoot. Note while looking at the picture as presented--the major clue in point--**The marking of the Skull and Crossbones. Don't overlook your intelligence work.**

Thank you for your attention and let me assure you readers--there IS an awakening. At this time it comes in the form of "FEAR" as ones begin to see the falling house of cards--however, as information and knowledge fills the void of ignorance--there will be an upsurge in awakened humanity like nothing you have ever dreamt of. May God give blessing unto that new insight.

Salu, Hatonn to clear.